



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



MPDIV-20017/7/2024-MP Division (e-file no.255106)

Dated 04.12.2024

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: Balance work for construction of 2-lane with paved shoulder from km 80+800 to km 85+250 (Satna-Maihar) section of NH 135BG (design length 4.450 km) in the state of Madhya Pradesh on EPC mode- **Result of Technical Evaluation - reg.**

Sir,

Please refer to the RFP invitation for the above-mentioned project. After Technical Evaluation and considering the representations submitted by the bidders against clarification sought out by Authority vide letters dated 14.11.2024, the result of technical evaluation is as below:

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Remarks
1.	M/s Bhaiya Lal Infrastructure Private Limited	Responsive
2.	M/s Vijay Kumar Mishra Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3.	M/s Kaiser Constructions Engineers and Contractors	Responsive
4.	M/s Pratap Builders	Responsive
5.	M/s PKT Consturction Private Limited	Responsive
6.	M/s JKM Infra Projects Limited	Responsive
7.	M/s AK Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8.	M/s Krishna Infratec	Non-responsive Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) and 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP: - On the basis of available documents, the Project Codes "a", "b", "d" and "f" have been considered under Category-4. - In case of Project Codes "e", "g", "i" and "k" have not been considered, since, the payment received in these Projects are less than 5% of EPC i.e. less than Rs. 3.38 Cr. Hence, not considered as per Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) of RFP. - Project Codes "c", "h", "j" and "l" have not been considered, since the said Projects are of Resurfacing work and Periodic Renewal Works and hence, not considered as per Clause 2.2.2.5 (v) of RFP. In view of the above, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified of Threshold Technical Capacity specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) and the Criteria of having experience of 1 similar completed work in Category-1 or 3 having value of at least Rs. 13.50 Cr. as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Remarks
		Additionally, the Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarifications sought. Therefore, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is rejected pursuant to Clause 3.1.5, which inter-alia states that "If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected.
9.	M/s Kaushal Prasad Patel	Non-responsive The Bidder in its Technical Bid has claimed only 01 (one) Project based on which the Technical Experience of the Bidder is assessed as Rs. 14.14 Cr. which is less than the minimum technical capacity of Rs. 50.63 Crore required as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP. Further, as per available documents, it is also not clear whether the said Project is covered Under Category-3. Therefore, on the basis of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified of Threshold Technical Capacity specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) and the Criteria of having experience of 1 similar completed work in Category-1 or 3 having value of at least Rs. 13.50 Cr. as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.
10.	M/s Shiv Shakti Construction Company	Non-responsive NHAI vide letter dated 14.11.2024 has requested the bidder to submit their clarification on or before 20.11.2024 up to 1700 hrs which was mainly pertaining to undertaking w.r.t. local supplier (CA certificate and self-certification), Para 5(d) of Annex-I (Details of bidder) which was left blank, missing name and address of bankers in Annex-III (Financial Capacity of bidder), eligibility of claimed projects as per Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects), non-submission of statement of Legal Capacity as per Annex-V, Annex-VIII of Appendix-IA (details of ongoing works), requisite amendment in BG and undertaking w.r.t. agree and abide among others. However, the Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarifications sought. Therefore, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is rejected pursuant to Clause 3.1.5, which inter-alia states that "If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected.

2. All the technically responsive bidders are requested to submit updated Bid capacity as on the 10.12.2024 i.e. day before opening of financial proposals and the financial proposals of eligible bidders shall be opened thereafter on 11.12.2024.


 (Pradeep Kumar Lal)
 General Manager (T) - MP