

Tender No. EC32C24002

~ 1 ~

NAME OF WORK: - “Repair and maintenance of Carpet Road in operational area of Mehsana Asset (2024-2025)”

1.0 SUBJECT: Evaluation of Bids after First Clarification documents from the bidders for the work “**Repair and maintenance of Carpet Road in operational area of Mehsana Asset (2024-2025)**”

2.0 Background:

1.	Subject	“Repair and maintenance of Carpet Road in operational area of Mehsana Asset (2024-2025)”
2.	AA & ES	Sanction for ₹9,50,80,264.00 on 13.06.2024 by L1
3.	PR No.	2090060796
4.	e-Tender No.	EC32C24002
5.	Date of NIT and Website	NIT – 10/07/2024, Website – 10/07/2024
6.	Date of TBO	02/08/2024
7.	Bid Validity	31/10/2024
8.	Tender Value	₹ 9,23,10,936.19
9.	Indenter	Surface Team
10.	Type of tender	Open Tender (National Competitive Bidding)
11.	Type of Bidding	Two Bid System through C-PPP mode.
12.	CPA	L-II Level as per clause 24.1 a) of BDP

3.0 Observations:

3.1 As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 12.09.2024, the bids of the following bidders were techno commercially acceptable.

Bid	Name of bidder
2/6	M/s Everest Infrastructure Company

Tender No. EC32C24002

~ 2 ~

3/6	M/s Kakuba Construction Co.
-----	-----------------------------

3.2 As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 12.09.2024, the clarifications were sought from the following 04 bidders on 16.09.2024 through <https://etender.gov.in> website, and requested to submit the replies latest by 23.09.2024:

1/6	M/s Arka Project
4/6	M/s Naruka Construction Co.
5/6	M/s Mepabhai Madan & Co.
6/6	M/s Krishan Contractor

3.3 The Post Bid Conference (PBC) was kept on 19.09.2024 in order to clear the Bidders' doubts, if any, regarding the Clarifications sought. But, No bidder attended the PBC.

3.4 Out of 4 bidders from whom clarifications were sought, only One Bidder i.e. (6/6) M/s Krishan Contractor has not submitted the reply. Thus it appears that vendor don't have the requisite documents to qualify in the bid, thus it is not prudent to enter second round as sufficient competition exist. As per IMMM para 13.4.4 cases where post bid conference is held, normally second round of clarification shall not be required.

3.5 Further, based on deliberations hereunder, 3 Bidders out of 4 bidders from whom clarifications were sought are getting techno-commercially qualified, resulting into aggregate 05 TA-CA bidders, thus it is not prudent to enter to second round of clarifications.

3.6 The Comparative statement based on the deficient documents received from the Bidders during Clarification is prepared and duly vetted by finance officer on 01.10.2024.

3.7 Since 5 (five) bidders who are commercially acceptable, were technically qualified as per the previous technical comments dated 09.09.2024, and (6/6) M/s Krishan Contractor has not submitted any documents, hence technical comments have not been prepared.

4.0 Deliberations:

4.1 The bidder wise deliberations as per BEC based on the documents received during clarifications are given below:

Tender No. EC32C24002

~ 3 ~

4.2 Commercial Criteria:

(1/6) M/s Arka Project :-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents to be submitted by bidder : a) Power of Attorney b) Integrity Pact with name of bidder and witness signed. c) Bidders to comply Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order 2017” (MII) read with Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas notification applicable in this tender as per Clause No. 29.2 of Instruction to Bidders (relevant clause no. to be inserted by Work Centre) and submit requisite information/ documents. (Company letterhead)	Requisite documents submitted by the bidder	As per CS vetted by finance officer on 01.10.2024, the bidder is meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (1/6) M/s Arka Project is Commercially Acceptable -

(4/6) M/s Naruka Construction Co. :-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents to be submitted by bidder : a) BEC Matrix b) Affidavit of Proprietorship Firm c) Acceptance that they comply with the provisions with regard to “Guidelines for eligibility of a ‘Bidder from a Country which shares a land border With India’ ” as detailed at Annexure-I “Instructions to Bidders”. Bidder should also submit the requisite certificate as mentioned. (Company letterhead)	Requisite documents submitted by the bidder	As per CS vetted by finance officer on 01.10.2024, the bidder is meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (4/6) M/s Naruka Construction Co. is Commercially Acceptable -

