

Minutes of Meeting

Sub: Construction & Maintenance of existing stretch of NH-146B from Sandalpur to Nasrullaganj (Length - 37.40 km) in the state of Madhya Pradesh.- Final Evaluation of Technical Proposals -Reg.

Ref: Tender ID:- 2024_NHAI_204732_1

RFP proposals for the subject project were invited on 13.08.2024 and due date for online submission of RFP was 12.09.2024. The technical proposals were opened through online platform (e-tendering portal i.e. "etenders.gov.in") on 13.09.2024.

2. Meeting of duly constituted Evaluation Committee was held on 13.09.2024 for opening of Technical Proposals received (online) till Bid due date (i.e. 12.09.2024) and on 19.09.2024 for evaluation of the technical proposals. The details of duly constituted evaluation committee are as following-

- | | | | |
|-----|---|---|----------|
| (a) | Sh. Manish Kumar Meena, PD, PIU-Harda | - | Convener |
| (b) | Smt. Riya Rathore, Manager (Tech), RO- Bhopal | - | Member |
| (c) | Shri Paras Bansal, Manager (T), RO- Bhopal | - | Member |
| (d) | Shri Dipesh Shah, Dy. Mgr. (F&A), RO- Bhopal | - | Member |

3. The bids have been evaluated as per the qualification criteria under clause 4.4 Section-III and other relevant clauses of RFP. Brief details of the same areas under:


- The project duration is 12 months with DLP of 06 months.
- Tender Value : Rs. 5,52,90,131/-
- Tender Fee, Bid Security and other qualification requirements:

Tender Fee	Bid Security	Average Turn-over during last 3 years	Work of similar nature during last 7 years
Rs.25,000/-	11,05,803/- (2% of Bid Price)	1,65,87,039/- (30% of Bid Price)	Single work of Rs. 4,42,32,105 /- (80% of Bid Price) OR Two works of Rs. 2,76,45,065 /- (50% of Bid Price) OR Three works of Rs. 2,21,16,052 /- (40% of bid price)

- The works of similar nature is defined as, "Similar works constitute experience in operation and maintenance/construction of 2/4/6 lane highway/roadwork."

4. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders, the evaluation committee has found following responsiveness status of bidders:

S. No.	Name of the Bidders	Responsiveness	Remarks/Reason for Non-Responsiveness
1	M/s Bindal Developers Contractor & Suppliers	Responsive	
2	M/s Kamla Construction Co.	Non-Responsive	In similar work category, the bidder has submitted only one work of Special Strengthening work of TaranaKanipura road in Ujjian of Rs. 6.05 Cr. However, the category of road and details of road lanes are not mentioned anywhere. As per RFP clause 4.4A(b) of section II, the similar work constitutes experience in operation & maintenance/Construction of 2/4/6 lane highway/road work. Hence, in the absence of road lane details, the work cannot be considered as similar work. Further, the bidder has also not submitted (i) CA Audited report on financial standings of bidder for past 3 FY, (ii) litigation history as per clause 1.7 of Qualification Information and clause 4.2 (j) of ITB, (iii) Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs), 2017 as per clause 4.2 (n) of ITB Thus, the bidder is found Non-

			Responsive as per the above.
3	M/s NMJ Infracon Contractors & Developers	Responsive	
4	M/s Kalash Enterprises	Responsive	
5	M/s B. M. Agrawal and Co.	Non-Responsive	In similar work category, the bidder has submitted 2 works, (i) BT renewal of Ratlam -Jhabua Road of SH 26 for an amount of Rs. 3.57 Cr.(ii) Const& maintenance of PMGSY road of 3.75 m width- 5.08 Cr. As per RFP clause 4.4A(b) of section II, the similar work constitutes experience in operation & maintenance/ Construction of 2/4/6 lane highway/road work. Further, to qualify bidder should have completed Single Work of Rs. 4,42,32,105/- or Two work of Rs. 2,76,45,065/- or Three work of Rs. 2,21,16,052/-. In this case, work of Const.& maintenance of PMGSY road is of 3.75 m width, hence not considered as similar work. Further, other work does not have value of Rs. 4,42,32,105/- or more, hence not qualify as similar work. In addition, bidder has not submitted details for calculating the bid capacity as per clause 1.4 (b) (iii) of Qualification Information and clause 4.6 of ITB and Information on litigation history as per clause 1.7 of Qualification Information and clause 4.2 (j) of ITB. The bidder has given POA to Mr. Askhat Agrawal, however DSC signatory is Mr. Brij Mohan Agrawal. As per clause 6 of NIT, the authorized signatory Holding

