



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



No.: NHA/PIU-HSR/NH-09/H-D/MNT/OPBC/2023-24 (e-203478)

Date: 05.12.2024

To

All Bidders

Sub: Operation & Maintenance of four laning of Hisar to Dabwali Section of NH-10 (New NH-09) from km 170.000 to Km 314.660 (Total length 144.66 Km) in the State of Haryana on PBMC mode [Tender ID: 2024_NHA1_203472_1] - Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.

Ref.: Your BID for the subject work (Bid Due Date: 02.09.2024)

Sir,

With reference to above pertaining to the subject matter, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Type of Bidder (Sole / JV)	Status	Remarks
1	SCC Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-A below
2	Tomar Construction Company	Sole	Responsive	Qualified for next stage
3	Satish Aggarwal & Co.	Sole	Responsive	Qualified for next stage
4	Inckah Infrastructure Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	Responsive	Qualified for next stage
5	Mahavir Prasad Gupta & Sons	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-B below
6	S.S. Builders	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-C below
7	KCC Infra Private Limited	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-D below
8	KK Gupta Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-E below
9	Oasis Projects Limited	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-F below
10	Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Sole	Responsive	Qualified for next stage
11	Gurbachan Singh Contractor	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-G below
12	Mukesh Trading Corporation and M/s Khatkar Builders (MTC-KB JV)	JV	Non-Responsive	Refer para-H below
13	NSC Projects Private Limited	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-I below
14	Winner Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-J below
15	Suraj Construction Company	Sole	Responsive	Qualified for next stage
16	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-K below
17	Murari Lal Agarwal	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-L below
18	Ceigall India Limited	Sole	Non-Responsive	Refer para-M below

A. SCC Infrastructure Private Limited:

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) The bidder has submitted invoice in respect to the Mini Hot Mix Plant with the capacity of 128TPH against the requirement of Mini hot mix plant. The same cannot be considered for the required capacity of mini hot mix plant. Hence, not accepted.

(b) The bidder has claimed that the tax invoice is attached for string line set however, no such document is found. It is not clear whether bidder has string line set or not. The same may not be considered. Hence, not accepted.

..Contd- p/2

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

B. Mahavir Prasad Gupta & Sons:

Clause 4.3 (i) & (ii) of RFP (Technical Capacity):

(a) As per clause 4.7 (ii) of RFP, the receipts of or work executed amount less than 5% of Bid Value (Rs. 218.16 cr.) shall not be reckoned as receipts for Eligible Projects. However, the projects claimed by the bidder with project code "C" to "H", "L", "M", "O" to "A7" & "A9" to "A20" out of projects with project code "A" to "A20" the receipts are less than the required i.e. Rs. 10.91 cr. (5% of Rs. 218.16 cr.). These projects are not considered for evaluation. Hence not accepted.

Projects with project code "A", "B", "I", "J", "K" & "N" are considered. Remaining project with project code "A8" is not considered as the civil work in the said project is less than the 5% of Bid Value (Rs. 218.16 cr.) and also project is not eligible under clause 4.6 (IV) of RFP. Hence not accepted for threshold technical capacity.

Thus, threshold technical capacity of the bidder comes out to Rs. 143.19 cr. which is less than the required threshold technical capacity of Rs. 218.16 cr.

Further, bidder is also not meeting the criteria of similar work which is required as per para 4.3 (ii) of RFP which stipulates that "Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost i.e. Rs. 43.63 Crore (Rupees Forty Three Crore and Sixty Three Lakh Only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 4.6. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the tendered / Bid value. However, for 4 lane or above configurations projects, experience of the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 shall be of 2 lane or more. For projects with lane configuration upto 2 lane with paved shoulder, all experience of the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 shall be considered irrespective of lane configurations".

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.3 (i) & (ii) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Project Manager has worked on 9 projects during February, 2013 to till date (will take it as bid due date). Out of those 6 projects are Highway projects. The period on which the proposed personnel has worked on those projects are less than 8 years and as such the proposed Project Manager does not meet the minimum experience criteria.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted undertaking for dewatering pump given with MoU with Vogel sang India Pot. Ltd, however, the same cannot be considered as MoU is not executed on stamp paper. Further, bidder has not submitted invoice in the name of other party. The same may not be considered. Hence, not accepted.

(b) Bidder has submitted invoice in support of Proof for the capacity of 60-90 TPH for Mini hot mix plant. The same cannot be considered for the required capacity of mini hot mix plant. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

C. S.S. Builders:

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted invoice in support of Proof for the capacity of 40-60 TPH for Mini hot mix plant. The same cannot be considered for the required capacity of mini hot mix plant. Hence not accepted.

(b) Bidder has submitted RC of 2 no. of bolero camper for the Mobile Maintenance Unit however the same cannot be accepted as the capacity of the same is less than the required capacity for Mobile Maintenance Unit. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

D. KCC Infra Private Limited:

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted 2 invoices in support of Proof for the capacity of 40-60 TPH & 60-90 TPH for Mini hot mix plant. The same cannot be considered for the required capacity of mini hot mix plant. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

E. K K Gupta Constructions Pvt. Ltd.:

Clause 4.3 (i) & (ii) of RFP (Technical Capacity):

(a) Bidder has claimed project with project code "E" w.r.t. clause 4.3 (ii) of RFP. However, the same is not eligible under clause 4.6 (IV) of RFP. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.3 (ii) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Project Manager as proposed by the bidder in its revised list has worked on 12 projects during January, 2016 to till date (will take it as bid due date). Out of those, 5 projects are Highway projects. The period on which the proposed personnel has worked on those projects are less than 8 years and as such the proposed Project Manager does not meet the minimum experience criteria.

(b) Bidder has submitted consent on the letter head and signed by G-Engg however it is nowhere mentioned that the consent is from Mahipal Singh (proposed key personnel for Road Safety Auditor) for the project. The same cannot be considered. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted invoice in support of Proof for the capacity of 60-120 TPH for Mini hot mix plant. The same cannot be considered for the required capacity of mini hot mix plant. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

F. Oasis Projects Limited:

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Project Manager has worked on 11 projects during March, 2007 to till date (will take it as bid due date). Out of those, 4 projects are Highway projects. The period on which the proposed personnel has worked on those projects are less than 8 years and as such the proposed Project Manager does not meet the minimum experience criteria.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted RC of 2 no. of Ashok Leyland tipper box for the Mobile Maintenance Unit however the same cannot be considered as Mobile Maintenance Unit. Hence not accepted.

(b) Bidder has submitted Rent Agreement with "Shree Girrajjee Infra Heights Pvt. Ltd." for *water tanker, bitumen/ emulsion sprayer, hot mix plant with electronic controls, Mini hot mix plant with indirect heating arrangements, small roller/ compactor, air compressor, mechanical broom (1250 sqm/Hr)* on stamp paper. However, the said stamp paper's description of document states "Article 4 Affidavit". Further the same is not notarised. Further, invoices for water tanker, mini hot mix plant are not found submitted with the agreement. The same cannot be considered. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

G. Gurbachan Singh Contractor:

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Bidder has claimed Project Manager Mr. Amit Mehta who has worked as Project Manager 28-07-2001 to 09-03-2006 = 4 Years 9 months 3 years as Project Manager in M/s Gawar Const. Co on NH projects. Total experience of Highways = 7 Years 9 months. Total Experience as Project Manager/ Team Leader of Consultancy contract on Highway, Bridge Construction/ Maintenance works is less than the required experience of 8 years. The proposed Project Manager does not meet the minimum experience criteria. Hence not Accepted.

(b) Bidder has claimed Site Engineer-cum- Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer Dharminder Sharma. However, details of Projects have not been provided by the Bidder in the CV. Thus, the claimed key personnel do not meet the minimum experience criteria i.e. Minimum Requirement of 4 years on Highway Construction/ maintenance works. Hence not Accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted RC of 3 no. tipper truck for the Mobile Maintenance Unit however the same cannot be considered as Mobile Maintenance Unit. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

H. MTC-KB JV:

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Bidder has submitted consent on the letter head and signed by A-team Enterprises however it is nowhere mentioned that the consent is from Manjeet Singh (proposed key personnel for Road Safety Auditor) for the project. The same cannot be considered. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted RC of 2 no. tipper truck for the Mobile Maintenance Unit however the same cannot be considered as Mobile Maintenance Unit. Hence not accepted.

(b) Bidder has submitted capacity mentioned with a photograph showing the weight of said roller the same cannot be considered as proof of capacity for static roller. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

I. NSC Projects Private Limited:

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Project Manager has worked on 11 projects during May, 2008 to till date (will take it as bid due date). Out of those, none of the projects are Highway projects. The period on which the proposed personnel has worked on those projects are less than 8 years and as such the proposed Project Manager does not meet the minimum experience criteria. Hence not Accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted Invoice of Mechanical Broom for the capacity however the same cannot be considered as capacity not found. Hence not accepted.

(b) Bidder has submitted 2 nos of invoice for Mobile Road Repair machine (Mobile Maintenance Unit) Lease Agreement. The same cannot be considered as Mobile Maintenance Unit. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

J. Winner Constructions Private Limited:

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Project Manager has worked on 6 projects during April 2014 to till date (will take it as bid due date). Out of those, only 1 of the project is Highway project. The period on which the proposed personnel has worked on those projects are less than 8 years and as such the proposed Project Manager does not meet the minimum experience criteria. Hence not Accepted.

(b) Note Mentioned under table of Clause 4.1j(2) of RFP states that - "*The signed CVs must be uploaded along with the bid. Non-compliance of the above or non- furnishing of the CV as above or conditional deployment of any of the above personnel or proposal to employ lesser number of personnel than above shall make the bid non-responsive and financial bid shall not be opened. All such CVs are subject to the acceptance by the*

Concerned General Manager (Tech.)" At the time of submission of the Bid, Bidder has not proposed any key personnel for Road Safety Auditor's position. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted 4 nos of invoices of bolero camper, ambulance, hydraulic mobile crane etc. for Mobile Maintenance Unit with Lease Agreement. The same cannot be considered as Mobile Maintenance Unit. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

K. KCC Buildcon Private Limited:

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) Project Manager has worked on 8 projects during September 2001 to till date (will take it as bid due date). Out of those, Key personnel has 1 yr of experience in PIDCL as CGM (Highways) in Highway projects and has worked as Project Manager in KCC Buildcon Pvt Ltd. since 2017 to till date. However, project details are not yet submitted for KCC Buildcon Pvt Ltd tenure. Thus, for the period on which the proposed personnel has worked on those projects cannot be assessed and are less than 8 years and as such the proposed Project Manager does not meet the minimum experience criteria. Hence not Accepted.

(b) Bidder has not submitted Road Safety Auditors certificate for proposed key personnel for Road Safety Auditor position i.e. Aurangeb Khan and has further proposed new key personnel i.e. Lilesh Gautam & Rajiv Singla for Road Safety Auditor. The said replacement of the CVs are not acceptable as per note under Clause 4.1j(2) of RFP states that- "The signed CVs must be uploaded along with the bid. Non-compliance of the above or non-furnishing of the CV as above or conditional deployment of any of the above personnel or proposal to employ lesser number of personnel than above shall make the bid non-responsive and financial bid shall not be opened" Therefore, the same is not considered. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

(a) Bidder has submitted invoice in support of Proof for the capacity of 50 TPH for Mini hot mix plant. The same cannot be considered for the required capacity of mini hot mix plant. Hence not accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

L. Murari Lal Agarwal:

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

(a) From the submitted documents of proposed key personnel for the position of Project Manager, it is not clear whether the proposed key personnel has done the B.Tech (Civil) via regular classes or from Distance Learning Programme. Further, Degree of B. Tech (civil) is done in 2010 from Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth University which stands suspended and withdrawn vide Supreme Court judgement D. No. 40513/2017/ SEC- SUPREME COURT OF INDIA NEW DELHI dated 03.02.2018 wherein para 7 (b) states that "*This facility is given as one-time exception so that those who have the ability and can pass the test*"

in the first attempt itself, should not be put to inconvenience. If the candidates pass in such first attempt, they would be entitled to retain all the advantages. But if they fail or choose not to appear, the directions in the judgment shall apply, in that the degrees and all advantages shall stand suspended and withdrawn. At the cost of repetition, it is made clear that no more such chances or exceptions will be given or made. They will undoubtedly be entitled to appear on the second occasion in terms of the judgment but this exception shall not apply for such second attempt". Any document in support of validation of the degree by AICTE is also not submitted. The same is not considered. Hence, Not Accepted.

(b) As the Bidder has not mentioned the experience on Highway Construction/ maintenance works after B. Tech (2015) in the revised CV for the position of Site Engineer cum- Surveyor Engineer- cum- Material Engineer, the same may not be considered. Hence not Accepted.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

- (a) Proof of capacity for the Vibratory roller is not match with the invoice submitted in the Bid. The same cannot be considered. Hence not accepted.
- (b) Proof of capacity for the mechanical broom is not found submitted in the Bid. The same cannot be considered. Hence not accepted.
- (c) Bidder has submitted certificate of Registration of soil compactor in place of small roller/compactor. The same cannot be considered. Hence not accepted.
- (d) Proof of water tank in place of water tanker is submitted. The same cannot be considered. Hence not accepted.
- (e) Bidder has submitted the proof for 2 nos of Mobile Maintenance Unit. However, the same is not legible. The same cannot be considered.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

M. Ceigall India Limited:

Bidder has now submitted Surety Bond for Bid Security. However, in para 14 operatable branch is mentioned as "Chandigarh" is in place of "New Delhi". The same is not as per the format given in RFP. Hence not accepted.

Clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP (Technical Personnel):

- (a) Bidder has submitted Provisional certificate without any marksheet etc. to substantiate the certificate, in place of Degree of B. Tech (civil) for the key personnel proposed for the position of Project Manager. Despite explicitly seeking degree certificate bidder has not provided the same. The same may not be considered.

Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (2) of the RFP document.

Clause 4.1 j (1) of RFP (Equipment):

- (a) Bidder has submitted Invoice for 2 nos of mobile service van against the requirement of mobile Maintenance unit. However, the same may not be considered as the requirement for MMU in RFP is for 2 nos of truck (min 6 tonne). The same may not be accepted.

- (b) Bidder has submitted Invoice and brochure for Mechanical Broom. However, for capacity invoice and brochure does not match. The same may not be considered. Hence not accepted.



Thus, the bidder is Non- Responsive for not meeting the criteria as mentioned in note to clause 4.1 j (1) of the RFP document.

3. All the Responsive bidders are requested to submit updated bid capacity as per Annexure-VI of Appendix-IA of RFP as on 12.12.2024 (by 06:00 PM). The financial proposal of the Responsive bidders shall be opened on 13.12.2024 at 03:00 PM at Training Room, Ground Floor, NHAI HQ subject to submission of updated bid capacity, failing which appropriate action may be taken as per RFP. The bidders may also depute their representatives with proper authorization letter for attending the same, if interested.

Yours faithfully,


(Anuj Kumar Jain)
GM (T) - PB&HR