

**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
MADURAI REGION**

Minutes of Meeting of Tender Evaluation Committee (Tech Evaluation) dated 15.11.2025 for Consultancy Services for Supervision Consultant (SC) for Operation & Maintenance of Four Lane Divided Highway from Madurai - Chettikulam - Natham section from Km 0+000 to Km 36+690 of NH-785 in the State of Tamil Nadu (2nd Call):


1. The Tender Evaluation Committee, constituted upon the approval of Regional Officer, Madurai has opened the bids on 22.07.2025 and found that out of 8 bids received 5 bids are responsive and 1 bid from M/s MSV International Inc. in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd. Provisionally responsive based on pre-technical scrutiny. Later, the detailed technical evaluation of bids was taken up and finalized on 07.11.2025, the initial scores assigned to the bidders are tabulated as under;

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Initial score as per NHAI Evaluation
1	M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Neoteric Consultant LLP, Jaipur	871947	93.95
2	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT. LLP, Nagpur	872101	94.48
3	M/s L. N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd., Bhopal	872110	95.35
4	M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates, Noida	872112	88.44
5	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics India Pvt. Ltd., Gurugram	874045	86.09
6	M/s MSV International Inc. in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon	875228	94.47

2. The evaluation sheets with the initial scores were circulated in NHAI INFRACON Portal & e-tender Portal to receive objections from the bidders, if any, within a period of 07 days as per Para 5.3 (ii) of RFP. As the approved Minutes of Meeting along with annexure of Detailed Technical Evaluation sheets were uploaded in NHAI INFRACON Portal & e-tender Portal on 07.11.2025. In this regard, 2 bidders, Artefact Projects Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT. LLP, Nagpur and M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates, Noida have submitted their objections/remarks towards the scores given against their technical bid vide letter dated 13.11.2025 and 14.11.2025 respectively.

3. As such, the Tender Evaluation Committee has examined the objections raised by the bidders and accordingly, the scores assigned were reviewed and following are brought out;

A) Artefact Projects Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT. LLP, Nagpur vide APL/Tender/Madurai-Natham/2025-26/0861 dated 13.11.2025 has raised certain



objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI w.r.t Firm Evaluation as well as Key Personnel evaluation. The details are as under:

- i. Regarding Site Appreciation @ S.No.2a of Annexure-A-2 "Adequacy of the proposed Approach and Methodology", the Bidder has represented to consider their submission of Site Appreciation under Appendix B-10 and mentioned that their expert team visited the subject project site and incorporated the salient features sufficient for award of full marks i.e. 1 out of 1 under this category. Upon review by the Tender Evaluation Committee, it was observed that the bidder had provided only general and non-specific information in the original bid submission, which led the Committee to assign an "Average" rating for the Site Appreciation criterion. In the present representation also, the bidder has enclosed certain site inspection photographs that do not pertain to the project stretch under this Tender. Moreover, key elements such as chainage- or location-specific details, major junctions and hazard points, names of districts covered, latitude and longitude references, date of site inspection, and the presence of key personnel in the photographs were all absent in the bidder's original submission under "Appendix B-2: Site Appreciation." Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged i.e. 0.50 marks out of 1 marks @ S.No.2a of Annexure-A-2.
- ii. Regarding Quality of Approach and Methodology @ S.No.2b of Annexure-A-2 "Adequacy of the proposed Approach and Methodology", the Bidder has represented to consider their submission of Quality of Approach and Methodology under Appendix B-10 and requested to award full marks i.e. 2 out of 2 under this category. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder was already evaluated under this category as "Good". Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged i.e. 1.5 marks out of 2 marks @ S.No.2b of Annexure-A-2.
- iii. Regarding Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan @ S.No.2c of Annexure-A-2 "Adequacy of the proposed Approach and Methodology", the Bidder has represented to consider their submission of Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan under Appendix B-10 and requested to award full marks i.e. 2 out of 2 under this category. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder was already evaluated under this category as "Good". Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged i.e. 1.5 marks out of 2 marks. Accordingly, the cumulative marks scored under Annexure-A-2 remains unchanged i.e. 3.5 marks out of 5 marks.
- iv. Further, the bidder has submitted that, under the evaluation of the Team Leader-cum-Highway Engineer, the marks awarded for experience under @S.No. 2(iii) of Annexure-A-4-1 – "Experience in similar capacity in Highway Development Projects (40% of project length (14.676 km) or more of similar configuration (4/6-laning*) and above) taken up under PPP") – were incorrectly limited to 4 out of 5, despite the candidate having two eligible projects, which would qualify for the full 5 marks. Upon review, the Committee concurred that the bidder's submission is valid, and that the proposed candidate is indeed

S. 8

T. V. V. V.

Danda

K

42

eligible for 5 out of 5 marks under @S.No. 2(iii) of Annexure-A-4-1. Accordingly, the total marks for the Team Leader-cum-Highway Engineer have been revised to 95, and the corresponding weighted contribution to the overall score has been revised from 15.04 to 15.20.

