

Recommendation note for approval to accept the tender for award of works contract

निविदा सं : एनएपीएस/सीटीसी/सीवीएल/2024/पीटी/1078

Tender No. : NAPS/CTC/CVL/2024/PT/1078

1.	कार्य का नाम Name of the work	:	Annual Misc. Maintenance work for Sewage Treatment Plant and Water Supply System.
2.	वित्तीय मंजूरी सं एवं तारीख (क्या पूंजीगत/राजस्व कार्य है, का भी उल्लेख करें)। Financial Sanction No. & Date (Also indicate whether Capital/ Revenue work).	:	राजस्व कार्य Revenue work
3.	साइट प्लानिंग द्वारा प्रमाणन के अनुसार इस मद /मद के लिए आबंटित वित्तीय मंजूरी की राशि। Amount of the FS for this item/apportioned to this item as certified by Site Planning.	:	राजस्व कार्य Revenue work
4.	इस कार्य के लिए कुल स्वीकृत राशि एवं यूएसआई सं Amount of T.S. for this work & USI No.	:	₹ 1,95,72,889.43 /- with 18% GST, USI 92210
5.	निविदा आमंत्रण सूचना में दी गई अनुमानित लागत Estimated Cost put to NIT	:	₹ 1,95,72,889.43 /- with 18% GST
6.	निविदा की रीति Mode of tender	:	सार्वजनिक निविदा (दो भागों में) Public Tender (Two Parts)
7.	क्या पीटीडी/एलटी/एसटी/नोमिनेशन के लिए सक्षम प्राधिकारी का अनुमोदन प्राप्त है, यदि हाँ तो, अनुमोदन की प्रति संलग्न करें, ऐसा करने का कारण नहीं है तो, उसका उल्लेख करें। Whether approval of competent authority exists for PTD/LT/ST/Nomination, if so, attach a copy of approval, if not the reasons for doing so may be recorded:	:	लागू नहीं Not Applicable.
8.	समय पर प्राप्त बोलियाँ/निविदाओं की संख्या Number of bids/ tenders received in due time	:	Five (05) bids received, however four (04) bids are qualified.
9.	क्या तुलनात्मक विवरण तैयार कर इंजीनियरिंग अनुभाग के अधिकारी द्वारा जाँच की गई। Whether comparative statement prepared and checked by the officer from the engineering section	:	Yes, Page N/13
10.	क्या सभी निविदाकारों ने स्वीकार्य प्रारूप में बोली प्रतिभूति प्रस्तुत की है। Whether Bid Security has been submitted by all tenderers in acceptable form	:	Yes
11.	क्या वित्त अनुभाग द्वारा तुलनात्मक विवरण की गणितीय जाँच की गई है, यदि हाँ तो, कोई टिप्पणी। Whether arithmetic check of comparative statement has been done by finance, if so, the remark if any.	:	Yes, Page N/13
12.	क्या मानक शर्तों अथवा बोली मूल्य पर लगाई गई अतिरिक्त शर्तों के कारण कोई वित्तीय बोझ। Whether financial implication if any, due to deviations from standard conditions or additional conditions have been loaded on the bid price.	:	लागू नहीं Not applicable
13.	निविदाकारों की तुलनात्मक स्थिति Comparative Position of tenders:		
क्र सं S. N.	पार्टी का नाम Name of Party	सीएसटी मूल्य(रु) CST Value (Rs.)	अनुमानित लागत के संदर्भ में परिवर्तन (+)/(-)% Variation w.r.t. estimated cost (+)/(-)%
1	M/S Raghav Constructions	1,19,32,500/-	-39.04 %
2	M/S Technical Construction Co.	1,35,79,765/-	-30.62
3	M/S Royal Engineering Services	1,49,39,240/-	-23.67
4	M/S New Tech Engineering Co.	1,75,63,388/-	-10.27

14.	पार्टी का नाम जिसकी संस्तुति कार्य प्रदान करने हेतु की गई है। Name of the party whose tender is recommended for award of work.	:	M/S Raghav Constructions
15.	क्या मूल्यांकन रिपोर्ट में निम्न विवरण दिया गया है। (हाँ या नहीं, कहिए, यदि नहीं है तो कारण बताए) Whether the evaluation report provides the following details. (Say yes or no. If no, please give reasons)	:	
a)	संस्तुत पार्टी की तकनीकी सामर्थ्य Technical capability of recommended party.	:	Yes
b)	संस्तुत पार्टी की वित्तीय सामर्थ्य Financial capability of recommended party.	:	Yes
c)	क्या संस्तुत पार्टी निम्नतम है, यदि नहीं तो, प्रस्तावित पार्टी का औचित्य दें। The recommended party is lowest, if not, the justification for proposed party.	:	Recommended party is L1.
d)	निविदा में दी गई अनुमानित लागत के संदर्भ में दिए गए प्रस्ताव में % परिवर्तन है। क्या संबंधित डीएफपी के अनुसार नेगोशियेशन किया गया है। % age variation of the proposed offer with reference to estimated cost put to tender. Whether negotiations as required under relevant DFP have been carried out	:	-39.04 %
16.	क्या असमान्यता उच्च दर/असमान्यता निम्न दर मदों की पहचान की गई है, यदि हाँ, तो असमान्यता उच्च दर मदों की मात्रा में वृद्धि के संबंध में एनपीसीआईएल के हित के संरक्षण में प्रस्तावित कार्यवाही। Whether Abnormally high rated/ Abnormally low rated items have been identified, if so, the action proposed to safeguard the interest of NPCIL in case of increase in quantity of AHR items.	:	There is no AHR items. ALR items as per CST enclosed.
17.	संविदा प्रदान करने हेतु संस्तुत कुल राशि। Total amount recommended for award of contract	:	1,19,32,500/- (including 18% GST)
18.	आरई 2024-25में बजट प्रावधान उपलब्ध है एवं निर्धारित राशि। Budget provision available in RE 2024-25 amounts provided.	:	हाँ, (तकनीकी मंजूरी के अनुसार) Yes, (as per Technical Sanction)
19.	के लिए सक्षम प्राधिकारी Authority competent to	:	
a)	सहमति के लिए Concur	:	वरि.प्रबंधक (वित्त एवं लेखा)
b)	अनुमोदन के लिए Approve	:	अनुरक्षण अधीक्षक

दिनांक Dated: 08/07/24

Sas
(एस. के.राय)
तक.अधि - ई.

प्रभारी अभियंता (के.नि.प्र.):

उत्तिम आपवाही हेतु

ए.के.राय
भारतीय

वरि. अनु. अभियंता (ईधन)

उत्तम आपवाही हेतु

शशांक अग्रवाल

Propose is recommended for fire and approval please.

वरि.प्रबंधक (वित्त एवं लेखा)

Both budget availability & margin.

अनुरक्षण अधीक्षक

Manger (F&A)

Kallan
BUDGET RECORDED
2024-25, ₹ 44.75 Lakhs
2025-26, ₹ 59.66 Lakhs
2026-27, ₹ 14.92 Lakhs
RB 246-4510
Page 2 of 2
04/07/24



न्यूक्लियर पावर कॉर्पोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड
Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited

N/17

REF.:

NAPS/CTC/CVL/2024/PT/1078

Page:
Date:

9.7.2024

The L₁ bidder M/s Angkor Constructions overall quoted amount is (-) 39.04% below the departmental estimate. The quoted rates for most of the items on service and supply part are abnormally very low.

For the SDR 9600 nos. 22.50 & 22.60 of the supply part the quoted rates are (-) 96.35% & (-) 95.34% below the departmental rate.

The W&A group may record and ascertain the rate reasonability as the quoted rates are very much lower. The basis of estimation format attached may be submitted with the proposal.

^{onleaf}
The SMC (C)

Encl. (1)
Sd/-

It. see N/17

Kallian
9.7.2024



REF.: PT-107 2

Page :
Date : 2/17

The estimate was prepared based on budgetary offers taken from internet, vendors, rate analysis from CPWD and practical assessment. Although the recommended bidder is (-) 39.04% w.r.t. estimate and in quoted rates of many items are ALR (including SOQR item no. 22.50 & 22.60, which are exorbitantly ALR), however proposal is reviewed and it appears that bidder has quoted low rates most likely considering their own justifications, economies during job execution & competitive market scenario being job based contract as the L-2 bidder has quoted (-) 30.62% below the estimate and L-3 bidder has quoted (-) 23.67% below the estimate.

The recommended bidder already executed similar nature of jobs successfully and also qualified in terms of tender PQ criteria. Hence, considering the above facts and being lower resultant financial implication to NPCIL, L-1 bidder, M/s Raghav Constructions is recommended for award of work.

→ on leaf
→ (S.M.P.)

→ S.M.P. (L-2)

→ M.S.

Refer ~ 1/18

Shashan
Date 21/1/24
(Solely)

Tender No. : NAPS/CTC/CVL/2024/PT/1078

Work Description : Annual Misc.Maintenance work for sewage treatment plant and water supply system.

Period of Contract : 24 Months

Administration Approval dated 04.03.2024 and Technical Sanction No. 11 dated 05.03.2024 duly approved by respective Competent Authority exists for the captioned work alongwith appropriate fund availability.

Total 05 prospective bidders participated in the bid and 04 bidders successfully turned out to be techno commercially qualified bidders.

M/s Raghav Constructions emerged as L₁ bidder with the overall value of Rs. 1,19,32,500/- which is (-) 39.04% below the departmental estimate. The quoted rates for major part of the SOQR items on both service and supply portion are abnormally very low. For the Item Nos. 22.50 , 22.60 of supply part the bidder's quoted rates are (-) 96.35% & (-) 95.34% below the departmental rate.

EIC need to take due diligence and closely monitor these SOQR items as non execution of ALR items should not result in change in tender structure . EIC may also ensure in a time bound manner that statutory obligations like minimum wages, EPF, ESIC , GST etc are complied by the bidder as per tender stipulations.

Keeping the above facts and on the basis of the recommendation and justifications recorded by the user group on Page No. N / 17 to award the contract on PT/L₁ basis to M/s Raghav Constructions with TFI Rs.1,19,32,500/- which is (-) 39.04% below the departmental estimate concurred in finance and submitted for the approval of MS, NAPS in line with SI.No.4 (i) Part 1 of HQI 2001 (R-6) August 2018.

The user group to ensure sufficient budget availability throughout the currency of the contract.

(Latha Giridharan)
Sr.Manager (F&A)

MS,NAPS

Approved,

edim
09/07/24

→ SMC(F) / CH, Shashank Agrawal, SOE : for LOI preparation.
LOI is prepared and forwarded to F&A for vetting please.

→ SMC(F)
09/07/24

LOI vetted in F&A

09/07/24

→ MS,NAPS

Shashank
09/07/24
CSO/2024

R-1,2