



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS, GOVT OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office : Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru-560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



NHA/RO-BNG/Road Safety/Tender/2023-24/ 4324

Dated: 13.03.2024

To,
All the Participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of Vehicular Underpass (VUP) at Km. 487.324 (Black Spot No. TG-02-002 (Nandikandi outskirts)) and Construction of Flyover (Black Spot No.KA-02-323 (Chidri Bypass) for Four Laning of MH-KNT Border-Sangareddy from KM.348.800 to KM.493.000 in the State of Karnataka and Telangana of NH-09 (New NH-65) to be executed on EPC mode- **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids (Stage-1) - reg.**

Ref: 1. Tender ID: 2024_NHAI_181503_1
2. NIT No.28/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road Safety/Tender/2023-24 dated 12.01.2024.

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 06.03.2024 till 1100 Hrs. Bids were opened on 07.03.2024 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical / Stage-I evaluation is enclosed as **Annexure-I**.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 05 hours of receipt of this letter i.e. on or before 13.03.2024 at 17.00hrs. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time. .

3. This issues with the approval of GM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja)
Dy. General Manager (T)
RO - Bengaluru
13/2/2024

Annexure-I: Evaluations of Bidder's Reply

01- M/s Notch India Projects			
S. No.	Clause	Observation	Reply
1.	Similar Work Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	As per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 14.84 crore (Rs. fourteen crore and eighty-four lakhs only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost. Eligible projects shall include following: (a) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation works on NH/SH/Expressway or on any category of road taken up under CRF, ISC/EI, SARDP, LWE (b) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation works on MDRs with loan assistance from multilateral agencies or on BOT basis (c) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation work of roads in Municipal corporation limits, construction of Bypasses (d) Construction of stand-alone bridges, ROB's, tunnels (e) Construction/reconstruction of linear projects like airport runways, railways (construction/re-construction of railway tracks, yards for keeping containers etc.) metro rail and ports (including construction/re-construction of Jetties) Bidder to clarify which project from submitted eligible projects fulfilling the criteria of above clause.	All the below mentioned projects are relevant to the particular bid and this project shall be considered as similar works: a. Construction of Vehicular underpass (VUP) at Km.223+355 of NH-44 with RE Wall approaches on both side along with construction of Service Road Value Rs. 32.43 crore b. Construction of Vehicular underpass (VUP) at Km.223+065 of NH-44 with RE Wall approaches on both side along with construction of Service Road Value Rs. 25.90 crore Projects mentioned by the bidder has not been found in the submitted bid and we have again reviewed the bid documents and noted that no such works has been submitted by the bidder which are fulfilling the criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) for similar work. Therefore, the bidder has been considered as a non-responsive bidder.

13

2.	General	1. Audit Report with respect to Financial Statements for the last five Financial year has not been found in the submitted bid. Bidder to submit the same or if submitted provide the exact location where the same has been annexed. 2. Contract receipt could not be verified from the Audited Financial Statement for the FY 2018-19. Bidder to provide legible copy of the same.	The documents shall be found in the file name of "Technical Doc 2" at "Page No. 84"	1. We have again reviewed the bid documents however Audit Report has not found at page no. 84. 2. Bidder has provided the same however it is still not legible. Therefore, reply of the bidder has not been considered and the bidder has been considered as a non-responsive bidder.
3.	Annexure-VI of Appendix-IA – To Calculate Value of 'B'	Bidder has submitted the certificate of Bid Capacity issued by Statutory Auditor/ Chartered Accountant, wherein date of issuance has been mentioned 16.12.2023 instead of Bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its	The certificate of the Bid capacity has been updated upto the bid due date and attached for your reference.	The bidder has now provided the latest certificate as required. The same has been reviewed and considered for evaluation purpose.

		Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.			
4.	BIMS	BIMS Acknowledgement has not been submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	We have uploaded all the relevant documents in the BIMS portal. But due to technical issues we couldn't get the acknowledgement.	The Authority may take a view.	
5.	Undertaking & Agree & Abide	Undertaking regarding Agree & Abide has not been found in the submitted bid. Bidder to provide the exact location where the same has been annexed.	The Certificate of Agree and Abide has been attached for your reference	Undertaking submitted by the bidder is undated. Therefore, reply of the bidder has not been considered and bidder has considered as a Non-Responsive Bidder.	
6.	Local Content	Undertaking and CA certificate w.r.t. Local content (as per clause 1.2.10 of RFP) has not been found in the submitted bid. Bidder to provide the exact location where the same has been annexed.	The Certificate of Local Content has been attached for your reference	The bidder has submitted only CA certificate which doesn't have UDIN and certificate language is not as per RFP requirements. Further, the bidder has also not provided required Undertaking. Therefore, reply of the bidder has not been considered and bidder has considered as a Non-Responsive Bidder.	