Tender No. EC32C24002

~ 4 ~

d) Undertaking to provide all the necessary compliances/ Invoice/ documents required under GST legislation for enabling ONGC to avail Input tax (GST) credit. e) Declaration that neither the bidders themselves, nor any of its allied concerns, partners or associates or directors or proprietors involved in any capacity, are currently serving any banning orders issued by ONGC debarring them from carrying on business dealings with ONGC. f) Undertaking on the company's letter head and duly signed by the signatory of the bid that all the documents/ certificates/ information submitted by them against the tender are genuine. (Company letterhead) g) Bidders to comply Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order 2017" (MII) read with Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas notification applicable in this tender as per Clause No. 29.2 of Instruction to Bidders (relevant clause no. to be inserted by Work Centre) and submit requisite information/ documents.		
---	--	--

(5/6) M/s Mepabhai Madan & Co.-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents to be submitted by bidder : Partnership Deed	Requisite documents submitted by the bidder	As per CS vetted by finance officer on 01.10.2024, the bidder is meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (5/6) M/s Mepabhai Madan & Co. is Commercially Acceptable -

(6/6) M/s Krishan Contractor.-

Tender No. EC32C24002

~ 5 ~

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents to be submitted by bidder : a) BEC Matrix. b) Affidavit of Proprietorship Firm c) Unconditional acceptance of General Conditions of Contract at Annexure II, Special Conditions of Contract at Annexure III and Instruction to Bidders at Annexure I as well as the instructions contained in website https://etenders.gov.in d) Acceptance that they comply with the provisions with regard to “Guidelines for eligibility of a ‘Bidder from a Country which shares a land border With India’ ” as detailed at Annexure-I “Instructions to Bidders”. Bidder should also submit the requisite certificate as mentioned. (Company letterhead) e) Undertaking to provide all the necessary compliances/ Invoice/ documents required under GST legislation for enabling ONGC to avail Input tax (GST) credit. f) Declaration that neither the bidders themselves, nor any of its allied concerns, partners or associates or directors or proprietors involved in any capacity, are currently serving any banning orders issued by ONGC debarring them from carrying on business dealings with ONGC. g) Undertaking on the company’s letter head and duly signed by the signatory of the bid that all the documents/ certificates/ information submitted by them against the tender are genuine. (Company letterhead) h) Bidders to comply Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order 2017” (MII) read with Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas notification applicable in this tender as per Clause No. 29.2 of Instruction to Bidders (relevant clause no. to be inserted by Work Centre) and	Requisite documents not submitted by the bidder	As per CS vetted by finance officer on 01.10.2024, the bidder is not meeting the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (6/6) M/s Krishna Contractor is Commercially NOT Acceptable

Tender No. EC32C24002

~ 6 ~

submit requisite information/ documents. (Company letterhead)		
---	--	--

4.3 Technical Criteria:

(6/6) M/s Krishan Contractor:-

Commercial Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
1. The bidder needs to submit NOA, completion certificate and detailed copy of invoices, to access the eligibility & experience criteria as per BEC clause B.2.1(a)-I(i) and B.2.1(a)-I(ii).	Requisite documents not submitted by the bidder	The bidder is NOT Qualified as per available documents as per the Technical comments duly endorsed by the Technical member on 01.10.2024

1.4 Final consolidated Status Summary of bids after 1st round clarification

Bid	Name of bidder	Technical Criteria	Commercial Criteria	Final bid Status
1/6	M/s Arka Project	Technically Qualified.	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
2/6	M/s Everest Infrastructure Company	Technically Qualified.	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
3/6	M/s Kakuba Construction Co.	Technically Qualified.	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
4/6	M/s Naruka Construction Co.	Technically Qualified.	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
5/6	M/s Mepabhai Madan & Co	Technically Qualified.	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
6/6	M/s Krishan Contractor	Technically Not Qualified.	Commercially Not Acceptable	Techno Commercially not acceptable

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS:

In view of above deliberations, it is recommended

Tender No. EC32C24002

~ 7 ~

(i) To accept the bids of the following bidders techno commercially.

Bid	Name of bidder
1/6	M/s Arka Project.
4/6	M/s Naruka Construction Co.
5/6	M/s Mepabhai Madan & Co.

(ii) To open the price bids of the following Five techno-commercially qualified bidders :

Bid	Name of bidder
1/6	M/s Arka Project
2/6	M/s Everest Infrastructure Company
3/6	M/s Kakuba Construction Co.
4/6	M/s Naruka Construction Co.
5/6	M/s Mepabhai Madan & Co

(iii) To reject the following bids due to insufficient documents:

6/6	M/s Krishan Contractor
-----	------------------------

As per clause no. 10.1.2.3 of IMMM,

Quote:

"For various stages between opening of Techno-commercial bid and opening of Price Bid, approval of competent authority shall be obtained through vertical note, without routing through concerned Nodal Technical Agency (for cases under CPD)/ concerned Indenting Section (for other cases) or the Finance Department."

Unquote:

In this case CPA is L-II Level Executive as per clause 24.1 (a) of BDP

Competent Purchase Authority may please approve the recommendations as proposed above.