



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



Result of Technical Bids

Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_219239_1

Dated: 20.05.2025

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub.: Construction of new 6-Lane Access Control Prayagraj Southern Greenfield Bypass from design Km 34+400 (near Dandupur) to design Km. 43+200 (near Mahuwari) [Total length 8.800 Km] PKG-1 under Phase-I in the state of Uttar Pradesh under NH(O) on EPC mode. [Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_219239_1]

Sir,

This has reference to RFP submitted for above subject project. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Remarks
1.	Ajay Prakash Associates - Jayshree International JV	Technically - Responsive
2.	A B Infrabuild Limited	Technically - Non Responsive*
3.	Rachana Construction Co.	Technically - Responsive
4.	Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited	Technically - Non Responsive*
5.	TLL- Altis JV	Technically - Responsive
6.	Singla Constructions Limited	Technically - Non Responsive*
7.	GPT Infraprojects Limited	Technically - Responsive
8.	M G Contractors Pvt. Ltd.	Technically - Responsive
9.	S S BUILDERS	Technically - Non Responsive*
10.	Vijai Construction (India) Private Limited	Technically - Non Responsive*
11.	Hillways Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically - Responsive
12.	JSP Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically - Responsive
13.	Swastik Infralogics Private Limited	Technically - Responsive
14.	Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Technically - Responsive
15.	C S Infraconstruction Limited	Technically - Non Responsive*
16.	HG Infra Engineering Limited	Technically - Responsive

* Detailed reasons for non-responsiveness of the bidder are enclosed at Annex-A.

BT mm

2. Financial Bid of the above-mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of Technical Evaluation on the web portal for 7 days (i.e., till **26.05.2025**) as per Clause 2.19 of RFP. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.



Bharat Singh Joiya
General Manager (Tech.) UP (East)

✉ bharatjoiya@nhai.org

☎ 011-25074100/4200 Extn. # 1321

S. No.	Details of Non-Responsive Bidders
2	M/s A B Infrabuild Limited Bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage due to following reasons: - Bidder in its technical bid claimed only 1 project and in response to clarification sought in this regard, the Bidder along with its replies has submitted the additional projects which were not claimed earlier at the time of submission of its technical bid submitted on Bid Due Date. Therefore, the same has not been considered. - Further, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP and also the said Project is not eligible for additional experience in construction of Major Bridge/ROBs/RUBs/ Flyovers in the last 10 (Ten) having span equal to or greater than 67.50 mts, which is required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. In view of the above and on the basis of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) & 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. - The Bidder has not submitted the certificates duly reflecting the value of Work done in each of the Ongoing works shown under the Value of B duly counter-signed by Client or Executive Engineer, which were specifically asked for from the said Bidder, therefore, in the absence of the requisite Client's Certificates, the full value of work has been considered under Value of B and as a result of which, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
4	M/s Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited The Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of having experience of construction of Major Bridge/ ROBs/ RUBs/ Flyovers in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date upto 31.03.2024 as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP: Bidder along with its replies has submitted a Work Experience Certificate for the Project namely, "Ghaziabad-Aligarh Section of NH-91 from km. 23.600 to km. 140.200 in the State of Uttar Pradesh", however, the said project was not claimed by the Bidder in its technical bid submitted online on Bid Due Date, hence, the said project may not be considered. Further, on perusal of the Client's certificate it is observed that the length of the longest span executed by the Bidder in the said project is 40m only, which is less than the requirement of 67.50 m as specified in clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. In view of the above, Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of having experience of construction of Major Bridge/ ROBs/ RUBs/ Flyovers in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date upto 31.03.2024 i.e. shall have

S. No.	Details of Non-Responsive Bidders
	completed at-least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/ RUBs/ Flyover having span equal to or greater than 67.50 mts., which is required under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii).
6	M/s Singla Constructions Limited a. <u>The Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Technical Capacity as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) & (ii) of RFP:</u> Clarification submitted by the bidder is not justified. In this regard, the provisions of Clause 2.1.13 of RFP are triggered, the relevant extract of which are furnished below: <i>“Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, in the event that the Bid Due Date falls within three months of the closing of the latest financial year of a Bidder, it shall ignore such financial year for the purposes of its Bid and furnish all its information and certification with reference to the 5 (five) years or 1 (one) year, as the case may be, preceding its latest financial year. For the avoidance of doubt, financial year shall, for the purposes of a Bid hereunder, mean the accounting year followed by the Bidder in the course of its normal business.”</i> Since, the Bid Due Date of the said project was 15.04.2025, therefore, the latest financial year shall be ignored. Accordingly, the last 5 years shall be considered from FY 2023-24. Accordingly, the contention of the Bidder is not justified and on the basis of available documents and considering the payment received in last 5 financial years starting from FY 2019-20 to FY 2023-24 the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility of having Threshold Technical Capacity of Rs. 463.69 Crore as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP. Bidder along with its reply has submitted the completion certificate dated 15.04.2025 issued by Construction division, PWD, Panchkula, wherein it is mentioned that work more than 90% completed and total amount of work billed up to 31.03.2025 is Rs. 93.86 crore. Since, as per the said certificate the work has been more than 90% completed as on 31.03.2025 instead of 31.03.2024. Therefore, on the basis of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet eligibility criteria as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, i.e. one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost (Rs. 92.74 crore) shall have been completed or substantially completed (i.e. where more than 90% value of work have been completed) over the last 5 Financial Years i.e. up to 31.03.2024. Bidder along with its reply has submitted the completion dated 15.04.2025 issued by Construction division, PWD, Panchkula, wherein it is clear that two lane RUB has been completed on 31.03.2025. Therefore, on the basis of available documents, since, the said RUB was not completed upto 31.03.2024, hence, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of having experience of construction of Major Bridge/ ROB/ RUBs/ Flyovers in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date upto 31.03.2024 i.e. shall have completed at-least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/ RUBs/ Flyover having span equal to or greater than 67.50 mts., which is required under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

S. No.	Details of Non-Responsive Bidders
	In view of the above and on the basis of available documents the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) & 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. b. <u>The Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity as specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP:</u> Bidder along with its reply has submitted the certificate however from the available document the amount of value of work done is not found and the same are not signed by the Client or its Engineer-In-Charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer. Hence, the same has not been considered. Therefore, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
9	M/s S S BUILDERS a. <u>Bidder is declared ineligible for next stage of bidding process as the Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Additional Experience of Technical Capacity as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP:</u> Reply submitted by the Bidder is not justified, as the Bidder in its replies has itself mentioned that they have completed a bridge with a span of 52m in the work, however, the requirement specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP is of 67.50 mts., which was specifically mentioned in the RFP document uploaded on e-tendering portal of NHA and the said criteria was well learnt by all the prospective Bidders at the time of bidding itself. Accordingly, on the basis of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of having the experience of construction of Major Bridge/ ROBs/ RUBs/ Flyovers in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date upto 31.03.2024 i.e., shall have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/ RUBs/ Flyover having span equal to or greater than 67.50 mts., which is required under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii). b. <u>The Bidder is also not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity as specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP:</u> Bidder in its reply has mentioned that "The certificate / passed bills duly signed by competent authority are enclosed herewith", however the same are not found in the replies. Therefore, on the basis of available documents the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
10	M/s Vijai Construction (India) Private Limited <u>Bidder is declared ineligible for next stage of bidding process as the Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Additional Experience of Technical Capacity as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP:</u> Reply submitted by the bidder in its clarification is not justified. In this regard, the provisions of Clause 2.1.13 of RFP are triggered, the relevant extract of which are furnished below: <i>"Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, in the event that the Bid Due Date falls within three months of the closing of the latest financial year of a Bidder, it shall</i>

