



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/NE/PBMC/Tender/Guwahati/Baihata-Patharkuchi

Date: 03.12.2024

To,

All Participating Bidders;

Sub: Strengthening and Major Maintenance of “Four Lane divided carriageway Road with paved shoulders configuration from Km. 205.00 to Km. 278+685 of NH-37 in the state of Assam” on PBMC Mode- 2nd Call. - **Result of technical Evaluation-reg.** (Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_200259_1)

Ref: RFP dated 04.07.2024

Sir,

This has reference to your bid submission for RFP dated 04.07.2024 in subject project.

2. In this regard, it is to inform that based on the bids and subsequent clarifications received, the Technical Evaluation has been completed. In compliance to clause 23.5 of RFP, the result is as below:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
1)	Shree Gautam Construction Company Limited	Technically Responsive
2)	Prabhu Agarwalla Construction Pvt Ltd	Technically Non- Responsive
3)	S.S. Civil Construction Pvt Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4)	Brahmaputra Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5)	UCN Construction Company Pvt Ltd.	Technically Non- Responsive
6)	Simplex Infrastructures Limited	Technically Non- Responsive
7)	Purbanchal Buildtech Pvt Ltd.	Technically Responsive
8)	Sanwariya Construction	Technically Non- Responsive
9)	PRL Projects and Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Non- Responsive
10)	Shri Balaji Construction Company	Technically Non- Responsive

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
11)	Sanwar Mal Khetawat	Technically Non- Responsive
12)	PC Gupta and Company	Technically Non- Responsive
13)	M/s D.C. Ajmera	Technically Non- Responsive
14)	Latala Construction Company	Technically Non- Responsive
15)	Aryan Construction and Associates	Technically Non- Responsive
16)	Rana Construction & Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non- Responsive
17)	M/s Tanor Engineering	Technically Responsive
18)	RDCPL-SCC JV	Technically Responsive
19)	Jeet Asia Private Limited	Technically Responsive
20)	Balaji Construction Ltd.	Technically Non- Responsive
21)	Saraswati Buildcon	Technically Responsive

*Detailed Reasons of Non-responsiveness are attached at Annexure-1.

3. In view of the above, it is requested to submit representations, if any, within 7 (seven) days i.e., on or before 09.12.2024.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours sincerely,


 (Lokesh Singh Rajpurohit)
 General Manager (T)-NE

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
1	Prabhu Agarwalla Construction Pvt Ltd	Technically Non- Responsive Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive.
2	UCN Construction Company Pvt Ltd.	Technically Non- Responsive Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as detail mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive. Undertakings: Bidder has not submitted the Undertaking w.r.t. Agree and Abide. Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive. Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1): 2 No. of Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Vibratory Roller (8/10 T): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		1 No. of Mechanical Broom (1250 sqm per hour): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • General: Bidder has not submitted the requisite details w.r.t. all the equipment required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of RFP in the attached format enclosed herewith as Annexure-A (Part-1) along with the supporting documents duly highlighting the requisite details such as Name of Equipment, Capacity, Quantity, Page etc. • <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience: -</u> • Project Manager: 1. Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, it has been observed that, The CV has several areas of concern, including the absence of a proposed position or job title, unclear degree information with an illegible college name or logo, and lack of project details demonstrating relevant experience for the required position. Additionally, the CV was signed and dated January 25, 2024, which does not align with the bid due date" Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer: 1. Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Koushik Kashyap Borah for Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the required experience is not clearly show in CV as specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer as required by the RFP. Additionally, the CV was signed on dated January 25, 2024, which does not align with the bid due date." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		• Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer: 2. Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, “CV of Mr. Shyam Kinkar for Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, it has been observed that proposed position not having relevant experience of 5 year in highway projects and the required experience is not clearly show in CV as specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer as required by the RFP. Additionally, the signed and dated on CV is not mentioned.” Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, “CV of Samir Kumar Sarkar for the position of Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, it has been observed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager position as required by the RFP. Additionally, the CV was signed on dated January 25, 2024, which does not align with the bid due date.” Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, “Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive.”

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
3	Simplex Infrastructures Limited	Technically Non- Responsive Financial statements & Audited Annual Report: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive."
4	Sanwariya Construction	Technically Non- Responsive Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive. Local content- Class - I local Supplier/ Class - II local Supplier: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. Certificate Regarding Compliance: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. Financial statements & Audited Annual Report: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 i (1):</u>

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		2 No. of Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Mechanical Broom (1250 sqm per hour): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Grader/Backhoe loader: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangement: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • General: Bidder has not submitted the requisite details w.r.t. all the equipment required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of RFP in the attached format enclosed herewith as Annexure-A (Part-1) along with the supporting documents duly highlighting the requisite details such as Name of Equipment, Capacity, Quantity, Page etc. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience: -</u> • Project Manager: 1. Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Narayan Singh Chauhan for Project Manager while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the 8 years' experience is not fulfilled in Project Manager/Team Leader as required in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		meet the required experience. Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. Road Safety Auditor (Required 3 days in every 3: 1. Bidder was asked to submit the documents in support of clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Mangalesh Pandey for Road Safety Auditor while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the required experience is not clearly show in CV as specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Road Safety Auditor position as required by the RFP. and Bidder has not submitted the Road Safety Audit Certificate from appropriate approved Govt. agency of Mr. Mangalesh Pandey whose CV has been proposed for the position of Road Safety Auditor which is required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive."
5	PRL Projects and Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Non- Responsive Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive.
6	Shri Balaji Construction Company	Technically Non- Responsive ➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1):</u> 2 No. of Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		1 No. of Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangements: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience:</u> - Road Safety Auditor (Required 3 days in every 3: 1. Bidder was asked to submit the documents in support of clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Mohit Sharma, proposed Road Safety Auditor, is not signed and also Road Safety Audit Certificate is not found along with the CV from appropriate approved Govt. agency, which is required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive.
7	Sanwar Mal Khetawat	Technically Non- Responsive Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		• Appendix-III (POA for signing of Bid): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • Certificate regarding compliance: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • Undertaking: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1):</u> Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: Bidder has not submitted an Undertaking duly signed by the Authorized Signatory w.r.t. Mobile Maintenance Unit and duly stating the following: <i>Enclosed Annexure-A (Part-2)</i> 1 No. of Vibratory Roller (8/10 T): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Static Roller (8/10 T): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Mechanical Broom (1250 sqm per hour): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Grader/Backhoe loader: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		2 No. of Dewatering Pumps: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangements: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • General: Bidder has not submitted the requisite details w.r.t. all the equipment required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of RFP in the attached format enclosed herewith as Annexure-A (Part-1) along with the supporting documents duly highlighting the requisite details such as Name of Equipment, Capacity, Quantity, Page etc. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience: -</u> • Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Kuldip Talukdar, proposed as Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager, with a Diploma in Civil Engineering, whereas the RFP requires a Graduation degree from a recognized college as per Clause 4.1(j)(2). Bidder to clarify through supporting document to confirm that Mr. Talukdar meets the specified educational qualification requirements." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Road Safety Auditor (Required 3 days in every 3 months): 1. Bidder was asked to submit the documents in support of clarification asked, "CV and omitted the Road Safety Audit Certificate

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		from an approved government agency for Mr. Ajit Kumar Borah, who is proposed as the Road Safety Auditor. This is a requirement as per Clause 4.1(j)(2) of the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting the missing documents, including the signed Infracon CV and the Road Safety Audit Certificate, to meet the specified requirements." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive.
8	PC Gupta and Company	Technically Non- Responsive ➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1):</u> 2 No. of Air compressor: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience: -</u> • Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer: Bidder has claimed the Technical Personnel of Mr. Neeraj Kumar Mishra as Site Engineer in table, as per clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP, however CV submitted of Mr. Parmanand Kumar. Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.11 (i) & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Road Safety Auditor (Required 3 days in every 3 months): Bidder has claimed the Technical Personnel of Mr. Ajay Narula as Site Engineer in table, as per clause 4.1 j (2) of RFP, however CV submitted of Mr. Anuj Narula. Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.11 (i) & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
9	M/s D.C. Ajmera	Technically Non- Responsive Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. List of the Following: 1. Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) 2. Annex-V (Statement of Legal Capacity) 3. Annexure-IX (Affidavit) 4. Financial statements & Audited Annual Report 5. Undertaking 6. Local content- Class - I local Supplier/ Class - II local Supplier • Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive. ➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1):</u> Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: Bidder has not submitted an Undertaking duly signed by the Authorized Signatory w.r.t. Mobile Maintenance Unit and duly stating the following: <i>Enclosed Annexure-A (Part-2)</i> • General: Bidder has not submitted the requisite details w.r.t. all the equipment required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of RFP in the attached format enclosed herewith as Annexure-A (Part-1) along with the supporting documents duly highlighting the requisite details such as Name of Equipment, Capacity, Quantity, Page etc. ➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience: -</u> • Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "Bidder proposed the name of Mr. Taralekar Sandip Jalindar for Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager position.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
10	Latala Construction Company	However, in two different CV submitted for the position of Site Engineer & Bridge Engineer not for Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager. Hence, Bidder to clarify and confirm the authenticity of the CV of proposed position of Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.11 (i) & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. - Road Safety Auditor (Required 3 days in every 3 months): Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "Bidder has not submitted the Road Safety Audit Certificate from appropriate approved Govt. agency of Mr. Bhupendra Pratap Singh whose CV has been proposed for the position of Road Safety Auditor which is required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.11 (i) & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. Technically Non- Responsive - Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive. - Financial statements & Audited Annual Report: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. "In case Lead Member & Other Member both has not submitted the Annual Accounts of latest Financial Years i.e. 2023-2024. In case if it is not audited and cannot make it available then as per Clause 4.9 of the RFP, bidder needs to submit an undertaking duly certify from statutory auditor which must include the UDIN." *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive."

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1)</u>: 2 No. of Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. ➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience</u>: - • Project Manager: 1. Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Sandeep Gawhane for Project Manager as mentioned in the List of Key Personnel, however, while reviewed the CV of Mr. Sandeep it has been observed that said key personnel claimed his Experience & position in the CV is for Senior Quantity Surveyor. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Manager position as required by the RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Harshit Agarwal for the position of Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, it has been observed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer position as required by the RFP." Hence,

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Rahul Dave for the position of Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, it has been observed that the proposed key personnel does not have 3 years' experience as Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager despite of this, the said key personnel claimed the experience of Road Safety Auditor. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager position as required by the RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive.
11	Aryan Construction and Associates	Technically Non- Responsive Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. List of the Following: - Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) - Local content- Class - I local Supplier/ Class - II local Supplier - Financial statements & Audited Annual Report - Certificate Regarding Compliance - Undertakings Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive. *Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1):</u> 2 No. of Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: (i) Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. (ii) Bidder has not submitted an Undertaking duly signed by the Authorized Signatory w.r.t. Mobile Maintenance Unit and duly stating the following: <i>Enclosed Annexure-A (Part-2)</i> 1 No. of Vibratory Roller (8/10 T): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Mechanical Broom (1250 sqm per hour): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Air compressor: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of Dewatering Pumps: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. 1 No. of String line set: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. General: Bidder has not submitted the requisite details w.r.t. all the equipment required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of RFP in the attached format enclosed herewith as Annexure-A (Part-1) along with the supporting documents duly highlighting the requisite details such as Name of Equipment, Capacity, Quantity, Page etc. ➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience: -</u>

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		• Project Manager: 1. Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Amit Tyagi for Project Manager while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Manager position as required by the RFP and CV of Mr. Amit Tyagi for Project Manager having signed on dated 16.12.2019 which is required on Bid Due Date." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Rajeev Tyagi for the position of Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer are not signed and CV of Mr. Rajeev Tyagi for the position of Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer position as required by the RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Vikas Tyagi for the position of Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
12	Rana Construction & Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	<i>Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager position as required by the RFP.</i> Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Road Safety Auditor (Required 3 days in every 3 months): Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, “CV of Mr. Sumit Khanna, proposed Road Safety Auditor, is not signed and bears the stamp of Atlas Construction Pvt Ltd, which appears to be a different company. Bidder to clarify and confirm the authenticity of the CV, including a signed version, and explain the presence of the Atlas Construction Pvt Ltd stamp to ensure the document's validity.” Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. Technically Non- Responsive Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. List of the Following: 1. Annex-X (Undertaking for Bid Validity) 2. Appendix-III (POA for signing of Bid) 3. Local content- Class - I local Supplier/ Class - II local Supplier 4. Certificate Regarding Compliance 5. Undertakings • Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security: Amendment in Bank Guarantee for Bid Security is not submitted by the bidder as mentioned in above table of clarification sought. As per clause 16.3, any Bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security, shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		*Bidder has not submitted the above-mentioned documents prescribed in the RFP and as per clause 4.1 & 8.2, "Failure to submit the certificates/documents as specified above shall make the bid non-responsive." ➤ <u>Key Equipment's Clause 4.1 j (1):</u> • 2 No. of Mobile Maintenance Unit with necessary equipment- of 6 Tonne capacity: (i) Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. (ii) Bidder has not submitted an Undertaking duly signed by the Authorized Signatory w.r.t. Mobile Maintenance Unit and duly stating the following: <i>Enclosed Annexure-A (Part-2)</i> • 1 No. of Vibratory Roller (8/10 T): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • 1 No. of Small Roller/Compactor: Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • 1 No. of Mechanical Broom (1250 sqm per hour): Bidder has not submitted the requisite document in support of clarification asked. Details mentioned in above table of clarification sought. • General: Bidder has not submitted the requisite details w.r.t. all the equipment required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of RFP in the attached format enclosed herewith as Annexure-A (Part-1) along with the supporting documents duly highlighting the requisite details such as Name of Equipment, Capacity, Quantity, Page etc.

CM

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		➤ <u>Clause-4.1 j (2) Technical Personnel, Qualification and Experience:</u> - • Project Manager: 1. Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Syed Mahammad Ali for Project Manager while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Manager position as required by the RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Md. Rafiqul Islam for Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Manager position as required by the RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive. • Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager: Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Gulson Teron for Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Manager position as required by the RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive.

CO2

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
13	Balaji Construction Ltd.	Road Safety Auditor (Required 3 days in every 3 months): Bidder has not submitted any supporting document for the clarification asked, "CV of Mr. Prahlad Chandra Kakati for Road Safety Auditor while reviewed the CVs of the proposed positions, noticed that the experience is not clearly show the required experience specified in the RFP. Bidder to clarify through supporting documents that the proposed personnel meet the required experience, including detailed work experience, Project name and Duration of Year, to confirm he has the necessary years of experience for the Project Manager position as required by the RFP." Hence, in the absence of adequate reply and proof in the form of supporting document, as per clause 4.1 & 4.1 j (2) bidder is declared as non-responsive.
		Technically Non- Responsive (Password Protected Documents Submitted by Bidder)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by PRASHANT DWIVEDI
Date: 2024.12.03 10:23:23 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs