



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/RO/KOL/001/2023/PQ/KhalilurRahaman/VIP/E-186414

Dated: 24.03.2025

RESULT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION

To

All bidders

Sub : Construction of 2 nos of Flyover on Omarpur Junction of NH34 from Design Ch. 245.50 to Design Ch. 247.500 (Length=2.0 km) and on Dhulian Junction of NH 34 from Design Ch. 274.300 to Design Ch. 276.500 (Length=2.2 km) in the State of West Bengal on EPC Mode - **(TENDER ID :2024 NHAI 201974 1) - Reg**

Ref.: Your proposal dated 17.03.2025.

This has reference to RFP submitted for above subject project. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Status of Qualification	Remarks
1.	M/s. Mukherjee Associates Infra Private Limited	Non Responsive	(Annexure-1)
2.	M/s. Allone Infra Private Limited	Non Responsive	(Annexure-2)
3.	M/s. Simplex Infrastructures Limited	Non Responsive	(Annexure-3)
4.	M/s. Brahmaputra Infrastructures Ltd.- Raitani Engineering Works Pvt Ltd.	Non Responsive	(Annexure-4)
5.	M/s. Balaji Constructions Ltd.	Non Responsive	Bids are Password Protected
6.	M/s. Mackintosh Burn Limited	Responsive	
7.	Ramalingam Construction Company Ltd	Non Responsive	Bids are Password Protected

2. Financial Bid of the above-mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of Technical Evaluation on the web portal for 2 days (i.e., till **26.03.2025**). After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.

Rajeev Malhotra

(Lt Col Rajeev Malhotra)
General Manager (T) WB

ANNEXURE-1

M/s. Mukherjee Associates Infra Private Limited

- (i) As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP *"In case the cost of specialized project is less than or equal to Rs. 1,000 Cr: The Bidder shall have completed atleast one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 22 meter and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost."* Certificates submitted by the bidder doesn't specify the cost of the structure, span length in structures. The certificate presents the cost of project only which also includes road work in which structure was a part. Hence, we have not found any project that fulfilled the Criteria of 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP and bidder is considered as Non-Responsive.
- (ii) Certificate from Statutory auditor for local content of Bidder has not found in the submitted bid.
- (iii) In the Details of Applicant form the Details filled table under point no. 7B (total-20 point) and certifying para below the table contains "NA" instead of Yes/NO/Nil .

(Lt Col Rajeev Malhotra)
General Manager (T) WB

ANNEXURE-2

M/s. Allone Infra Private Limited

As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP "In case the cost of specialized project is less than or equal to Rs. 1,000 Cr: The Bidder shall have completed atleast one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 22 meter and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost." Certificates submitted by the bidder doesn't specify the cost of the structure, span length in structures. The certificate presents the cost of project only which also includes road work in which structure was a part. As we have not found any project that fulfilled the Criteria of 2.2.2.2 (iii) of the RFP. Accordingly, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.



(Lt Col Rajeev Malhotra)
General Manager (T) WB

ANNEXURE-3

M/s. Simplex Infrastructures Limited

- (i) Bidder has mentioned in Annex-I at table below point no-7 as “ Yes terminated but not debarred in the following projects: 1. Rehabilitation and upgradation to Four laning of NH-31D from Km 113.200 to Km 154.854 (Package- IIA) Ghoshpukar-Salsalabari Section in the state of West Bengal on EPC “ and “Widening /Improvement to 4 lane with paved shoulder configuration of existing single lane road from Srirampur (near Bhairiguri Village) to Kachukhan Harichara Pagalganuj (Package-I) of Srirampur-Dhubri Section of NH -127B from existing Km 0.000 to km 28.050 (Design Km 0.00 to Km 27.650) (Design length 27.650 Km on EPC mode in the state of Assam under JICA-ODA Loan Assistance. And “Widening/Improvement to 4 lane with paved shoulder configuration.....project of NHIDCL was terminated on 24/06/2024. But not debarred by the Authoriied for the particiapation in the bidding process”.

In case of Sr. No.2 of NHIDCL.....”

- (ii) However As per RFP Clause 2.1.14 “The Bidder, including an individual or any of its Joint Venture member, should not be a non-performing party on the bid submission date. The Bidder, including an individual or any of its Joint Venture member, should not be a non-performing party on the bid submission date and as on date of issue of LOA. Upon declaration of nonperformer/debarred, the Contractor/Concessionaire will not be able to participate in any bid for National Highways projects with MoRTH or its executing agencies till such time the debarment persists or the Contractor/Concessionaire is removed from the list of non-performer”. Hence, Considering the above fact Bidder may be Considered as non-Responsive.


(Lt Col Rajeev Malhotra)
General Manager (T) WB

ANNEXURE-4

M/s. Brahmaputra Infrastructures Ltd.- Raitani Engineering Works Pvt Ltd.

- (i) In case of Lead Member (Brahmaputra Infrastructures Ltd) Audit Report of Financial Year of 2023-24 was audited by Goyal Nagpal & Co. and certificate issued by Dipika Agarwal Chartered accountant.
- (ii) In the Submitted Bid both the Member has not submitted the certificates of the projects duly signed by the Executive Engineer or equivalent for the calculation of value of B of the Bid capacity (as per note of clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP). The bidder has provided LOAs for most of the projects. Hence, in absence of projects certificate duly signed by the Executive Engineer or equivalent the bidder is not meeting the minimum required bid capacity as required in RFP.
- (iii) As per point no. 14 of submitted Bank Guarantee "shall be operatable in "Guwahati", however the same should be 'New Delhi' as per the format prescribed in the RFP.
- (iv) There are discrepancies found in the Power of Attorney for both members, as outlined below:
 - No Board Resolution or charter documents have been found in support of the POA executor, Sanjay Kr. Mozika, for the Lead Member.
 - No Board Resolution or charter documents have been found in support of the POA executor, Sweta Raitani, for the Other Member.
- (v) In the submitted financial capacity of the Other Member (Raitani Engineering Works Pvt Ltd), the maximum value of civil engineering work (Value of A) includes the share of turnover from the joint venture Also. However, we have taken the turnover details of the Other Member (Raitani Engineering Works Pvt. Ltd.) from the submitted balance sheet in the bid (We have not found JV's turnover in the submitted balance sheet), and upon calculating the bid capacity, it has been determined that the bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria of 20% requirement for the Other Member as per the Clause 2.1.11 of RFP.


(Lt Col Rajeev Malhotra)
General Manager (T) WB