



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/NE/PBMC/Tender/Guwahati/Baihata-Patharkuchi

Date: 29.07.2024

To,

All Participating Bidders;

Sub: Strengthening and Major maintenance work of stretch of Patancharkuchi to Baihata from Km. 1040.300 to Km. 1097.150 (Package no. AS-06, AS-05 & AS-04 balance) of NH 31 in the State of Assam on PBMC Mode (Length = 56.850 Km). - **Result of Technical Evaluation-reg.** (Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_185273_1)

Ref: RFP dated 08.02.2024

Sir,

This has reference to your bid submission for RFP dated 08.02.2024 in subject project.

2. In this regard, it is to inform that based on the bids and subsequent clarifications received, the Technical Evaluation has been completed. In compliance to clause 23.5 of RFP, the result is as below:


S. No.	Name of the Applicant	Remarks
1	Anupam Nirman Private Limited	Technically Non-Responsive
2	D C Ajmera	Technically Non- Responsive
3	Jayshree International - BK Construction (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive
4	Jeet Asia Private Limited	Technically Responsive
5	Macrocosm Builders	Technically Non-Responsive
6	PRL Projects and Infrastructure Limited- MR Construction (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive
7	Rana Construction & Engineers Private Limited - 4 Star Group (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive
8	Shree Gautam Construction Company Limited	Technically Responsive

*Detailed Reasons of Non-responsiveness are attached at Annexure-1.

3. In view of the above, it is requested to submit representations, if any, within 7 (seven) days i.e., on or before 04.08.2024.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours sincerely,


(Lokesh Singh Rajpurohit)
General Manager (T)-NE

Annexure- 1

S. No.	Name of the Applicant	Remarks
1.	Anupam Nirman Private Limited	Technically Non-Responsive. Project Manager -Mr. Banjit Das does not have the required experience of 8 years as Project Manager/ Team Leader of Consultancy Contract on Highway, Bridge construction/Maintenance works as required in clause 4.1.j (2) of RFP. Therefore, non-compliance of the requirement of clause 4.1.j(2) of RFP, bidder is considered non-responsive for the project.
2.	D C Ajmera	Technically Non- Responsive Required cost of bid document of Rs.20000/- has not been paid by the Bidder. Further, required Bank Guarantee for Bid Security of Rs. 1.02 Crore is not submitted by the Bidder. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-Responsive for the project as per clause 23.4 of RFP.
3.	Jayshree International - BK Construction (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive. Project Manager -Mr. Mahendra Mili does not have the required experience of 8 years as Project Manager/ Team Leader of Consultancy Contract on Highway, Bridge construction/Maintenance works as required in clause 4.1.j (2) of RFP. Therefore, non-compliance of the requirement of clause 4.1.j(2) of RFP, bidder is considered non-responsive for the project.
4.	Macrocosm Builders	Technically Non-Responsive. Proposed Road Safety Auditor -Mr. Anowar Hussain Zahid does not have any Road Safety Audit Certificate from appropriate approved Govt. Agency. Therefore, non-compliance of the requirement of clause 4.1.j(2) of RFP, bidder is considered non-responsive for the project.
5.	PRL Projects and Infrastructure Limited-MR Construction (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive.



		Proposed Road Safety Auditor -Mr. Bharath N does not have any Road Safety Audit Certificate from appropriate approved Govt. Agency. Therefore, non-compliance of the requirement of clause 4.1.j(2) of RFP, bidder is considered non-responsive for the project.
6.	Rana Construction & Engineers Private Limited - 4 Star Group (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive. Bidder does not meet the criteria of minimum qualification- Technical Capacity of Rs 102.21Cr. Assessed Technical capacity of the bidder -JV works out to be as Rs 86.61 Cr against the requirement of Rs.102.21 Cr. Further, application has been made in JV and Lead Member has to meet at least 60% requirement of Bid Capacity, Technical and Financial Capacity. However, in the present case Lead Member does not meet the criteria of Technical Capacity which is Rs.61.32 Cr. Assessed Technical Capacity of the Lead Member comes to Rs 32.44 Cr against the requirement of Rs.61.32 Cr. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-responsive for the project as per clause 4.3 & 4.5 of RFP.