S. No.	Details of Non-Responsive Bidders
	<i>ignore such financial year for the purposes of its Bid and furnish all its information and certification with reference to the 5 (five) years or 1 (one) year, as the case may be, preceding its latest financial year. For the avoidance of doubt, financial year shall, for the purposes of a Bid hereunder, mean the accounting year followed by the Bidder in the course of its normal business."</i> Since, the Bid Due Date of the said project was 15.04.2025, therefore, the latest financial year shall be ignored. Accordingly, the last 5/10 years shall be considered from FY 2023-24. Accordingly, the contention of the Bidder is not justified and on the basis of available documents the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of having experience of construction of Major Bridge/ ROBs/ RUBs/ Flyovers in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date upto 31.03.2024 i.e., shall have completed at-least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/ RUBs/ Flyover having span equal to or greater than 67.50 mts., which is required under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii).
15	M/s C S Infraconstruction Limited Bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage due to following reasons: a. <u>Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity as specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP:</u> Bidder has submitted the Certificates in support of value of work done, however the same are not signed by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer which is required as per RFP. Therefore, on the basis of available documents the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. b. <u>Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Additional Experience Technical Capacity as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP:</u> Bidder has claimed the project namely, "Sonauli nautanawa Gorakhpur deoria under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, but there's a discrepancy in dates, in completion certificate the date is mentioned 18.05.2023 and in Statutory Auditor certificate the date is mentioned likely to be commissioned on 31.03.2025" In this regard a clarification was sought from the Bidder in this regard, however, no response has been submitted by the Bidder. Therefore, in the absence of any substantiating document w.r.t. exact date of completion of the said project, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified of additional experience in construction of Major Bridge/ROBs/RUBs/ Flyovers in the last 10 (Ten) having span equal to or greater than 67.50 mts, which is required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. c. In addition to above, there were certain other clarifications sought from the Bidder, however, the Bidder has not submitted its response. Therefore, the same are re-iterated herein below:

S. No.	Details of Non-Responsive Bidders			
	S. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Project Code (if any)	Description of clarification sought
	1.	General	NA	In Appendix-IA, Appendix-III, Appendix-VI, Appendix-IX, Draft Integrity Pact, Undertaking submitted w.r.t. local content etc., the name of the Project has been mentioned incorrect, which was revised to “Construction of new 6-Lane Access Control Prayagraj Southern Greenfield Bypass from design Km 34+400 (near Dandupur) to design Km. 43+200 (near Mahuwari) [Total length 8.800 Km] PKG-1 under Phase-I in the state of Uttar Pradesh under NH(O) on EPC mode” vide Corrigendum-10. Please clarify by furnishing an Undertaking duly signed by the Authorized Signatory of the Bidder executed on a Non-Judicial Stamp Paper stating that: “The name of Project wherever appearing in our Technical Bid submitted online shall be read as “Construction of new 6-Lane Access Control Prayagraj Southern Greenfield Bypass from design Km 34+400 (near Dandupur) to design Km. 43+200 (near Mahuwari) [Total length 8.800 Km] PKG-1 under Phase-I in the state of Uttar Pradesh under NH(O) on EPC mode” as modified vide Corrigendum-10.
	2.	Undertaking Submitted w.r.t. local supplier	NA	Bidder has submitted the self-certification undertaking which is issued for the different project instead of issued for project namely, Construction of new 6 Lane Access Control Prayagraj Southern Greenfield Bypass from design Km 34+400 (near Dandupur) to design Km. 43+200 (near Mahuwari) Design length 8.800 Km PKG-1 under Phase-I in the state of Uttar Pradesh under NH(O) on EPC mode. Bidder to furnish the same.
	3.	Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Bid Security) OR Appendix - X	NA	In 1st Para of the Bank Guarantee, the name of the Project is not as was modified vide Corrigendum-10. Bidder is required to furnish an amendment to the Bank Guarantee duly rectifying the name as “Construction of new 6-Lane Access Control Prayagraj Southern Greenfield Bypass from design Km 34+400 (near Dandupur) to design Km. 43+200 (near Mahuwari) [Total

S. No.	Details of Non-Responsive Bidders			
		(Surety Bond for Bid Security)		length 8.800 Km] PKG-1 under Phase-I in the state of Uttar Pradesh under NH(O) on EPC mode”
	4.	Appendix-III (POA for signing of Bid)	NA	Bidder has submitted the Board Resolution directly in favour of Authorized Signatory i.e. Mr. Ramesh Singh instead of submitting the same in favour of executor of POA i.e. Mr. Pushpa Singh. Please Clarify, through Board Resolution, how Mr. Pushpa Singh is authorized to execute the POA in f/o Mr. Ramesh Singh on or before 11.04.2025.