



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS, GOVT OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.

Regional Office : Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru-560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



NHAI/ RO-BNG/ Road Safety/Tender/2024-25/3396

Date: 23.11.2024

To,

All participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of VUP at Km.255+770 near Bada Cross of NH-48 (Old NH-4) at the entrance to Davangere city for Six-Laning of Chitradurga - Davanagere including Chitradurga bypass (Km.189+000 to km.260+000) in the state of Karnataka - Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids (Stage-1) - reg.


Ref:

1. Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_204983_1
2. NIT No.15/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/ 2024-25 dated 14.08.2024

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 16.10.2024 till 1100 Hrs. Bids were opened on 17.10.2024 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical / Stage-I evaluation is enclosed as Annexure-I.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 03 days of receipt of this letter i.e. on or before 26.11.2024 at 15.00 Hrs. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time.
3. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja) 23/11/24

Dy. General Manager (T)
RO - Bengaluru

ANNEXURE-I

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Sole/ JV	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(i)) (INR in crores)	Eligible Project as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) (20 % of EPC)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (INR in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6)
			Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = Rs. 15.06 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = Rs. 1.00 Cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = Rs. 3.01 Cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = Rs. 20.08 cr.	
1	M/s Essvy Constructions India Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	280.98	EPC - 145.37 (Project Code A)	66.03	130.80	(4.86)	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 1)
2	M/s Anand Constructions	Sole	6.53	0.00	9.24	10.25	57.10	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 2)
3	M/s S. R. Ballary Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	72.30	0.00	24.10	72.89	0.11	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 3)
4	M/s Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	49.12	0.00	11.09	43.25	225.65	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 4)
5	M/s Chaitanya Constructions	Sole	30.53	0.00	11.81	11.32	18.08	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 5)
6	M/s JSR Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	262.24	EPC - 101.10 (Project code 2b)	72.98	127.70	147.25	Technically Responsive
7	M/s Udayshivakumar Infra Ltd.	Sole	59.84	EPC - 23.96 (Project code M)	174.92	257.48	21.77	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Veerendra Narayan Shetty, Contractor	Sole	0.00	0.00	3.91	16.62	76.43	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 6)

13

ANNEXURE-I

9	M/s S S Police Patil & Co.	Sole	19.30	EPC - 4.61 (Project code - C)	14.14	27.97	64.41	Technically Responsive
10	M/s Ibha Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	14.70	EPC - 4.90 (Project - Recons truction of Major Bridge Tumkur H onnavar Section)	4.11	19.65	67.94	Technically Non - Responsive (Refer Note 7)
11	M/s MG Arunkumar	Sole	23.87	EPC - 27.20 (Project - Bantwa l - Bangalore sect ion)	18.89	32.69	37.40	Technically Responsive

ANNEXURE-I

Note 1 - M/s Essvy Constructions India Pvt. Ltd.

The bidder has not provided certificate for each project mentioned in table of value of B. Hence, we have considered full contract value for those project whose certificate for value of work done not provided by bidder. After considering full contract value for those projects, the bidder is not meeting the minimum required bid capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1.

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 2 - M/s Anand Constructions

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) i.e.; "Technical Threshold Capacity". (Refer the table response to the replies received from M/s Anand Constructions)

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 3 - M/s S. R. Ballary Pvt. Ltd.

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".

The bidder has not provided certificate for each project mentioned in table of value of B. Hence, we have considered full contract value for those project whose certificate for value of work done not provided by bidder. After considering full contract value for those projects, the bidder is not meeting the minimum required bid capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1.

In addition to above, there are certain other reasons of disqualification/observations, which have not been complied by the Bidder (For details refer to the table above in response to the replies received from M/s S. R. Ballary Pvt. Ltd.).

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

ANNEXURE-I

Note 4 - M/s Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 5 - M/s Chaitanya Constructions

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".

In addition to above, there are certain other reasons of disqualification/observations, which have not been complied by the Bidder (For details refer to the table above in response to the replies received from M/s Chaitanya Constructions).

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 6 - M/s Veerendra Narayan Shetty, Contractor

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) i.e.; "Technical Threshold Capacity".

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) i.e.; "at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.02 Crore (Rupees Four Crore Two Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5".

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

Note 7 - M/s Ibha Constructions Pvt. Ltd.

The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) i.e.; "Technical Threshold Capacity". (Refer the table response to the replies received from M/s Anand Constructions)

Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified.

120