



सत्यमेव जयते

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)



जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

NHAI/JPR/NH-65/RBFS/COS-2/2023/E-208688/128002

03.03.2025

To,

**All Participated Bidders
(As per table below)**

Sub: Construction of Additional Structures of 12 Nos. Grade Separator/VUP/LVUP/CUP on Rajasthan Border – Fatehpur – Salasar Section of NH-52 and NH-58 on EPC Mode in the State of Rajasthan: - **Result of Technical evaluation - Reg.**

Ref: Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_211686_1

Sir,

This has reference to the Bid submitted for above subject project. The result of Technical Evaluation of the received bids are tabulated below. For Non-responsive/non-Eligible bids, reason for non-responsiveness/ non-eligibility is also given hereunder:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status of the Bidder
1.	Dhariwal Buildtech Limited	Responsive
2.	Shekhawati Enterprises- Shekhawati Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive
3.	Ramesh Kumar Bansal	Responsive
4.	Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Limited	Responsive
5.	Modi Construction Company	Responsive
6.	R.G. Buildwell Engineers Ltd.	Non-Responsive
7.	Himalayan Infracorp Private Limited	Responsive
8.	Tomar Construction Company - N.G. Constructions (JV)	Non-Responsive
9.	JSP Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10.	JCC Infracorp Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
11.	Adarshbadli Construction Private Limited – New India Contractors and Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
12.	Mukesh Trading Corporation –Khatkar Builders (JV)	Responsive
13.	Hari Ram Godara –Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive

14.	Ganpati Bharat Private Limited- Mehta Construction Co. (JV)	Responsive
15.	R & C Infraengineers Private Limited –DMR Builders Private Limited (JV)	Responsive
16.	Ceigall India Limited	Responsive
17.	Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited	Non-Responsive
18.	Ganeshgarhia Construction Private Limited	Responsive

*Above result is subject to checking of updated bid capacity as per requirement of RFP clause 2.2.2.1

Reason of Non-Responsiveness:

Note-1 Shekhawati Enterprises- Shekhawati Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd. (JV):

1. As per the client certificate provided the bridge work is not equal to or more than 36.76 Cr. so the said project is not considered for evaluation for single completed Major Bridge project. Hence, bidder is not meeting the criteria as per RFP 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) **One similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project.** Hence, the bidder may be considered as Technically Disqualified/ Non-Responsive.
2. The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical Threshold as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (i), further, the lead member of the bidder is also not meeting the 60% of Technical Threshold. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Technically Disqualified/ Non-Responsive.
3. The bidder is considered Non responsive under clause 3.1.6.1 as the bidder is not submitted the Annex-V and Annexure-VIII and not provided the required undertaking for Local content as per clause 1.2.10.

Note-2 R.G. Buildwell Engineers Ltd.:

Bidder has not submitted the reply to clarification asked. As per RFP clause 3.1.5 “If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected. In case the Bid is not rejected, the Authority may proceed to evaluate the Bid by construing the particulars requiring clarification to the best of its understanding, and the Bidder shall be barred from subsequently questioning such interpretation of the Authority”. As per available data bidder is not meeting the criteria as per RFP 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) **One similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project.** Hence, the bidder is Technically Disqualified/ Non-Responsive.

Note-3 Tomar Construction Company - N.G. Constructions (JV):

The provided client certificate has not mentioned the span length of Bridge. The client certificate mentioned as the agency has executed 2 minor bridges of 21.5 meter each in claimed project. Hence, the said project is not meeting the requirement as mentioned in clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder is Technically Disqualified/ Non-Responsive.

Note-4 Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited:

As per the CPPP website [https:// etenders.gov.in](https://etenders.gov.in) , the Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited is the bidder with bid ID 798980 and in the DSC is also in the name of Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited (as bidder) , that shows that the bidder is ‘Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India)

Private Limited' however the documents provided in its bid is of Salasar Highways Pvt. Ltd., though the Appendix -IA, Annexure-V, Appendix-IX and undertakings, issued on the letter head of Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited. Further, SA certificate for Technical financial and bid capacity is of Salasar Highways Pvt. Ltd.

As Bidder (i.e., Galfar Engineering and Contracting (India) Private Limited) has not submitted the required document (in its bid) as per RFP (clause 2.11.1). **Hence, the bidder is declared non-Responsive under clause- 3.1.6.1 of the RFP.**

2. Any clarification/representation on the above result may be submitted by bidder by 09.03.2025 at email id yugaraut@nhai.org & keshavverma@nhai.org. Soft copy of representation may also be furnished in accordance to clause 2.19 of RFP.

Yours faithfully,


(Y A Raut)
GM (T)- Raj.