



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



MPDIV-21014/8/2024-MP Division

Dated 28.03.2026

To,

All Bidders

Sub: Construction of Balance Works in Tiger Corridor & Provision of Mitigation Measures Structures in Itarsi-Betul Section of NH-69 (km 61+500 to km 67+380, km 80+940 to km 88+640, km 108+933 to 116+263) in the State of Madhya Pradesh on EPC mode (Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_205316_1) - Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.

Sir,

Please refer to bids submitted online on e-tender portal for the subject project till Bid Due Date i.e. 24.03.2026. The result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
1.	M/s Rudranee Infrastructure Ltd. In JV with M/s N. P. Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP “two similar completed works costing not less than amount equal to 25% each of estimated project cost Or one similar completed work costing not less than amount equals to 35% of estimated project cost shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. Certificate(s) from the concerned client(s) shall be required for the same. In case the claimed project / (s) are subcontracting/ JV project in such a case Approval from Govt. Authority / Client is required (restricted to allowable sub-contracting limit/ JV share in original contract).” However, the bidder is not meeting the above-mentioned criteria. Further, as per Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP “Bidders who interalia meet the minimum qualification criteria will be qualified only if their available BID capacity is more than the total BID value (value as per Clause 1.1.1). The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or

			<i>Concessionaire/ Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.”</i> However, the bidder is not meeting the above-mentioned criteria. Additionally, as per Clause 3.1.6 (Tests of Responsiveness) of the RFP, certain deficiencies/clarifications were observed in the bid, details of which are enclosed as Annexure-A. In view of the above, the bidder is considered non-responsive.
2.	M/s AK Shivhare Infrastructure Private Limited	Responsive	
3.	M/s Atlas Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	
4.	M/s Jeet Asia Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s Jayshree International	Responsive	
5.	M/s Bansal Construction Works Private Limited	Responsive	

2. The result of Technical Evaluation is displayed on e-tender portal for 01 day i.e. 29.03.2026 up to 19:00 Hours. After considering the representation, financial bids of the Technically Responsive Bidders (after considering the ascertaining the updated bid capacity as on the day before opening the financial bids) will be opened thereafter.

RAHUL
 SINGH
 (Rahul Singh)
 General Manager (T)
 MP Division

Digitally signed by
 RAHUL SINGH
 Date: 2026.03.28
 17:17:27 +05'30'

Annexure-A

Sr. No.	Clause/ References	Clarification required
1.	Annex IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP <i>“Provided that at least one similar work: (i) two similar completed works costing not less than amount equal to 25% each of estimated project cost or (ii) one similar completed work costing not less than amount equals to 35% of estimated project cost shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. Certificate(s) from the concerned client(s) shall be required for the same. In case the claimed project / (s) are subcontracting/ JV project in such a case Approval from Govt. Authority / Client is required (restricted to allowable sub-contracting limit/ JV share in original contract).”</i> However, no such projects are found in the submitted bid which is covered under category 1 and/or Category 3 and completed in last Five Financial Year preceding the Bid Due Date. Kindly Clarify through supporting documents if any claimed project meets the above eligibility criteria as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.
2.	Annexure VI (Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity under Clause 2.2.2.1)	<u>M/s N. P. Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd.</u> As per Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP <i>“Bidders who inter alia meet the minimum qualification criteria will be qualified only if their available BID capacity is more than the total BID value (value as per Clause 1.1.1).</i> <i>The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire/ Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.”</i> However, the Bidder has not submitted the client certificates duly countersigned by the Engineer-in-Charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent, as required under the provisions of the RFP. Further, the Bidder is requested to submit certificates duly certified by the competent authority not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent clearly indicating the value of work claimed as completed and the amount received against such works. Kindly Clarify

3.	Appendix-III (Format for Power of Attorney for signing of BID)	M/s. Rudranee Infrastructures Ltd. As per format of Appendix-III of the RFP, the bidder is required to state whether the relation is of son/daughter/wife. However, it has been observed that the bidder has written son/daughter/wife instead of specifying any one relation. Kindly clarify. As per format of Appendix-III of the RFP, the project is on EPC mode. However, it has been observed that the bidder has written on item rate (percentage) basis. Kindly clarify.
4.	Appendix IV (Format for Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture)	As per format of the RFP of Appendix-IV of the RFP, the bidder is required to appoint and authorize a Lead Member in case of Joint venture for signing the bid. However, it has been observed that the bidder has written the name of the authorised personnel instead of the name of the company as the lead member of the Joint venture. Kindly clarify
5.	Appendix V (Format for Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint venture)	As per format of Appendix V of the RFP, Recital (A) states that <i>“THE NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA, established under the National Highways Authority of India Act 1988, represented by its Chairman and having its principal offices at G-5 & 6, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075 (hereinafter referred to as the “Authority” which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context or meaning thereof, include its administrators, successors and assigns) has invited bids (the Bids”) by its Request for Proposal No. dated (the “RFP”) for award of contract for “Construction of Balance Works in Tiger Corridor & Provision of Mitigation Measures Structures in Itarsi-Betul Section of NH-69 (km 61+500 to km 67+380, km 80+940 to km 88+640, km 108+933 to 116+263) in the State of Madhya Pradesh on EPC mode under NH(O)”.</i> However, the bidder has mentioned Ministry of Road Transport & Highways instead of NHAI. Further, the bidder has written rehabilitation and augmentation before project name and omitted under NH(O) after project name. Kindly clarify. As per format of Appendix V of the RFP, the point no. 8 states that if the Joint Venture is not pre-qualified or not selected for the project that the agreement is terminated. However, the bidder has added in continuation that <i>“or upon return of the Bid Security by the Authority to the Bidder, as the case may be.”</i> Kindly clarify.
6.	Appendix II (Bank Guarantee for Bid security)	As per point 14 of Appendix II (Bank Guarantee for Bid Security) of the RFP <i>“This guarantee shall also be operatable at our..... Branch New Delhi, from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension / renewal</i>

		<i>thereof shall be made available on demand. In the contingency of this guarantee being invoked and payment thereunder claimed, the said branch shall accept such invocation letter and make payment of amounts so demanded under the said invocation.”</i> However, the bidder has mentioned Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar instead of New Delhi. Kindly Clarify.
7.		As per point 7 of the RFP “In order to give full effect to this Guarantee, the Authority shall be entitled to treat the Bank as the principal debtor”. However, the bidder has mentioned the guarantor instead of principal debtor. Kindly Clarify.
8.	Local Content	As per Clause 1.2.10 of the RFP <i>“The self-certification shall also have details of the location(s) at which the local value addition is made.</i> In case of procurement for a value in excess of Rs. 10 crores, the 'Class - I local supplier' / 'Class - II local supplier' shall provide a certificate from the statutory auditor or cost auditor of the company (in case of companies) or from a practicing cost accountant or practicing chartered accountant (in respect of suppliers other than companies) giving the percentage of local content.” However, the above-mentioned documents is missing from the submitted bid. Kindly provide the exact location where the same has been annexed.
9.	Financial Information	As per Clause 2.11.1 (m) of the RFP <i>“Copies of duly audited complete annual accounts of the Bidder or of each member (in case of Joint Venture) for preceding 5 years”</i>. However, the bidder has not submitted the Profit & Loss Account for F.Y. 2024-25. Kindly Clarify.
Note: 1. Refer to clause 3.1.4 of RFP document. 2. Clarifications to be submitted should be signed by the same person who has signed the original submitted Application. 3. Please also submit the soft copy of replies through email containing word document file.		