[Signature]
PA

[Signature]
PR

[Signature]
B2

[Signature]
Shah


			Power of Attorney shall only be the Digital Signatory. In case the authorized signatory holding Power of Attorney and Digital Signatory are not same, the bid shall be considered as Non-Responsive.
			Thus, the bidder is found Non-Responsive as per above.
6	Shri Infratech Limited	Gopalji Private	Responsive

5. The Committee observed that as per clause 23.5 (ii) Section-II of ITB, the responsive bidders are required to be submitted the original document as listed in the said clause, so responsive bidder may be asked to submit the original documents in next 07 days and further the Evaluation Committee recommended to publish the technical result and give 07 days' time to non-responsive bidders to submit their representations, if any.

6. In this regard, representations have been received from non-responsive bidders as per below:

(a) M/s Kamla Construction Co.: M/s Kamla Construction Co. has submitted their representation via mail on 25.09.2024 in which bidder has submitted their list of works executed along with completion certificate issued by respective Departments for consideration along with other documents (i) CA audit report for 3 years, (ii) Litigation History and (iii) Certificate of compliance of GFR 2017. Representation has been examined and it is submitted that the bidder was declared 'Non-Responsive' in similar work category under RFP clause 4.4A(b) of section II and for non-submission of (i) CA audit report for 3 years, (ii) Litigation History and (iii) Certificate of compliance of GFR 2017.

(ii) In this regard, it is mentioned that the bidder in its submitted bid on portal, has submitted only one work of Special Strengthening work of Tarana Kanipura road in Ujjain of Rs. 6.05 Cr. However, the category of road and details of road lanes are not mentioned anywhere. As per RFP clause 4.4A(b) of section II, the similar work constitutes experience in operation & maintenance/ Construction of 2/4/6 lane highway/road work. Hence, in the absence of road lane details, the work was not considered as similar work.



(iii) Now, bidder in his representation also has not given the details or certifies that the above mentioned road is of minimum 2 lane configuration. Further, the bidder has also submitted list of other works executed by them as prime contractor to qualify as per clause 4.4A(b) in similar work category. It is pertinent to mentioned that these works are not submitted by the bidder in their tender documents at the time submission of bid.


(iv) As per RFP section II ITB, Clause 23.4, as soon as possible, the Evaluation Committee will finalize the list of responsive bidders whose financial bids are eligible for consideration. However, to assist in the examination, evaluation of technical bids, the Employer may at his discretion, ask any bidder for clarification of his bid, however, no additional documents in support of clarification will be entertained.

(v) As per above clause, additional documents cannot be accepted at this stage. Further, in respect of work Special Strengthening work of Tarana Kanipura road in Ujjain of Rs. 6.05 Cr., bidder has still not clarified the road lanes details. Hence, the evaluation committee considered the bid of M/s Kamla Construction Co. as 'Non-Responsive' under clause 4.4A(b) of ITB. Thus the bidder is still found dis-qualified/non-responsive by committee.

7. Accordingly, after detailed deliberations, the bids have been again evaluated as per the qualification criteria under clause 4.4 Section-III and other relevant clauses of RFP. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders, the evaluation committee has found following responsiveness status of bidders: (Detailed evaluation sheet attached as *Annex-A*):

Sr. No.	Bidders	Responsiveness
1	M/s Bindal Developers Contractor & Suppliers	Responsive
2	M/s Kamla Construction Co.	Non-Responsive
3	M/s NMJ Infracon Contractors & Developers	Responsive
4	M/s Kalash Enterprises	Responsive
5	M/s B. M. Agrawal and Co.	Non-Responsive
6	ShriGopalJilnfratech Private Limited	Responsive

9. All the technically responsive bidders have submitted all the documents as per clause 23.5 (ii) Section-II of ITB, which have been verified and found in order.



10. Accordingly, after detailed discussions and deliberation the Committee recommends the following subject to approval of Competent Authority:


(a) For acceptance of responsiveness of bidders as per table at para-7 above.

(b) To open the financial bids of technically responsive bidders on 30.09.2024 at 12.00 PM.


(Riya Rathore)
Manager (T)
NHAI, RO-Bhopal


(Paras Bansal)
Manager (T)
NHAI, RO-Bhopal


(Dipesh G. Shah)
Dy. Manager (F&A)
NHAI, RO-Bhopal


(Manish Kumar Meena)
DGM (T)/PD
NHAI, PIU-Harda

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by Riya Rathore
Date: 2024.09.27 18:45:29 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs