



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS, GOVT OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.

Regional Office : Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru-560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



NHAI/ RO-BNG/ Road Safety/Tender/2024-25/3751

Date: 18.12.2024

To,

All participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of VUP at Km.255+770 near Bada Cross of NH-48 (Old NH-4) at the entrance to Davangere city for Six-Laning of Chitradurga - Davanagere including Chitradurga bypass (Km.189+000 to km.260+000) in the state of Karnataka - **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids - reg.**

Ref:

1. Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_204983_1

2. NIT No.15/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/ 2024-25 dated 14.08.2024

The Online Tender was invited vide above said notification for the subject work and for which following bidder has submitted the Technical proposal on the schedule date of 16.10.2024 and the same were opened on 17.10.2024.

Sl. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsive / Non-Responsive
1	M/s. ESSVY Constructions India Private Limited	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 1)
2	M/s. anand constructions	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 2)
3	M/s. S R BALLARY PRIVATE LIMITED	Technically Responsive
4	M/s. Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure and Developers Pvt Ltd	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 3)
5	M/s. Chaitanya Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 4)
6	M/s. JSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7	M/s. UDAYSHIVAKUMAR INFRA LIMITED	Technically Responsive
8	M/s. VEERENDRA NARAYAN SHETTY	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 5)
9	M/s. S S POLICE PATIL AND COMPANY	Technically Responsive
10	M/s. IBHA CONSTRUCTIONS PVT LTD	Technically Responsive
11	M/s. M G Arun Kumar	Technically Responsive

10

Note 1 - M/s Essvy Constructions India Pvt. Ltd.

• The bidder has not provided certificate for each project mentioned in table of value of B. Hence, we have considered full contract value for those project whose certificate for value of work done not provided by bidder. After considering full contract value for those projects, the bidder is not meeting the minimum required bid capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1. Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 2 - M/s Anand Constructions

• The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) i.e.; "Technical Threshold Capacity". (Refer the table response to the replies received from M/s Anand Constructions). Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 3 - M/s Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd.

• The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 4 - M/s Chaitanya Constructions

• The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".
• In addition to above, there are certain other reasons of disqualification/observations, which have not been complied by the Bidder (For details refer to the table above in response to the replies received from M/s Chaitanya Constructions). Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 5 - M/s Veerendra Narayan Shetty, Contractor

• The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) i.e.; "Technical Threshold Capacity".
• The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".
• In addition to above, there are certain other reasons of disqualification/observations, which have not been complied by the Bidder (For details refer to the table above in response to the replies received from M/s Veerendra Narayan Shetty, Contractor).

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

2. In view of the above, financial bids of the above 06 Nos of technically responsive bidders will be opened on 19.12.2024 at 1600Hrs, if possible.

3. This issues with the approval of GM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja) 18/12/24

Dy. General Manager (T)
RO - Bengaluru