02- M/s KOLLUR GURUNATH CONSTRUCTION INFRA PRIVATE LIMITED

S. No.	Clause/Appendix/Annex no.	Observation	Reply	Remarks
1	General	The financial statement for the last 05(five) financial years is not in legible form. Bidder to provide legible copy of the same along with UDIN. Further, it has been noted that bidder has also not submitted Independent Audit Report for the same. Therefore, bidder to submit the same.	The financial statement for the last 05(five) financial years is here with enclosed scanned in High resolution along with this letter. The UDIN No. 23222760BGXPGM7683 have been written on Turn over and Net worth certificate signed. We request you to consider the same for time being has our chartered accountant is out of station due holidays (Second Saturday and Sunday) and will submit the document has required by you in 4-5 working days. The Independent Audit Report (form No.3CA & 3CD) has been enclosed with this letter for your kind reference. However, the Bid documents hard copy of same has been submitted to your office on dated 07.03.2024	We have reviewed the submission of the bidder and it has been noted that bidder has submitted the legible copy of the same however bidder has not submitted Independent Auditor Report and doesn't have mention UDIN. Due to absence of UDIN and Independent Auditor Report, we can't rely on the financial statement submitted by the bidder. Therefore, reply submitted by the bidder has not been considered and Non-Responsive.
2	Annex-III Financial Capacity of the bidder	Bidder to provide net worth certificate duly certified by their Statutory Auditor as per RFP.	The net worth certificates duly certified by our CA has been uploaded in technical bid as per RFP and the same is enclosed along with this letter.	Bidder has submitted how certificate statutory auditor of the bidder has not provided the methodology to

31

				calculate the Net Worth.	Therefore, reply submitted by the bidder may not be considered.
3	Annex-III, Annex-IV & Annex-VI	Statutory of the bidder has not mentioned UDIN and membership details on certificates duly certified by them. Statutory Auditor of the bidder is required to mentioned UDIN and membership details on following certificates: 1. Annex-III Financial Capacity of the bidder; 2. Annex-IV (on all eligible projects); 3. Annex-VI (on Value of 'A' & Value of 'B'); 4. Audited Financial Statements; 5. Local Content Certificate; and 6. Any other certificate, if any, certified by the Statutory Auditor.	Membership details of Chartered Accountant are M.N.:222760 and FRN: 016045S has been sealed on every certificate enclosed and the UDIN No. 23222760BGXPGM7683 have been written on Turn over and Network certificate signed. We request you to consider the same for time being, has our chartered accountant is out of station due holidays (Second Saturday and Sunday) and will submit the document has required by you in 4-5 working days.	The bidder has not provided the required Statutory Auditor Certificates alongwith UDIN and in absence of UDIN we can't rely on the documents submitted by the bidder. Therefore, reply submitted by the bidder has not been considered and considered Non-Responsive.	
4	Similar Work Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	As per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 14.84 crore (Rs. fourteen crore and eighty-four lakhs only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to	Construction of 4-lane ROB and its approaches in lieu of existing Level Crossing No.48/E at km.66.900 on NH-50(Railway Chainage 9616/6-7) Near Naubad in the state of Karnataka on EPC Mode (Job No.NH-50-KNT(14)/17-S&R(B) - 013) is the eligible project submitted fulfilling the criteria of above clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) (d)	The said work has been reviewed again and noted that the work was not complete more than 90% as on 31.03.2023, therefore not considered under similar work. Further, the	

21

		or more than 20% of the estimated project cost. Eligible projects shall include following: (a) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation works on NH/SH/Expressway or on any category of road taken up under CRF, ISC/EI, SARDP, LWE (b) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation works on MDRs with loan assistance from multilateral agencies or on BOT basis (c) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation work of roads in Municipal corporation limits, construction of Bypasses (d) Construction of stand-alone bridges, ROBs, tunnels (e) Construction/reconstruction of linear projects like airport runways, railways (construction/re-construction of railway tracks, yards for keeping containers etc.) metro rail and ports (including construction/re-construction of Jetties) Bidder to clarify which project from submitted eligible projects fulfilling the criteria of above clause.	Construction of stand-alone bridges, ROBs, tunnels.	improvement work claimed by the bidder doesn't fall under the category of similar work therefore has not been considered under similar work. As per clause 2.2.2.5 (vi) of RFP, "The works such as Improvement in Riding Quality work (IRQP/IRQ), shall be considered for Technical Capacity 2.2.2.2 (i) but not for similar completed works 2.2.2.2 (ii) and 2.2.2.2 (iii). However, such work shall be considered for similar completed works 2.2.2.2 (ii) in case of Maintenance works to be taken up on EPC mode." Therefore, the reply of the bidder has not been considered and found non-responsive.
5	Annexure-VI of Appendix-IA – To	Bidder has submitted the certificate of Bid Capacity issued by Statutory Auditor/	A self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments	Submitted and Complied.