- v. Considering the above, the committee has arrived at the final the cumulative marks of the bidder as 94.64 and Final Scores of the firm, Artefact Projects Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT. LLP, Nagpur is as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	34.50	34	35
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	3.5	3.5
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	37.14	36.98	37.14
	Total	100	96.64	94.48	94.64

B) **M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates, Noida** vide MSPARK/HO/SC/NH-785/2025-26/1639 dated 14.11.2025 has raised certain objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI specifically w.r.t Firm Evaluation. The details are as under:

- The bidder has represented that under Appendix A-1, Criterion 4 (Experience in DPR/Feasibility-cum-Preliminary Design Report), the weightage of project length should be considered as per the CA certificate in accordance with Section I, Clause 13, which would qualify them for the full score of 5 out of 5, instead of 4.5 out of 5 allotted by committee earlier. In this regard, the Committee re-examined the bid and the tabulated project experiences duly authenticated by the Chartered Accountant. It was observed that the bidder has 4 eligible projects when evaluated based on payment-linked weightage. Accordingly, the Committee concurs that the bidder's submission is valid, and the score under this criterion has been revised to 5 out of 5.
- Further, the bidder has represented that under Appendix A-1, Criterion 5 (In-hand DPR Projects), the weightage of project length should be considered as per the CA certificate in accordance with Section I, Clause 13, which would entitle them to a full score of 10 out of 10. In this regard, committee observed that the Section I, Clause 13 applies only for completed projects for establishing eligibility, and does not govern the allocation of marks for in-hand DPR projects. For in-hand assignments, the applicable weightage must be derived strictly from the JV/Association Agreement. Accordingly, the evaluation has been carried out by considering the maximum permissible share for an Association, i.e., 25%. Accordingly, the Committee is of the view that the bidder's request cannot be accepted, and the originally awarded score of 7.5 out of 10 shall remain unchanged.

58--

T. V. V. V.

D. V. V. V.

K. V. V. V.

Signature

- iii. Further, the bidder has represented that under Appendix A-1, Criterion 7 (Experience as Independent Engineer / Authority Engineer/ Supervision Consultants O&M projects), the weightage of project length should be considered as per the CA certificate in accordance with Section I, Clause 13, which would qualify them for a score of 6.5 out of 8, instead of 6 out of 8 allotted by committee earlier. In this regard, the Committee re-examined the bid and the tabulated project experiences duly authenticated by the Chartered Accountant. It was observed that the bidder has 2 eligible projects when evaluated based on payment-linked weightage. Accordingly, the Committee concurs that the bidder's submission is valid, and the score under this criterion has been revised to 6.5 out of 8.
- iv. Further, the bidder has represented that under Appendix A-3, Criterion 5 (Experience in ATCC), the bidder has 9 eligible Projects as presented in their Technical Proposal from page 505 to 550. In this regard, the Committee re-examined the project experience certificates submitted in the Technical Proposal and reaffirmed that only one Project Experience Certificate reflects the actual use of ATCC which already has been used for arriving at the marks in the draft evaluation. Accordingly, the Committee is of the view that the bidder's request cannot be accepted, and the originally awarded score of 2 out of 3 shall remain unchanged.
- v. Considering the above, the committee has arrived at the final the cumulative marks of the bidder as 89.44 and Final Scores of the firm, M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates, Noida is as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHA	Final Evaluation by NHA
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	32	29	30
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	3.5	3.5
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	19	19
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	36.94	36.94	36.94
	Total	100	93.94	88.44	89.44

C) M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Neoteric Consultant LLP, Jaipur did not raise any objections, hence, there is no change in the Final scores;

S F --- T. Vohra

Page 4 of 7

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHA	Final Evaluation by NHA
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	33.5	33.5	33.5
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	3.75	3.75
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	36.70	36.70	36.70
	Total	100	95.20	93.95	93.95

D) M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd., Bhopal did not raise any objections, hence, there is no change in the Final scores;

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHA	Final Evaluation by NHA
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	34.75	34.75
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	3.5	3.5
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	37.34	37.10	37.10
	Total	100	97.34	95.35	95.35

E) M/s Bloom Companies LLC in association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics India Pvt. Ltd., Gurugram did not raise any objections hence there is no change in the Final scores;

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHA	Final Evaluation by NHA
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	32.50	32.50
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	2.00	2.00
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20

S F - -

T. V. B. J.

D. S. S.

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	37.48	31.59	31.59
	Total	100	97.48	86.09	86.09

F) M/s MSV International Inc. in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon did not raise any objections hence there is no change in the Final scores. With regard to the debarment of the bidder, the Committee was informed by the RO-Delhi Office over phone call that the decision of the Appellate Authority is still awaited as on 15.11.2025.

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	35	35
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	3	3
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	36.64	36.47	36.47
	Total	100	96.64	94.47	94.47

4. In view of the re-evaluation conducted by the Tender Evaluation Committee based on the request of 1 bidder, Final scores of the bidders are tabulated hereunder;

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Initial scores evaluated by NHAI	Final scores evaluated by NHAI
1	M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Neoteric Consultant LLP, Jaipur	871947	93.95	93.95
2	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT. LLP, Nagpur	872101	94.48	94.64
3	M/s L. N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd., Bhopal	872110	95.35	95.35
4	M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates, Noida	872112	88.44	89.44

S. & - T. V. Raj



Page 6 of 7

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Initial scores evaluated by NHAI	Final scores evaluated by NHAI
5	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics India Pvt. Ltd., Gurugram	874045	86.09	86.09
6	M/s MSV International Inc. in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon	875228	94.47	94.47

In view of the above, the Tender Evaluation Committee further decided to meet at a convenient date & time for opening of Financial Bids of the technically qualified bidders upon receiving concurrence of the Competent Authority.


(S Syed Dawood Mian)
Member


(S Ajithkumar)
Member


(T Velraj)
Member


(Sumit Dewda)
Member


(Vijith Vijayan)
Convener