



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/Rajasthan/DPR/Structures/2024 (Comp. No. 243370)

Dated: 13.09.2024

To

All Bidders (As per list enclosed)

Subject: Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for Construction of elevated structure/bypass in Kherwara town in Udaipur district and Interchange at km 72+135 of NH-754A on Kalusar-Eta Road in Sriganganagar district in the State of Rajasthan - *Evaluation of Technical Proposal- regd.*

Ref: Bids submitted on 06.05.2024 for the above-mentioned work. [Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_191462_1]

This is with reference to the bids submitted on 06.05.2024 for the subject proposal. The final result with respect to Technical Evaluation upon receipt of representation from the bidders, as approved by the Competent Authority is as under:

S. No.	Name of Firm	Team No.	Package applied	Result of technical evaluation
1	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Team 1	1	95.20
			2	95.20
2	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Team 1	1	Technically Non-Responsive*
			2	
3	M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP	Team 1	1	Technically Non-Responsive**
			2	
4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	Team 1	1	85.36
		Team 2	2	88.02

* Bidder must meet minimum eligibility requirements as per clause 5.2 of LOI. "Technical Proposal: The Technical proposal should score at least 80 points out of 100 to be considered for financial evaluation" As the bidder fails to meet the eligibility requirement (i.e. technical score 76.85) as per clause 5.2 of LOI, the bidder is declared as Non responsive.

** CV of Sh. P. Krishna Murthy proposed as Team Leader cum Bridge Engineer for Package-1&2 of instant project by the bidder M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP has scored 73 marks out of 100. As per Clause 5.2 of LOI of RFP, the CV of the proposed Team Leader should score at least 80 % marks otherwise the entire proposal shall be considered to have failed in the evaluation of Technical Proposals and shall not be considered for opening of Financial Proposals.

8

2. The detailed evaluation sheet for the Bidders is enclosed herewith. Financial bid for the subject bid shall be opened on 13.09.2024 @ 1030 Hrs.



(K K Arora)
GM (Tech)-Rajasthan

Encl : As above

Subject: Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for Construction of elevated structure/bypass in Kherwara town in Udaipur district and Interchange at km 72+135 of NH-754A on Kalusar-Eta Road in Sriganganagar district in the State of Rajasthan

List of Bidders

S. No.	Name of Firm	Package applied
1.	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	1&2
2.	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	1&2
3.	M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP	1&2
4.	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	1&2

Detailed Evaluation Sheet

Name of the Project
Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for Construction of elevated structure/bypass in Kherwara town in Udaipur district and Interchange at km 72+135 of NH-754A on Kalasar-Era Road in Sriganganagar district in the State of Rajasthan

Annexure 2.2 Minimum Eligibility

Annexure 2.2 Minimum Eligibility																		
Applicant No:-	Name of the Applicant	Status of the Applicant Sole/JV/ Association	Package applied for	Team No.	Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent)			One of the Condition Should be fulfilled						Annual Turnover			Technical Capacity	Whether the applicant is Responsive or Non-Responsive
					A Firm applying for a package should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report of two/four/six lane / Feasibility of Two/ four/ six lane projects of aggregate length:	Firm should have also prepared DPR for at least one project of 2/4/6 Lanning of minimum	Firm should have also Feasibility Study of two four/six Lanning of minimum	Sole (3 & 6 Km)	Lead in JV (2.25 & 4.5 Km)	Other partner in JV (1.5 & 3 Km)	Sole (1.2 JV (0.9 & 2.4 Km)	Lead Partner in JV (0.9 & 1.8 Km)	Other partner in JV (0.6& 1.2 Km)	Sole (1.8 & 3.6 Km)	Lead Partner in JV (1.35 & 2.70 Km)	Other partner in JV (0.9 & 1.8 Km)		
1/4	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	1&2	1	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Eligible	Responsive
2/4	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Association	1&2	1	Yes	--	--	--	--	--	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Eligible	Responsive
3/4	M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP	Association	1&2	1	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Eligible	Responsive
4/4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	Sole	1	1	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Yes	--	--	Eligible	Responsive

B-11 Technical Eligibility

S. No.	List of Applicant	Status	Avg. annual Turnover of Firm in Last 3 FY in Crores	No. of Key Professional on full time rolls	Category as per RFP	Max no of project can be allotted as per RFP		Max. No of Project allotted in one FY	Project Awarded (DPR/A/E/IS/G)	Project Awarded in CFY	Eligibility for new project in CFY	Eligible
						Total	DPR					
1/4	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	360.17	61	4	50	40	20	30.00	0.00	16.00	Eligible
2/4	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Association	168.88	61	4	50	40	20	14.35	0	16.00	Eligible
3/4	M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP	Association	132.03	55	3	30	24	12	16.45	0	9.60	Eligible
4/4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	Sole	61.00	61	4	50	40	20	19.50	0	16.00	Eligible

Annexure 3.1 Relevant experience of the firm over last 7 years			1/4			2/4			3/4			4/4		
			Applicant No:-											
			Name of the Applicant			LEA Associates South Asia Pvt Ltd.			M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.			M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP		
			Sole			Association			Association			Sole		
			Package			1			1			1		
S.No	Description	Max Marks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks
1	Specific experience of the DPR consultancy related to the assignment for eligibility	15												
1.1	*Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/6 lane projects	8	8	8		8	8		8	8		8	8	
1.1.1	> 1 but <=2 the indicative Length of the package applied for	4												
1.1.2	>2 but <=3 times the indicative length of the package applied for	5												
1.1.3	> 3 but <= 4 times the indicative length of the package applied for	6												
1.1.4	> 4 but <=5 times the indicative length of the package applied for	7												
1.1.5	> 5 times the indicative length of the package applied for	8												
1.2	*DPR for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length of a package applied for (or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied for)	7	7	7		5	7		7	7		7	7	
1.2.1	1 project	3												
1.2.2	2 projects	4												
1.2.3	3 projects	5												
1.2.4	4 projects	6												
1.2.5	> 5 projects	7												
2	DPR of Bridge having length more than 200 m	5	5	5		4	5		5	5		5	5	
2.1	1 bridge	1												
2.2	2 bridge	2												
2.3	3 bridge	3												
2.4	4 bridge	4												
2.5	> 5 bridges	5												
3	Specific experience of firms in terms of turnover	5	5	5		5	5		5	5		5	5	
3.1	Two Lane up to 50 km length or 4-lane up to 30 km length													
3.1.1	Firm's Average Turnover of last 5 years > 25 crore	5												
3.1.2	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years 10-25 crore	4												
3.1.3	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years > 5 but < 10 crore	3												
4	DPR for Special bridges projects	5	2	5		0	5		0	5		0	5	
4.1	DPR of number of special bridges													
4.1.1	1 project	1												
4.1.2	2 project	2												
4.1.3	3 project	3												
4.1.4	4 project	4												
4.1.5	> 5 projects	5												
5	Highway Professionals* working with the firm	10	10	10		10	10		10	10		9	10	
5.1	< 10 nos.	0												
5.2	10-20 nos.	7												
5.3	> 20-30 nos.	8												
5.4	> 30 nos.	9												
5.5	Additional one mark will be given if minimum 20% of the above key personnel have M.Tech in any field of civil engineering	1												
6	Quality of Past Performance (based on self certification)	10												
6.1	Average CoS (net of positive and negative) as percentage of contract price in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm	2.5	2	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.1.1	0	2.5												
6.1.2	> 0 but <=2.5	2												
6.1.3	> 2.5 but <=5.0	1.5												
6.1.4	> 5.0 but <=7.5	1												
6.1.5	> 7.5 but <=10.0	0.5												
6.1.6	>10	0												
6.2	Delay in completion of DPR as percentage of original contract period in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm	2.5	2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.2.1	0	2.5												
6.2.2	> 0 but <= 25	2												
6.2.3	> 25 but <= 50	1.5												
6.2.4	> 50 but <= 75	1												
6.2.5	> 75 but <= 100	0.5												
6.2.6	> 100	0												
6.3	Average delay in land acquisition in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm (delay in 90% site possession wrt contract period)	2.5	2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.3.1	<=3 months	2.5												
6.3.2	>3 but <=6 months	2												
6.3.3	>6 but <=9 months	1.5												
6.3.4	>9 but <=12 months	1												
6.3.5	>12 months	0												
6.4	Average use of new materials/waste materials/new technology used in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm as percentage of total cost of civil works	2.5	2	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.4.1	<=2.5	0												
6.4.2	>2.5 but <=5	1												
6.4.3	>5.0 but <=7.5	1.5												
6.4.4	>7.5 but <=10	2												
6.4.5	>10	2.5												
	Total	50	46	50		42	50		45	50		44	50	

Annexure 3.1 Relevant experience of the firm over last 7 years			1/4			2/4			3/4			4/4		
			Applicant No:-											
			Name of the Applicant			LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.			M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.			M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP		
			Sole			Association			Association			Sole		
			Package Team No.			2			1			2		
S. No	Description	Max Marks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Remarks
1	Specific experience of the DPR consultancy related to the assignment for eligibility	15												
1.1	*Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/6 lane projects	8	8	8		8	8		8	8		8	8	
1.1.1	> 1 but <= 2 the indicative Length of the package applied for	4												
1.1.2	> 2 but <= 3 times the indicative length of the package applied for	5												
1.1.3	> 3 but <= 4 times the indicative length of the package applied for	6												
1.1.4	> 4 but <= 5 times the indicative length of the package applied for	7												
1.1.5	> 5 times the indicative length of the package applied for	8												
1.2	*DPR for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length of a package applied for (or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied)	7	7	7		5	7		7	7		7	7	
1.2.1	1 project	3												
1.2.2	2 projects	4												
1.2.3	3 projects	5												
1.2.4	4 projects	6												
1.2.5	> 5 projects	7												
2	DPR of Bridge having length more than 200 m	5	5	5		4	5		5	5		5	5	
2.1	1 bridge	1												
2.2	2 bridge	2												
2.3	3 bridge	3												
2.4	4 bridge	4												
2.5	> 5 bridges	5												
3	Specific experience of firms in terms of turnover	5	5	5		5	5		5	5		5	5	
3.1	Two Lane up to 50 km length or 4-lane up to 30 km length													
3.1.1	Firm's Average Turnover of last 5 years > 25 crore	5												
3.1.2	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years 10 - 25 crore	4												
3.1.3	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years > 5 but < 10 crore	3												
4	DPR for Special bridges projects	5	2	5		0	5		0	5		0	5	
4.1	DPR of number of special bridges													
4.1.1	1 project	1												
4.1.2	2 project	2												
4.1.3	3 project	3												
4.1.4	4 project	4												
4.1.5	> 5 projects	5												
5	Highway Professionals* working with the firm	10	10	10		10	10		10	10		9	10	
5.1	< 10 nos.	0												
5.2	10-20 nos.	7												
5.3	> 20-30 nos.	8												
5.4	> 30 nos.	9												
5.5	Additional one mark will be given if minimum 20% of the above key personnel have M.Tech in any field of civil engineering	1												
6	Quality of Past Performance (based on self certification)	10												
6.1	Average CoS (net of positive and negative) as percentage of contract price in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm	2.5	2	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.1.1	0	2.5												
6.1.2	> 0 but <= 2.5	2												
6.1.3	> 2.5 but <= 5.0	1.5												
6.1.4	> 5.0 but <= 7.5	1												
6.1.5	> 7.5 but <= 10.0	0.5												
6.1.6	> 10	0												
6.2	Delay in completion of DPR as percentage of original contract period in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm	2.5	2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.2.1	0	2.5												
6.2.2	> 0 but <= 25	2												
6.2.3	> 25 but <= 50	1.5												
6.2.4	> 50 but <= 75	1												
6.2.5	> 75 but <= 100	0.5												
6.2.6	> 100	0												
6.3	Average delay in land acquisition in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm (delay in 90% site possession wrt contract period)	2.5	2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.3.1	<= 3 months	2.5												
6.3.2	> 3 but <= 6 months	2												
6.3.3	> 6 but <= 9 months	1.5												
6.3.4	> 9 but <= 12 months	1												
6.3.5	> 12 months	0												
6.4	Average use of new materials/waste materials/new technology used in last 5 DPRs prepared by the firm as percentage of total cost of civil works	2.5	2	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5		2.5	2.5	
6.4.1	<= 2.5	0												
6.4.2	> 2.5 but <= 5	1												
6.4.3	> 5.0 but <= 7.5	1.5												
6.4.4	> 7.5 but <= 10	2												
6.4.5	> 10	2.5												
	Total	50	46	50		42	50		45	50		44	50	

[illegible]

[illegible]

		Applicant No:-		1/4		2/4		3/4		4/4		
		Name of the Applicant		LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.		M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP		M/s Highway Engineering Consultant		
		Status of the Applicant Sole/JV/ Association		Sole		Association		Association		Sole		
		Package applied for		1&2		1&2		1&2		1		
		Team No.		1		1		1		1		
		Team Leader cum Bridge Engineer		Mr. Sanjay Mandal		Mr. T M Murali		Mr. P. Krishna Murthy		Mr. Aneesh Bajaj		
		Highway Engineer		Mr. Abdul Rafik Mondal		Mr. Gaurav Agarwal		Mr. Anoop kumar kulshrestha		Mr. Rohit Gurjar		
		Bridge Structural Engineer		Mr. Dhruvjayoti Ghatak		Mr. Girijesh Kumar		Mr. Sudipta Kumar De		Mr. Shyam Dhamande		
		Material cum Geotechnical		Mr. Sailesh S		Mr. Krishan Kumar Vyas		Mr. K. ASHOK		Mr. Siddharth Sisodia		
		Max Marks		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		
S.No		Criteria		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		
1	Firm's relevant experience in last 7 years	50	46	50	42	50	45	50	44	50	44	50
2	Material testing, Survey and investigation equipment and software proposed to be used	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
3	Experience of Key Personnel	40	39.20	39.50	24.85	37.55	28.86	35.56	31.36	37.00	34.02	37.00
Total		100	95.20	99.50	76.85	97.55	83.86	95.56	85.36	97.00	88.02	97.00

Package 1			Package 2		
Rank	Name of Firm	Marks allotted	Rank	Name of Firm	Marks allotted
1	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	95.20	1	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	95.20
2	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	85.36	2	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	88.02
-	M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP	Non-responsive Team Leader of the bidder is not getting minimum 80% marks required as per Letter of Invitation (LOI) clause 5.2 of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as non-responsive.	-	M/s SA infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP	Non-responsive Team Leader of the bidder is not getting minimum 80% marks required as per Letter of Invitation (LOI) clause 5.2 of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as non-responsive.
-	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Non-responsive Bidder has to meet minimum eligibility requirement as per clause 5.2 of LOI. "Technical Proposal: The technical proposal should score at least 80 points out of 100 to be considered for financial evaluation." As the bidder falls in meeting the eligibility requirement (i.e., bidder score 76.85 Points) as per clause 5.2 of LOI the bidder is declared as non-responsive. Hence, the bidder may be considered as non-responsive.	-	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Non-responsive Bidder has to meet minimum eligibility requirement as per clause 5.2 of LOI. "Technical Proposal: The technical proposal should score at least 80 points out of 100 to be considered for financial evaluation." As the bidder falls in meeting the eligibility requirement (i.e., technical score 76.85 Points) as per clause 5.2 of LOI the bidder is declared as non-responsive. Hence, the bidder may be considered as non-responsive.

		Applicant No:-		1/4		2/4		3/4		4/4	
		Name of the Applicant		LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.		M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP		M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	
		Sole		Association		Association		Sole			
		Package		1		1		1		2	
		182		182		182		182		2	
		Team No.		1		1		1		2	
		Name of TL		Mr. Sanjay Mandal		Mr. T N Murali		Mr. P. Krishna Murthy		Mr. Avneesh Bajaj	
		Max Marks		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
		25		20		20		20		20	
		20		20		20		20		20	
		5		5		5		0		5	
		5		5		5		5		0	
		70		15		15		15		15	
		15		15		15		15		15	
		Total Professional Experience		0							
		< 12 years		11							
		≥ 12 but < 14 years		12							
		≥ 14 but < 16 years		13							
		≥ 16 but < 18 years		14							
		≥ 18 but < 20 years		15							
		≥ 20 years		25							
		Experience in Bridge Project - Experience in major Bridge Construction/Development Project		25		25		25		25	
		< 8 years		0							
		≥ 8 but < 10 years		14							
		≥ 10 but < 12 years		19							
		≥ 12 but < 14 years		21							
		≥ 14 but < 16 years		23							
		≥ 16 years		25							
		≥ 18 years		30							
		Experience in Similar Capacity		20		20		0		0	
		As Team Leader/Project Manager or similar capacity of at least two projects in Construction Supervision / IC involving 4 lane/6-lane/ Expressway of minimum 50km length and atleast two major bridge of a length 500 mtr. (Excluding approaches).		20		20		20		20	
		< 80 Km		0							
		≥ 80 km but < 100km		15							
		≥ 100km but < 150km		17							
		≥ 150km but < 200 km		18							
		≥ 200 km but < 250 km		19							
		≥ 250 Km		20							
		In Feasibility of 2/4/6 lane/ing works or DPR/IC/Construction Supervision/ major highway projects i.e. 2/4/6 lane/ing of NH/SH/Expressways in Similar Capacity- Number of Projects		10		10		10		10	
		< 2 Projects		6							
		2 Projects		7							
		3 Projects		8							
		4 Projects		9							
		5 Projects		10							
		≥ 5 Projects		5		5		5		0	
		Employment with the firm.		5							
		< 1 year		3							
		1 year									
		Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum of 2 marks									
		Total		100		100		100		73	

		Applicant No:-		1/4		2/4		3/4		4/4	
		Name of the Applicant		LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.		M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHA Engineers LLP		M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	
		Package		Sole		Association		Association		Sole	
		1&2		1		1&2		1		1	
		Team No.		1		1		1		2	
		Name of HE		Mr. Abdul Rafik Mondol		Mr. Ganay Agarwal		Mr. Anoop Kumar Kalishrestha		Mr. Rohit Gupta	
		Max Marks		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed	
				Marks Assessed		Marks Claimed		Marks Assessed</			

		Applicant No:-	1/4		2/4		3/4		4/4	
		Name of the Applicant	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Solutions Pvt. Ltd.		M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s PARISHIA Engineers LLP		M/s Highway Engineering Consultant	
			Sole		Association		Association		Sole	
		Package	182		182		182		2	
		Team No.	1		1		1		2	
		Name of BSE	Mr. Divyabhai Chavhan		Mr. Gurjesh Kumar		Mr. Sudhira Kumar De		Mr. Shivam Dhamande	
		Max Marks	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed
I	General Qualification	25								
i)	Degree in Civil Engineering or equivalent (AICTE Approved)	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
ii)	Post Graduation in Structural Engineering/ Bridge Engineering (AICTE Approved)	5	5	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
II	Relevant Experience & Adequacy for the Project	70								
a)	Total Professional Experience	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	12	15
	<8 years	11								
	≥8 but <10 years	12								
	≥10 but <12 years	13								
	≥12 but <14 years	13								
	≥14 but <16 years	14								
	≥ 16 years	15								
b)	Experience in Bridge Projects	25								
(i)	Experience in project preparation and design of bridge projects	25	25	25	0	25	25	25	21	25
	<5 years	0								
	≥5 but <7 years	17								
	≥7 but <9 years	19								
	≥9 but <11 years	21								
	≥11 but <13 years	23								
	≥ 13 years	25								
c)	Experience as Senior Bridge Engineer or Similar Capacity in Highway Design Consultancy Projects (2/4/6 Lining of NH/SIH/E-expressways) involving design of Major Bridges (minimum 7 nos. of length more than 200m)	30	27	30	0	18	18	30	0	30
	<2 numbers	0								
	2 projects	18								
	3 projects	21								
	4 projects	24								
	5 projects	27								
	≥5 projects	30								
III	Employment with the firm	5	5	5	3.5	3.5	5	5	0	0
	< 1 year	0								
	1 Year	3								
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum of 2 marks									
	Total	100	97	100	38.5	81.5	83	100	53	95