13

Calculate Value of 'B'	Chartered Accountant, wherein date of issuance has been mentioned before the Bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of 'B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.	and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date has been enclosed along with this letter duly signed by bidder	
------------------------	---	--	--

03- KOTARKI Constructions Pvt Ltd			
S.No.	Clause	Observation	Reply
1.	General	Bidder need to submit following documents in legible form: 1. MOA/ AOA 2. Appendix-VI Integrity pact 3. Value of 'A' & Value of 'B' to calculate bid capacity	Same Document in Legible Form is submitting along with letter. 1. MOA/AOA 2. Appendix-VI Integrity pact. 3. Value of A & Value of B to calculate Bid Capacity The bidder has now submitted the required documents in legible form however Value of 'A' and Value of 'B' submitted by the bidder is undated. In absence of the same we couldn't assess the actual bid capacity as on Bid Due Date. Therefore, reply of the bidder has not been considered.
2.	Local Content	1. Local Content certificate submitted by the bidder doesn't have UDIN. 2. Further, in SA certificate, percentage of Local Content not mentioned. 3. As per clause 1.2.10 of RFP, the self-certification shall also have details of the <u>location(s)</u> at which the local value addition is made. However, the bidder has not mentioned the location at which the local value addition is made. Bidder to clarify.	Submitted with UDIN, Percentage of Local Content and locations however there are minor error in language of the certificate which can be considered. Therefore, reply of the bidder has been considered.

3.	Similar Work Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	As per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 14.84 crore (Rs. fourteen crore and eighty-four lakhs only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost. Eligible projects shall include following: (a) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation works on NH/SH/Expressway or on any category of road taken up under CRF, ISC/EI, SARDP, LWE (b) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation works on MDRs with loan assistance from multilateral agencies or on BOT basis (c) Widening/reconstruction/up-gradation work of roads in Municipal corporation limits, construction of Bypasses (d) Construction of stand-alone bridges, ROBs, tunnels	We have submitted the Similar Nature of works as mentioned below along with our Bid and once again submitting the following: 1. improvements to Koppal city limits from Km 248.300 to 255.160 of NH-63 Ankola-Gooty Section in the State of Karnataka. Amount Rs. 68.57Cr, 2. Rehabilitation and Up-gradation of Ashta at Design Km. 24.030 Tivatyal at Km. 62.000 (Desing length 37.970 km) part of the Renapur-Astamode (NH-361) - Udgir- Deglur(NH101) Admapurphata (NH101) Khatgaon-Sagroll-Bodhan-Nizamabad (NH-6) project to Two/Four lane with Paved Shoulder configuration in the state of Maharashtra on EPC Mode. Amount of Work Done is Rs. 98.36 Cr. Of being Lead Member of the JV	1. As per clause 2.2.2.5 (vi) of RFP, "The works such as Improvement in Riding Quality work (IRQP/IRQ), shall be considered for Technical Capacity 2.2.2.2 (i) but not for similar completed works 2.2.2.2 (ii) and 2.2.2.2 (iii). However, such work shall be considered for similar completed works 2.2.2.2 (ii) in case of Maintenance works to be taken up on EPC mode." Therefore, improvement work has not been considered under similar work. 2. In work no.2 i.e. Rehabilitation and Up-gradation of Ashta at Design Km. 24.030 Tivatyal at Km. 62.000 (Desing length 37.970,. From the submitted certificate it is noted that the bidder has done physical value of Rs. 140.91 crore out of 156.00 crore as on 03.03.2023 which is more than 90% value of work. Further, it was also noted that in Value of 'B' certificate, bidder has still not completed the said work as on date therefore in absence of correct information (whether the actual progress of said work as
----	--	---	---	---

31

		(e) Construction/reconstruction of linear projects like airport runways, railways (construction/re-construction of railway tracks, yards for keeping containers etc.) metro rail and ports (including construction/re-construction of Jetties) Bidder to clarify which project from submitted eligible projects fulfilling the criteria of above clause.		on 31.03.2023 was more than 90% or not) we couldn't consider the said work under similar work. Therefore, reply of the bidder has not been considered and declared non-responsive.	
4.	Annexure-VI of Appendix-IA – To Calculate Value of 'B'	Bidder has submitted the certificate of Bid Capacity issued by Statutory Auditor/ Chartered Accountant, wherein date of issuance has been mentioned before the Bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B",	As per our ongoing works we have enclosed the certified bill copies signed by the authority and engineer-in-charges of the respective department for the project SI. No. 1. For SI. No. 2 of the ongoing project as per the list, the work is being declared as L-1 and we yet receive the LOA (Letter of Acceptance) from the Authority. We have enclosed once again herewith this letter downloaded from the e-procurement portal.	The bidder has now submitted the required documents however Value of 'A' and Value of 'B' submitted by the bidder is undated. In absence of the same we couldn't assess the actual bid capacity as on Bid Due Date. Therefore, reply of the bidder has not been considered.	

		Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
5.	Annexure-VII	Annexure-VII has not been found in the submitted bid. Bidder to submit the same.	-	The bidder has not replied.

V. Padma
13/3/2024
DEM (tech)
RO. Bangalore.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by PADMAJA VUTLA
Date: 2024.03.13 12:19:54 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs