

Tender No. EC52C24002

~ 1 ~

NAME OF WORK: - Extension of Infrastructure at Kendriya Vidyalaya (KV) School, Mehsana Asset.

1.0 SUBJECT: Evaluation of Bids after First Clarification for the work “Extension of Infrastructure at Kendriya Vidyalaya (KV) School, Mehsana Asset.”

2.0 Background:

1.	Subject	“Extension of Infrastructure at Kendriya Vidyalaya (KV) School, Mehsana Asset”
2.	AA & ES	Sanction for Rs. 4,70,31,592 on 09.02.2024
3.	Project ID No.	MHN20231200
4.	e-Tender No.	EC52C24002
5.	Date of NIT and Website	NIT – 05/07/2024, Website – 06/07/2024
6.	Date of TBO	29/07/2024
7.	Bid Validity	29/10/2024
8.	Tender Value	₹. 4,54,96,959.92
9.	Indenter	HR Section
10.	Type of tender	Open Tender (Indigenous) in Two Bid System through e-tendering.
11.	CPA	L-II (HES) Level.

3.0 Observations:

3.1 As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 16/08/2024, the bid of the following bidder was techno commercially acceptable.

Bid	Name of bidder
1/8	M/s Sainath Infraservices Pvt. Ltd.
3/8	M/s Sarjan Infratech
6/8	M/s Shivam Construction Co.
7/8	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.

Tender No. EC52C24002

~ 2 ~

8/8	M/s Build Well Engineers.
-----	---------------------------

3.2 As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 16/08/2024, the clarifications were sought from the following 03 bidders on 16/08/2024 through e-procurement website, and requested to submit the replies latest by 23/08/2024:

S. No.	Bidder Name
2/8	M/s R.L Patel
4/8	M/s Himansu J Gupta
5/8	M/s Shivam Construction.

3.3 A Post Bid Conference (PBC) was on 21/08/2024 in order to clear the Bidders' doubts, if any, regarding the Clarifications sought. The doubts was entertained in advance.

3.4 Accordingly, all the 03 bidders at para 3.2 above have submitted their replies before the due date i.e. 23/08/2024 through e-procurement website.

4.0 Deliberations:

4.1 The bidder wise deliberations as per BEC based on the documents received during clarifications are given below:

4.2 Technical Criteria:

(5/8) M/s Shivam Construction :-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents to be sought– a) The bidder is not meeting the eligibility & experience criteria based on submitted documents with the bid. Bidder may be asked to submit bifurcation of works done for the purpose of constructing building out of submitted work order.	Required Document submitted by the bidder	- The bid of the bidder is Technically Not Acceptable as per the Technical comments duly endorsed by the Technical member on 10/09/2024

4.3 Commercial Criteria:**(2/8) M/s R.L.Patel:-**

Commercial Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents – a) BID Matrix to be submitted. b) Integrity Pact to be re-submitted with name of contractor. c) Undertaking need to be submitted. d) Though local content percentage is mentioned, location is not mentioned in the undertaking	Submitted the requisite documents as per requirement.	- The bidder submitted the deficient document, hence meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (2/8) M/s R.L.Patel is Commercially Acceptable.

(4/8) M/s Himansu J Gupta:-

Tender No. EC52C24002

~ 4 ~

Commercial Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents – a) Though local content percentage is mentioned, location is not mentioned in the undertaking.	Submitted the requisite documents as per requirement.	- The bidder submitted the deficient document, hence meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (4/8) M/s Himansu J Gupta is Commercially Acceptable .

(5/8) M/s Shivam Construction:-

Commercial Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents – a) Integrity pact need to be re-submitted along with name of the contractor.	Submitted the requisite documents as per requirement.	- The bidder submitted the deficient document, hence meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (5/8) M/s Shivam Construction is Commercially Acceptable .

4.4 Final Status Summary of bids

Bid	Name of bidder	Technical Criteria	Commercial Criteria	Final bid Status
1/8	M/s Sainath Infraservices Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
2/8	M/s R.L Patel	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
3/8	M/s Sarjan Infratech	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
4/8	M/s Himansu J Gupta.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
5/8	M/s Shivam Construction.	Technically not Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Technically not Acceptable but Commercially Acceptable
6/8	M/s Shivam Construction Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
7/8	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable

Tender No. EC52C24002

~ 5 ~

8/8	M/s Build well Engineers	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
-----	--------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------

4.5 In view of the above, it is proposed to Techno Commercially accept the bids of the following **07 bidders** and to open the Price Bids of the same.

Bid	Name of bidder
1/8	M/s Sainath Infraservices Pvt. Ltd.
2/8	M/s R.L Patel
3/8	M/s Sarjan Infratech
4/8	M/s Himansu J Gupta.
6/8	M/s Shivam Construction Co.
7/8	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.
8/8	M/s Build Well Engineers.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. To reject the bid of (5/8) M/S Shivam Construction as per clause B.2.1(a)-I(ii) of Tech BEC
2. In view of above, it is recommended to accept the deliberations given at **Para 4.5** above.

As per clause no. 10.1.2.3 of IMMM,

Quote:

"For various stages between opening of Techno-commercial bid and opening of Price Bid, approval of competent authority shall be obtained through vertical note, without routing through concerned Nodal Technical Agency (for cases under CPD)/ concerned Indenting Section (for other cases) or the Finance Department."

Unquote:

In this case CPA is L-II Level Executive as per clause 24.1 (a) of BDP

Competent Purchase Authority may please approve the recommendations as proposed above.