



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/HQ/UP(E)/NH-31/Rae-Jnp/IE/2024/E-Tender-Part(1) / E-270886/310

Date : 09.06.2025

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub: Independent Engineer services for supervision of Construction of 2-Lane with Paved Shoulder Greenfield Bypasses in Lalganj Ajhara, Mohanganj & Raniganj on Raebareli- Jaunpur Section of NH-31 in the State of Uttar Pradesh on Hybrid Annuity Mode (Total Length-50.447 Km.) [Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_221280_1] - Evaluation of Technical Bids Proposal - Reg.

Sir,

This has reference to RFP invited for the subject consultancy work. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder	Total Marks	Responsive/ non-responsive	Rank
1/8	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	NON-RESPONSIVE The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non- submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project.		
2/8	M/s. Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd in association with M/S. Credible Management & Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	NON-RESPONSIVE 1. The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non-submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of the provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project. 2. The experience in use of technology for road inspection has not been considered as the bidder has not provided undertaking as per format given in Datasheet point 10 ii(iv) of RFP.		
3/8	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Upham International Corporation	NON-RESPONSIVE 1. The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non-submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of the provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project. 2. The JV partner does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria as per Clause 15(A) of Project Supervision/IC of Section 1 of RFP.		


At in m

4/8	M/s Global Infra Solutions JV with Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd.in association with MS Consultant	NON-RESPONSIVE 1. Global Infra Solutions Joint venture with Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd has been debarred by NHAI letter no. NHAI/11021/16/PIU-K'giri /2023/834/33/ (243693) dated 11.03. 2025. Hence, not evaluated further. 2. The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non-submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project.
5/8	M/s. Highway Engineering Consultant JV with Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	NON-RESPONSIVE 1. The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non-submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of the provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project. 2. The JV Partner is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria as per Clause 15(A) of section 1 of RFP.
6/8	M/s ARMENGE Engineering Private Limited JV with Institute. Giprostroy most in Association with DIVYANSHU PROJECTS CONSULTANCY LLP	NON-RESPONSIVE 1. The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non-submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of the provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project. 2. The experience in use of technology for road inspection has not been considered as the bidder has not provided undertaking as per format given in Datasheet point 10 ii(iv) of RFP. 3. The JV Partner is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria as per Clause 15(A) of section 1 of RFP.
7/8	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd	NON-RESPONSIVE The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non- submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project.
8/8	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants JV with Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd in association with Cho & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	NON-RESPONSIVE The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria of technical capacity as the required no. of key professional on full-time roll (minimum for one last year) are not available due to the non- submission of Updated Form 26AS (Required Jan 24 to Dec 24). Therefore, in view of provisions of 15(C) section 1 of RFP, Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as nil and hence considered as non-eligible for the project.

A. S. M.

2. As per the relevant clauses of RFP, Result of the technical evaluation is being made available on the website giving opportunity to the bidders to respond within 07 days (i.e., till 15.06.2025) in case they have any objection. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.

Yours Sincerely,



Bharat Singh Joiya
General Manager (Tech.) UP (East)

[✉ bharatjoiya@nhai.org](mailto:bharatjoiya@nhai.org)

[☎ 011-25074100/4200](tel:011-25074100/4200) Extn. # 1321