



सत्यमेव जयते

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/PIU/Chhapra/Chhapra-Gopalganj/Maintenance/E-203394

Date: 22.04.2025

To,

All Participating Bidders;

Sub: Strengthening and Major maintenance work of stretch of Two Laning with paved shoulder of Chhapra - Gopalganj section of NH-85 from Km 0+000 to Km 93+500 (Existing Chainage) and Km. 0+000 to Km. 94+258 (Design Chainage), (Total Design length: 94.258 Kms.) in the State of Bihar on PBMC Mode - **Final result of technical evaluation-reg.** (Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_200108_1)

Ref: RFP dated 02.07.2024

Sir,

This has reference to your bid submission for RFP dated 02.07.2024 in subject project.

2. In this regard, it is to inform that based on the bids and subsequent representations received, the Technical Evaluation has been completed. In compliance to clause 23.5 of RFP, the result is as below:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
1)	M/s Sri Ram Enterprises	Non-Responsive
2)	M/s PC Gupta & Company	Non-Responsive
3)	M/s Adarsh Construction	Non-Responsive
4)	M/s PRL Projects and Infrastructure Limited- MR Construction	Responsive
5)	M/s Inckah Infrastructure Technologies Private Limited	Responsive
6)	M/s KCC Infra Private Limited	Responsive
7)	M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Responsive
8)	M/s Palak Infra Private Limited-MAA Construction- Deopura Infra Cons Private Limited	Non-Responsive
9)	M/s Jayshree International- Pratap Builders	Responsive
10)	M/s Rishi Builders (India) Private Limited	Responsive

*Detailed Reasons of Non-responsiveness are attached at Annexure-1.

3. The financial proposal of the responsive bidders shall be opened on 22.04.2025 at 1200 hrs in Training Room, Ground Floor, NHAI HQ at New Delhi. The responsive bidder is hereby requested to depute their representative with proper authorization letter for attending the same.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours sincerely,



(Lokesh Singh Rajpurohit)
General Manager (Tech.)

M/s PC Gupta & Company		
S. No.	Reason for Disqualification	Representation Received
1.	The bidder has submitted the Net worth/Turnover/ Certificate in support of Eligible projects/Certificate for Value of A,B & C for calculating Bid Capacity/certificate for Local Content certificate signed by Sunil Suresh & Associates, however, as per last financial audited report Statutory auditor of the bidder is Surender Kumar Singhal & Co. As per RFP clause 4.10 (i) & (ii) As per footnote of 4.10 of RFP "In case duly certified audited annual financial statements containing explicitly the requisite details are provided, a separate certification by statutory auditors would not be necessary in respect of Clause 4.10 (i). <u>In jurisdictions that do not have statutory auditors, the firm of auditors which audits the annual accounts of the Applicant may provide the certificates required under this RFP</u>". Hence, the certificate provided by the M/s Sunil Suresh & Associates may not be considered for evaluation and bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	RFP clause is not applicable in our concern. In the proprietorship firm concern ; there is not mandatory requirements of statutory auditor as per IT act, but tax audit is compulsory mandatory if the gross turnover exceed from 1.00 crore. The tax auditor may be CA firm. Hence, mandatory statutory auditor is not required for generation of documents for proprietorship firm. We have also another CA firm Sunil Suresh & Associates which look the firms other financial matters. So the above documents are issued by our other auditor firm M/s Sunil Suresh & Associates as per records produced to them; which are genuine with proper UDIN no. Hence being necessity of statutory auditor not required in proprietorship concern. Only tax audit is mandatory from CA.
		Final Conclusion As the bidder represented that "We have also another CA firm Sunil Suresh & Associates which look the firms other financial matters. So the above documents are issued by our other auditor firm M/s Sunil Suresh & Associates as per records produced to them; which are genuine with proper UDIN no." As per above facts it seems that CA firm Sunil Suresh & Associates is not the auditor who audits the annual accounts of the bidder. though, the said CA firm look the other financial matters of the bidder. However, as per RFP clause .10 (i) & (ii) As per footnote of 4.10 of RFP, point no.12 of instructions to Annex-IV "In case duly certified audited

		annual financial statements containing explicitly the requisite details are provided, a separate certification by statutory auditors would not be necessary in respect of Clause 4.10 (i). In jurisdictions that do not have statutory auditors, the firm of auditors which audits the annual accounts of the Applicant may provide the certificates required under this RFP”. As the bidder has not provided the certificate from the auditor who audits the bidder’s annual accounts as required in RFP. Hence, the bidder has been considered as non-Responsive.
--	--	--

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by PRASHANT DWIVEDI
Date: 2025.04.22 11:55:04 IST
Location: eProcurement System for Central PSUs

Note- For the remaining technically non-responsive bidders, the Detailed Reasons of Non-responsiveness were published vide technical result dated 03.04.2025. Since, these bidders have not submitted any representations, therefore, these bidders remain technically non-responsive.