

Government of Maharashtra

Office of the Executive Engineer National Highway Division, Nashik

Phone No: 0253-2573270
E-mail : eenhnashik@gmail.com
nhnashik.ee@mahapwd

Second Floor Green Building,
P.W.D. Compound Untwadi
Road, Nashik - 422 002.

No. NHNsk/AB-3/883/2025

Date: 05/03/2025

To

All Bidders,

Subject :- Submission of Evaluation Report of Technical Bids

Name of Work : Strengthening, Upgradation and Widening of Nandur Shingote Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00.00 to 47.370 Nandur Shingote Kolar Section Total Length 47.37 km. 2L+ PS Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.
Tender Id:- 2024_MoRTH_823657_1

- Reference:-**
1. Chief Engineer, Government of India, Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (Regional office, Maharashtra and Goa), Mumbai, office letter no. RW / MUM / FINANCILA CONSULTANCY / 2024-25 /13/1411 Dt. 07.01.2025.
 2. Opening of Technical bids on 16.01.2025.
 3. 1st Minutes of meeting of State level evaluation Committee held on 11.02.2025.
 4. 2nd Minutes of meeting of State level evaluation Committee held on 04.03.2025.


With reference to the above subject work the following (Twenty) Contractors have submitted their document and Technically Evaluation of Two Contractors is Non- Responsive & Eighteen Contractor Technically Responsive is done & Submitted evaluation report.

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Result of Evaluation
1.	M/s Sandeep Builders	Non- Responsive
2.	M/S NK Global All Services	Non- Responsive
3.	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh	Responsive
4.	M/S Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt.Ltd.	Responsive
5.	M/s Deshmukh and Company	Responsive
6.	M/S T And T Infra Limited	Responsive

7.	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Responsive
8.	M/s V.P Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd	Responsive
9.	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	Responsive
10.	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
11.	M/s RRSN Infra Private Limited	Responsive
12.	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	Responsive
13.	M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd	Responsive
14.	M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions	Responsive
15.	M/s A K Shivhare Infrastructure Private Limited	Responsive
16.	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Aakar Constructions	Responsive
17.	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited in JV with M/s S.S. Builders	Responsive
18.	M/s Scons Infrastructure Pvt Ltd	Responsive
19.	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
20.	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Responsive

All Bidders kindly note that any clarification for above results should be sent on cenhmumbai.ce@mahapwd.gov.in, cenhmaharashtra@gmail.com & nhpune.se@mahapwd.com, nhpune.se@gmail.com, nhnashik.ee@mahapwd, eenhnashik@gmail.com and the same will be clarified /answered within 2 days. The Financial bid of the above work will be opened on 07.03.2025 At 3.00 Hrs. in the Office of the Chief Engineer (PW), National Highway Department, Konkan Bhavan Navi Mumbai, if possible. Bidders are requested to attend the financial bid Opening.

Encl.- Clarification for Technically Evaluation
Report


**Executive Engineer,
National Highway Division,
Nashik.**

Copy submitted to The Chief Engineer & Regional officer, MoRTH, Konkan Bhavan Navi Mumbai for information please.

Copy submitted to The Chief Engineer, N.H. (P.W.), Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai for information please.

Copy submitted to The Superintending Engineer, NH Circle, Pune for information please.



K R A & C O.
Chartered Accountants

☎ 011-47082855
Fax : 011-47082855

Delhi : H -1/208, Garg Tower, Netaji Subhash Place, Pitampura, New Delhi - 110034

KRA/ Nandur Shingote/ER/02

To
The Chief Engineer
National Highway
Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai

05.03.2025

Sub: Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.

Ref1: Our letter dated 12.02.2025 regarding clarification.

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to the appointment of KRA & Company as the financial consultants for the above captioned project.

We are pleased to attach with this letter the Final Evaluation Report after receiving of response to clarifications which were sought from Bidders for the above captioned project. While undertaking the evaluation we have followed the guidelines given in the RFP.

Please feel free to contact us in case you require any clarification.

We always look forward to work with your organization and also assure you of our best services at all times.

Thanking You,
Yours Sincerely,

For KRA & Co
(Chartered Accountants)


Authorised Signatory

CA Prashant Kwatra

**Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar
Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar
Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of
Maharashtra on EPC Mode.**

EVALUATION REPORT

March, 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SL. NO.	PARTICULARS	PAGE NO.
1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROJECT	4
3	EVALUATION PROCESS	5
4	EVALUATION SHEET OF NANDUR SHINGOTE – KOLHAR SECTION	7
5	CLARIFICATION SENT DETAILS	8
6	CLARIFICATION SOUGHT, REPLY RECEIVED AND REMARKS GIVEN	9
7	LIST OF ELIGIBLE BIDDERS FOR NEXT STAGE	27
8	DETAILS OF TEST OF RESPONSIVENESS	ANNEXURE – A
9	DETAILS OF TECHNICAL CAPACITY AND MANDATORY EXPERIENCE	ANNEXURE – B
10	DETAILS OF FINANCIAL CAPACITY	ANNEXURE – C
11	DETAILS OF BID CAPACITY	ANNEXURE – D

1. INTRODUCTION

The Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (the “Authority”) is engaged in the development of National Highways and as part of this endeavor, the Authority has decided to undertake “Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.” (the “**Project**”) through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (the “EPC”) Contract, and has decided to carry out the bidding process for selection of a bidder to whom the Project may be awarded.

The Ministry of Road Transport & Highways has appointed KRA & Co. as financial consultant for the above-mentioned project.

The Request for Proposal document for the above Project was issued and proposals received from following 20 Bidders within the Bid Due Date i.e., 14.01.2025 as specified in the RFP Document and its amendments if any. The Technical Bid was opened on 16.01.2025.

Following are the 20 Bidders, who participated at the RFP Stage:

S N	Name of the firm	Applied as
1	M/s Sandeep Builders	Please refer Note Below
2	M/s NK GLOBAL All SERVICES	Please refer Note Below
3	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh	JV
4	M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD.	Sole
5	M/s Deshmukh and Company	Sole
6	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	Sole
7	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Sole
8	M/s V.P Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd	Sole
9	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	Sole
10	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Sole
11	M/s RRSN Infra Private Limited	Sole
12	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	Sole
13	M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd	Sole
14	M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions	Sole
15	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	Sole
16	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Anand Construwel Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Aakar Constructions	JV
17	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited in JV with M/s S.S. Builders	JV
18	M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	Sole

19	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Sole
20	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Sole

Note: The required documents for evaluation of Bid are not found in the submitted bid.

The detailed evaluation has been carried out for above 20 bidders.

The details of Responsiveness are attached as per Annexure A.

2. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROJECT

SN	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	246.91
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	246.91
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	148.15
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	49.38
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)	49.38
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	12.35
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	12.35
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3(i)	12.35
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.41
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.47
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	37.04
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	22.22
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.41
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	246.91
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	148.15
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	49.38
18	Mandatory Experience related to Major Bridge / ROB / Flyover as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) with minimum cost and span of Major Bridge / ROB / Flyover	NA

3. EVALUATION PROCESS

The evaluation has been carried for 20 (bids) in the following manner:

- Tests of Responsiveness;
- Computation of Threshold Technical Capability;
- Computation of Financial Capability; and
- Bid Capacity

The RFP evaluation has been guided by the RFP document and some general assumptions considered for the evaluation is elaborated as under:

1. In case the certificates submitted by the Bidders do not mention the word “Statutory Auditor”, the Statutory Auditor has been identified from the annual reports submitted by the Bidder / Member. The certificate has been accepted in case the firm of Statutory Auditor mentioned in the latest annual report of the Bidder / Member is the same as the one who has signed the supporting certificates.
2. The bid due date for this project was 14.01.2025. Therefore, as per provisions of RFP (Instructions no. 6 of Annex-IV to Appendix-1), the financial years which would qualify for evaluation purpose are 2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23 & 2023-24.
3. In case the statutory auditor/client certificates provided for category 1, 2, 3 & 4 as supporting documents are not strictly as per the format provided in the RFP (Annex IV (para-13 & 14) of Appendix 1A} but provide all the information required, the same have been accepted for evaluation purpose.
4. In case, the Statutory Auditor has not specifically mentioned that the cost of land has been excluded from cost of the projects for the Eligible Projects for Category 3 and 4, we have considered the figures as it is assuming to be exclusive of Land cost.
5. In case the estimated cost of project and revised cost of project is provided, the revised cost of project has been considered for evaluation.
6. As per the RFP, for eligible projects under Categories 1 and 2, the entity claiming the experience should have held a minimum of 26% equity in the company owning the Eligible Project during the period for which the experience is being claimed. In case where the Bidder has not established the equity holding for the entire period (year), the experience for that period / year has not been considered.
7. In cases where one FY in between is that of more than 12 months, because of reasons like change in FY from Jan- Dec to Apr-Mar cycle or vice versa, such FY is considered to be equivalent to one FY only without adjusting other periods in the above mentioned five FYs.
8. The Technical Capacity has been calculated and compared with eligibility requirement of Threshold Technical Capacity. In case any clarification is required for a particular project of the bidder and the bidder achieve the required threshold technical capacity considering the zero score in such particular project in which clarification is required, then no clarification is sought and technical capacity is calculated considering the zero score in such particular project. In other words, the calculated / reported technical capacity may be increase if clarification sought

for that particular project. However, such clarification does not affect the eligibility requirement of bidder.

9. The Value of B for calculation of Bid Capacity has been considered as per the worst scenario i.e., by considering full value for all ongoing projects. In case, a bidder not achieve the minimum required bid capacity by considering the full value of ongoing projects, in such cases the supporting document submitted for the completion value of the ongoing project is checked and remaining commitment value of ongoing project has been considered as Value of B for such ongoing project.
10. In case, the bidder has submitted Annexure VIII to Appendix 1A showing the projects more than 300 crore which are delayed for more than 90 days, such cases has been intimated to the Authority.
11. As per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, Value of A is considered as civil engineering works in respect of EPC Projects executed in any one year during the last five years (updated to the price level as per RFP) taking into account the completed as well as works in progress. However, in case Statutory Auditor certifies the Contract Receipts for Value of A as total of Contract Receipts and Unbilled Revenue (which could be verified from Financial statements of Bidder), then in such cases the amount as certified by the Statutory Auditor being Total of Contract Receipts and Unbilled Revenue is considered for Value of A for arriving at Bid Capacity.

It is to be noted that the determination of the Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and Bid Capacity of Bidders has been undertaken entirely on the basis of the submitted proposals and no independent / physical verification of the information provided in the same has been undertaken.

Circulation of this Report

The report is strictly confidential and is being submitted to The Ministry of Road Transport & Highways for their use. In case this report is copied, disclosed or circulated, or referred to in correspondence or discussion with any person other than The Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, KRA & Co. shall assume no responsibility or liability to such other person. This report contains select information on a confidential basis.

4. EVALUATION SHEET OF PROJECT

(Rs. In crores)

SN	Name of Bidder	Technical Capacity		Financial Capacity		Assessed Bid Capacity (Required = 246.91 Cr.) as per clause 2.2.2.1 LM-148.15 cr. OM-49.38 cr.	Class of Bidder as per clause 1.2.10 of RFP	Eligible (Responsive) / Not Eligible (Non-Responsive)
		Experience Score Assessed (Required = 246.91 Cr.) as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) LM-148.15 cr. OM-49.38 cr.	Whether bidder meets 20% of EPC i.e., 49.38 Cr. as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Net Worth Assessed (Required = 12.35 Cr.) as per clause 2.2.2.3(i) LM-7.41 cr. OM-2.47 cr.	Average Annual Turnover (Required = 37.04 Cr.) as per clause 2.2.2.3(ii) LM-22.22 cr. OM-7.41 cr.			
1	M/s Sandeep Builders	The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder M/s Sandeep Builders. A clarification also sought however no response have been received by the bidder. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.						Non-Responsive.
2	M/s NK GLOBAL SERVICES	The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder M/s NK GLOBAL SERVICES. A clarification also sought however no response have been received by the bidder. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.						Non-Responsive.
3	M/s B T Kadlag Construct ion Pvt. Ltd.	172.34	Project Code A of LM Cost 50.328 completed on 17.04.2021 page 30 and 66/880	46.83	83.54	258.98	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
	M/s S. B. Deshmuk h	323.61		128.54	141.32	520.94		
	Total	495.95		175.37	224.86	779.92		
4	M/s SANT SATRA MDAS INFRASTRUCTU RE PVT.LTD	512.67	Project Code-A Pg-27 – 29/169, Cost-147.66 completed on 09.11.2021	118.72	132.08	609.59	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
5	M/s Deshmuk h and Company	260.76	Cost 130.64 cr. Project Code C at page 18-20 / 36 completed on June 2023	79.31	177.75	826.99	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
6	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITE D	531.73	Project Code B at page 9-10/97 (Rs. 91.37 cr.) completed on 15.06.2022	241.07	522.85	544.73	Class-I	Technically Responsive.

7	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	1029.02	Project Code A at page 71/196 (Rs. 579.50 cr.), 27-29/196 more than 90% completed (529.55 cr.), actual date of completion 23.07.2021	1,108.89	1102.80	1359.36	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
8	M/s V.P Sethi Construct ions Pvt Ltd	311.27	Project Code- B cost 91.16cr completed 05.03.2023 at pg-12/37	19.02	143.13	450.51	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
9	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	635.84	Project Code B at page 7/41 (work done amount- 212.48 cr.) completed on 30.11.2020	220.42	322.26	582.79	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
10	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	386.90	Project Code- A work done amount- 210.92 cr. Completion on 3rd Dec 2021 Pg-3/84	52.75	156.61	276.48	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
11	M/s RRSM Infra Private Limited	1060.78	Project Code- A cost 567.88 cr. completed on 03.04.2023 Pg-125 – 136/376	240.40	689.78	1768.55	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
12	M/s Sunny Infracorps Pvt. Ltd	353.23	Project Code-A, subcontractor work executed- 92.71 cr. As on 31.03.2022 Pg-24, 163-166	26.37	92.45	325.08	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
13	M/s Swastik Infracorps Pvt. Ltd	995.35	Project Code- D cost 533.47 cr. completed on 19.11.2022 Pg-334 – 369/465	219.32	370.15	617.75	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
14	M/s Shree Palsiddha Construct ios	427.13	Project Code- A cost- 176.99 cr. 40% sharing completed on 15.06.2020	81.29	171.50	729.68	Class-I	Technically Responsive.

15	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	660.34	Project Code- A work done- 96.52 cr. completed on 31.12.2022 Pg-1-2/16 of 17 pdf	101.92	279.12	685.51	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
16	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	150.06	Project B of LM at 225/1777 cost 50.33 cr. on 14.12.2022	69.48	139.84	388.75	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
	M/s Anand Construw ell Pvt. Ltd.	69.07		66.87	128.01	333.70		
	M/s Aakar Construct ios	69.51		31.18	69.58	273.96		
17	Total	288.64		167.53	337.42	996.41		
	M/s All Grace Developers Private. Limited.	184.77	Project Code A of LM Cost 52.79 cr. at 47/117 on 10.08.2022	36.27	104.05	527.23	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
	M/s S.S. Builders	70.55		52.28	242.31	127.73		
	Total	255.32		88.55	346.36	654.95		
18	M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	275.03	Project Code-A 49% work executed by Sub contractor Rs. 86.44 Cr. page - 97 completed on 30.06.2021	34.23	93.24	446.08	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
19	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	278.14	Project Code E at 57/178 Cost 195.21 cr. on 30.11.2019	204.47	371.21	714.76	Class-I	Technically Responsive.
20	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	493.82	Project Code B at page 121/2096 (Rs. 905.16 cr.) on 30.09.2020 98.31% completed	3,812.50	5439.50	2597.52	Class-I	Technically Responsive.

Note: Some Bidders are passing the threshold technical capability from some of the projects claimed therefore rest of the claimed projects has not been evaluated. (Please refer Annexure B).

5. CLARIFICATION SENT DETAILS

Clarifications were asked from the 20 bidders and replies have been received from 18 bidders. The details are as follows:

SN	Name of Bidder to whom Clarifications Asked	Response to Clarification submitted (Yes/No)
1.	*M/s Sandeep Builders	*No
2.	*M/s NK GLOBAL All SERVICES	*No
3.	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh	Yes
4.	M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD.	Yes
5.	M/s Deshmukh and Company	Yes
6.	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	Yes
7.	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Yes
8.	M/s V.P Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd	Yes
9.	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	Yes
10.	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Yes
11.	M/s RRSN Infra Private Limited	Yes
12.	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	Yes
13.	M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd	Yes
14.	M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions	Yes
15.	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	Yes
16.	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Anand Construwel Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Aakar Constructions	Yes
17.	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited in JV with M/s S.S. Builders	Yes
18.	M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	Yes
19.	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes
20.	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Yes

*The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder. A clarification also sought however no response have been received by the bidder. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.

6. CLARIFICATION SOUGHT, REPLY RECEIVED AND REMARKS GIVEN

1.		M/s Sandeep Builders		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1		The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder M/s Sandeep Builders therefore the bidder is considered as Non-Responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.	No reply received	As no reply has been received and required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder M/s Sandeep Builders, therefore the bidder is considered as Non-Responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.

2.		M/s NK GLOBAL SERVICES		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
		The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder M/s NK GLOBAL All SERVICES therefore the bidder is considered as Non Responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.	No reply received	As no reply has been received and required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder NK GLOBAL SERVICES, therefore the bidder is considered as Non-Responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.

3.		M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	In case of Lead member The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 4 (supporting document Not Found) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 4 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	We already submitted the Value of B Certificate with Supporting Certificate of work done for ongoing projects but as per your request we again submitting the Certificate of work done for ongoing projects kindly accept. Request to accept.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	In case of Other member The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 5 (no signed which is required as per clause 2.2.2.1) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting	1. We already submitted the Value of B Certificate with Supporting Certificate of work done for ongoing projects but as per your request we again submitting the Certificate of work done for ongoing projects kindly accept. 2. ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 5 is executed under the Malegaon Municipal Corporation, and in Malegaon Municipal Corporation means Client's Higher Authority is The Commissionaire. So, we submitted the Certificate of work done for ongoing projects of Sr.no.5 is duly signed by The Commissionaire as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B So, We request you to kindly accept that.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 5 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
3	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder (both Lead Member and Other Member) has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 11.01.2025 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to Bid due date is not clear.	The Annexure-VI, Value of B submitted in the Technical Bid is same as on Bid Due Date. There is No New projects allotted from 11.01.2025 to Bid Due Date. The Value of Bid Capacity is same as on Bid Due Date of the project. The Updated Certificate from Statutory Auditor and duly signed by	(For both member) The bidder has submitted the required document certified by the Statutory Auditor related to Value of B as on 18.02.2025 of Lead Member & as on 15.02.2025 of Other Member and the same has

		Bidder (both LM and OM) to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to bid due date.	Authorised signatory of the Bidder (both Lead Member and Other Member) is attached. Kindly Accept.	been considered and bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
4	Responsiveness Clause 2.2.1(d) (v)	In case of both Lead Member and Other Member: Undertaking w.r.t. GFR compliance which is required as per clause 2.2.1 (d) (V) of RFP is not found in the submitted bid. Bidder to clarify.	We are submitting undertaking of Lead Member and Other Members as per clause 2.2.1 (d) (V) of RFP. Request to accept.	The bidder has submitted the required document and the same has been accepted.
5	Bid Capacity Certificate for Value of A	In case of both Lead member and Other Member The GST and other component is also included in the claimed value of A in calculation of bid capacity however the same should not be included for revenue related to civil engineering works undertaken as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. The bidder needs to clarify and provide correct Value of A for calculation of Bid Capacity from 19-20 to 2022-23.	We are Submitting here the updated Value of A which is of only Civil engineering works (Excluding GST & Other Component) for calculation of Bid Capacity duly signed by Statutory Auditor and Authorized signatory of the Bidder (both Lead Member and Other Member). Kindly Accept.	The bidder reply has been noted and the Value of A has been assessed from the submitted revised and corrected value of A.
6	Agree & Abide Undertaking Clause 2.11.1(i)	(In case of both member Lead & Other member) The bidder has submitted the undertaking however project name has not been mentioned. Bidder need to clarify and submit the revised Undertaking after mentioning the project name.	We are submitting here the revised Undertaking with mentioning the project name. Kindly Accept.	The bidder has made the required amendments and the same has been accepted.

7	General Document	As the bid has been submitted by the bidder M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh, however Appendix-IA, IB, Annexure-I, II & V of Appendix-IA, Appendix-III & Appendix-VI Integrity Pact has been submitted in the name of <i>“Indraa Infrastructure (JV)”</i>. Bidder to clarify that how the documents listed above has been submitted in the name of <i>“Indraa Infrastructure (JV)”</i>. <i>Further, no other documents related to “Indraa Infrastructure (JV)” has been found. Bidder is required to clarify about the existing status of “Indraa Infrastructure (JV)”.</i>	1. We already submitted Board Resolution , Joint Venture Agreement of INDRAA INFRASTRUCTURE (JV) , POA of Lead Member of <i>“Indraa Infrastructure (JV)”</i>. (Pg.No. 2 to 11 & 128 to 132 in TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS) We have prepared all other documents based on all the above documents i.e. Appendix-IA, IB, Annexure-I, II & V of Appendix-IA, Appendix-III& Appendix-VI Integrity Pact etc. Kindly Accept <u>Or</u> We are here submitting the revised Appendix-IA, IB, Annexure-I, II & V of Appendix-IA, & Appendix-VI Integrity Pact on Lead Member’s (B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd.) Letter Head Kindly Accept.	The document submitted by the bidder has been accepted and the bidder has clarified that in Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture under Point No. 2.1 that <i>“The Parties do hereby irrevocable constitute a Joint Venture Indraa Infrastructure (JV) (the “Joint Venture”) for the purposes of jointly participating in the Bidding Process for the project”</i>
8	Bank Guarantee for BID Security (B.T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd.) Appendix – II	At Point No. 13 Start line 5 mentioned that <i>“Paragraph 9 hereof, on or before (indicate date falling 180 days after the BID Due Date). Date not mention”</i> Kindly Clarify.	<i>We Mention date at “Paragraph 9 hereof, on or before (indicate date falling 180 days after the BID Due Date). Date mention”</i> Request to accept.	The bidder reply has been noted and the same has been accepted.
9	Bank Guarantee for BID Security (S B Deshmukh) Appendix – II	At Point No. 4 to 12 full sentence not mention Kindly Clarify.	We already Submitted the Bank Guarantee with full sentence at point No. 4 to 12 as per	The response of the bidder is noted; however, the full words are still not mentioned in the

			the Bank Guarantee Format mention in RFP Document of said Project. Kindly Accept	submitted Bank Guarantee. The same has been accepted considering that the bidder has undertaken to agree and abide from the terms and format of bidding documents.
--	--	--	--	---

4.		M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD.		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 (Only LOA given) , Sr no. 2 (Only Letter given regarding Issue of Appointed Date) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 1,2 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	(a) We have been submitted certificate from CA, dated 27.06.2024 after that due to monsoon season we are unable to carry out the work and on the other side there is COS in work, hence till submission of tender date work position is as it is on 27.06.2024. Copy of COS approval is enclosed. (work mentioned in CA Certificates Sr. no. 1) (b) The work is already completed but final measurements of works and final bill received in February 2025, and certificate from Executive Engineer, NH Division, Solapur is attached herewith. (work mentioned in CA Certificate Sr. No. 2)	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 27.06.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 27.06.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 27.06.2024 up to bid due date.	It is clarified that from date of certificate i.e., 27.06.2024 to bid submission date i.e., 14.01.2025, there is no work awarded to our company.	The bidder has clarified that from date of certificate i.e., 27.06.2024 to bid due date i.e., 14.01.2025, there is no work awarded to company, therefore the bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Financial Statement Clause 2.11.1(I)	The Audit Report submitted by the bidder has been qualified therefore the bidder is required to provide the certificate from Statutory Auditor mentioning the Net Worth and turnover after incorporating all the financial impact of qualified opinion, if any for the financial year for which Qualified Opinion relates.	CA Certificate is attached	The bidder has submitted the CA certificate which certify that they have qualified the audit report for the three reasons (details mentioned in clarification reply at Pg-5/12) and further certify

		Kindly provide the same.		that turnover & net worth remain unchanged and they are true and correct, therefore the same has been accepted.
4	Bank Guarantee for BID Security Appendix – II	At Point No. 13 Start line 4 mentioned that “<i>Paragraph 9 hereof, on or before (indicate date falling 180 days after the BID Due Date).</i> Date not mention” Kindly Clarify.	Bank Amendments is attached It is requested to please accept our above submission.	The bidder has made the required amendments in the submitted Bank Guarantee and the same has been accepted.

5.		M/s Deshmukh and Company		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 6 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 6 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	As in Annex VI while calculating the value of B in Sr No. 6 work is under the Department of Executive Engineer, Public Work Division, Chh.Sambhajinagar. The work is under the head of account 3054 CRF and Value of work done is attached here with under RA Bill no 4 of Amounting 14,73,19,422.00 which is considered while calculating the value of B. All the supporting documents are attached for your ready Reference.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 17.12.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 17.12.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 17.12.2024 up to bid due date.	Bid Capacity Value of “B” submitted up to date. Certificate from C.A. attached here with	The bidder has submitted the value of B as on 22.02.2025 certifying by the statutory auditor, the same has been accepted and bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Bank Guarantee For Bid Security Appendix – II	At Point No. 1 23rd line mentioned that <i>“Unconditionally and irrevocably undertake to pay to the Authority an amount”</i> Instead of	We submit here with Amendment of Bank Guarantee as per your requirement.	The bidder has made the required amendments in submitted bank guarantee and the same has been accepted.

		“Unconditionally and irrevocably undertake to pay forthwith to the Authority an amount” as per RFP. - At Point No. 1 25th line mentioned that <i>“As our guaranteed obligation without any demur, reservation, recourse, contest”</i> Instead of “As our primary obligation without any demur, reservation, recourse, contest” as per RFP. - At Point No. 7 2nd line mentioned that <i>“the guarantor.”</i> Instead of “the Principal debtor.” As per RFP. Kindly Clarify and provide an amendment for the same.		
--	--	---	--	--

6.		M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 2, 13, 22, 24 (Certificate given in Marathi Language) 4, 10, 14, 15, 22, 27 29, 36 (no sign as per requirement) Sr. no. 4, 7, 17, 18, 22, 28, 30, 32 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to.) Sr. no. 4, 33, 36 (work done value a not match from the claimed amount) Sr. no. 26 (Signed by Only Sub divisional Engineer) Sr. no. 5 (Signed by only Joint City Engineer & Exact contract value not match) Sr.no. 10, 27, 35 (contract value and work done value not match from the work done certificate) Sr. no. 16, 33 (Signed by Only Joint City Engineer) Sr. no. 23 (Signed by only Contractor) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore,	1) Sr. no. 2, 13, 22, 24 (Certificate given in Marathi Language) :- All correspondence of PMC/ Wai Municipal Corporation PMGSY/ Satara Municipal corporation etc is done in Marathi language, But we have attached here Details of work statement for your reference, Please consider it. 2) 4, 10, 14, 15, 22, 27 29, 36 (no sign as per requirement) :- As per RFP We have attached here Executive Engineer or equivalent signed RA bill copies. For sr. no 10 ACPM is evqvalant post to Executive Engineer in Maharail Department, please consider it. 3) Sr. no. 4, 7 17, 18, 22, 28, 30, 32 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to) :- we have attached here amount & project name highlighted bill copies. 4) Sr. no. 4, 33, 36 (work done value a not match from the claimed amount) – for sr. no. 4 Amount highlighted bill copy is attached for your ready reference.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

	bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 2,4,5,7,10,13 to 18,22 to 24, 26 to 30, 32,33,35,36, as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.	Sr. No. 33 this project is in joint venture and T & T share is 49%, so we took only our share, For your ready reference we have attached here Work order. Sr. No. 36 Amount highlighted bill copy is attached for your ready reference. 5) Sr. No. 26 (Signed by Only Sub divisional Engineer) :- As per RFP We have attached here Executive Engineer signed RA bill copy. 6) Sr. No. 5 (Signed by only Joint City Engineer & Exact contract value not match :- Actually Joint City Engineer Post is higher than Executive Engineer, but for your ready reference we have attached here Executive Engineer signed RA bill copy. We have highlighted for contract value. 7) Sr. No. 10, 27, 35 (contract value and work done value not match from the work done certificate) :- Sr. No. 10 & 27 this project is in Joint venture, so we took only our share, For your ready reference we have attached here work order. For Sr. no. 35 we have attached here work order & Work done certificate. 8) Sr. No. 16, 33 (Signed by Only Joint City Engineer) :- Actually Joint City Engineer Post is higher than Executive Engineer, put	
--	---	---	--

			for your ready reference we have attached here Executive Engineer signed RA bill copy. We have highlighted for contract value. 9) Sr. No. 23 (Signe by only Contractor) :- As per RFP we have attached here Executive Engineer signed RA bill copy. Thus we have submitted the required documents as per RFP condition for your reference in support of “value of B”	
2	Bid capacity Appendix-IA Annexure-VI of RFP	Bidder has not submitted complete format of value of “B” to calculate the bid Capacity. Bidders needs to submit complete format (including share column) of value of “B” table required as per RFP. Bidder to clarify.	Please find enclosed herewith Value of B complete format as per RFP.	The bidder has submitted correct Value of B table and the same has been accepted and the bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Responsiveness Financial Statements Clause 2.11.1 (I) of RFP	Complete Audited Annual Accounts (including Auditor’s Report Schedule/Notes to Accounts) for the year 2023-24 has not been found in the submitted bid which is required as per clause 2.11.1 (I) of RFP.	Balance sheet, Auditor’s Report Schedule/Notes to Accounts for financial year 2023-24 is attached here.	The same has been accepted.
4	Surety Bond for Bid Security Appendix – IX	At Point No. 8 Start line 2 mentioned that <i>“Hereunder shall be sufficiently given or made if addressed to the Surety Insurer and sent by courier or by registered mail or by certified e-</i>	It was typo graphical mistake, Now, we have attached corrected copy of surety bond Please consider it.	The bidder has made the required amendments in the submitted Surety Bond and the same has been accepted.

		<i>mail to the Surety Insurer at the address set forth herein.”</i> Instead of “Hereunder shall be sufficiently given in writing or made if addressed to the Surety Insurer and sent by courier or by registered post or by certified e-mail to the surety insurer at the address or e-mail set forth herein.” As per RFP. At Point No. 10 Start line 3 mentioned that “<i>Enforceable against the Bank,</i>” Instead of “Enforceable against the Surety Insurer, as per RFP.” Kindly Clarify.		
--	--	---	--	--

7.		M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1,7 (no sign as per requirement), Sr. no. 2,3 (Work done amount not match from the claimed amount) Sr. no. 7 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to..) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 1 to 3, 7 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will	We hereby submit the Copy of Last RA Bills for the project mentioned at Sr. No. 1,2,3 & 7 duly signed by the concerned Authority. However, it is brought to your kind notice that our Bid Capacity is much more than required even if the weightage of RA bills of those projects are not taken into account.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table, the same has been signed on 02.01.2025, however “<i>Details of all existing commitments and ongoing works upto 31.12.2024</i>” has been mentioned in the SA certificate. Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 31.12.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 31.12.2024 up to bid due date.	We hereby declare that no project has been awarded to us between 31.12.2024 and Bid Due Date. Revised Certificate from Statutory Auditor duly signed by Authorized Signatory is attached herewith.	The bidder has submitted the Value of B as on upto 14.01.2025, the same has been accepted and bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Bid Capacity Value of A Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The trade sale and other component is also included in the claimed value of A in calculation of bid capacity however the same should not be included for revenue related to civil engineering works undertaken as per	Revised Certificate from Statutory Auditor duly signed by Authorized Signatory is attached herewith.	The bidder reply has been noted and the Value of A has been assessed from the submitted revised and corrected value of A.

		clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. The bidder needs to clarify and provide correct Value of A for calculation of Bid Capacity from Financial year 2019-20 to 2023-24		
4	Integrity Pact Format Appendix – VI	Date not mentioned on the Integrity Pact Kindly Clarify.	The execution date of the Integrity Pact is 01.01.2025. However, the same will be signed by the authority also, therefore, we have not mentioned the date on the Integrity Pact.	The reply of the bidder has been noted and the same has been accepted.

8.		M/s V.P Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 2 (Work done amount not match from the certificate and signed by only Site Engineer) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 2 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	We hereby are submitting Revise value of B existing commitments and on-going works highlight Bill Amount to be calculate work completed amount. Project sr no 2 authorized signatory by Project Director highlight copy attach	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 11.01.2025 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to bid due date.	We hereby are submitting Declaration we have not awarded new work during 11.01.2025 up to Bid due 14.01.2025.	The bidder has submitted the required document i.e., upto Bid Due Date certified by the Statutory Auditor related to Value of B as on dated 16.02.2025 and the same has been considered and bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

9.		M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 4 (Work done amount not match from the certificate) Sr. no. 5 (Supporting document not signed) Sr. no. 6 (Signed by Only Quantity Surveyor) Sr. no. 9 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to.) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 4 to 6,9 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the	We are enclosed herewith Certificates of work done for ongoing projects duly highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 10.01.2025 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 10.01.2025 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 10.01.2025 up to bid due date.	We hereby undertaking & Confirm that we have no new work have been awarded between 10.01.2025 to bid due date 14.01.2025.	The bidder has undertaken that no new work has been awarded between 10.01.2025 to bid due date i.e., 14.01.2025, therefore, the same has been accepted and bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Agree & Abide Undertaking Clause 2.11.1(i)	The bidder has submitted the undertaking however the date has not been mentioned. Bidder need to clarify.	We have submitted undertaking by oversite without date, please considered date of undertaking as per date of bid submission.	The bidder has submitted the required documents and the same has been accepted.

			We are submitting the undertaking as required.	
4	Bank Guarantee for Bid Security Appendix – II	- At Point No. 14 <i>“This Guarantee shall be operatable at our issuing branch i.e. Union Bank of India large corporate branch C.U. Shah Building Ashram Road Ahmedabad 300014 as well as our Union Bank of India, 14/15-F, Connaught place at New Delhi 11001 by simultaneously issuing notice to both. Any confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension/renewal thereof shall be made available on demand form the E-confirmation cell Hyderabad. In the contingency of this guarantee being invoked and payment there under claimed the said branched shall accept such invocation letter simultaneously and make payment of amounts so demanded under the said invocation.”</i> Instead of “This guarantee shall also be operatable at our..... Branch at New Delhi, from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension / renewal thereof shall be made available on	We are providing as amendment to bank guarantee from Bank as per Appendix II Bank guarantee including point no. 14.	The bidder has made the changes in the amended Bank Guarantee; however all the changes have not been made. The same is discussed and accepted on the fact in case the BG is required to be operated then the notice shall be issued simultaneously to both branches as mentioned.

		demand. In the contingency of this guarantee being invoked and payment thereunder claimed, the said branch shall accept such invocation letter and make payment of amounts so demanded under the said invocation.” As per RFP. Kindly Clarify and provide an amendment for the same.		
--	--	---	--	--

10.		M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex – VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 to 4 (Supporting document not found) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 1 to 4 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project.	Supporting Documents has been attached herewith, Request you to kindly consider the same and process.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex-VI of RFP	As per the referred clause, Value of B is the Value (updated to the price level of the year indicated in table at Note-3 below) of existing commitments is required to be provided. The bidder has given the Value of B which is not as per the updation factor indicated in table at Note-3 as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Kindly Clarify.	Value of B Already submitted along with our bid however we are again submitted for your kind consideration and needful.	The bidder has again submitted the Value of B without considering updation factor. The bid capacity has been calculated considering the updation factor as per provisions of RFP.
3	Information required to evaluate the BID Capacity under clause 2.2.2.1 Annex – VI	The bidder has provided the statement end of “Table of Value of B” as: “The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is	We have already submitted table for value of B as per RFP, for your kind consideration we are again submitting the same for your needful.	The bidder has submitted the required statements and same has been accepted.

		invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period” Instead of “The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually / and other member M/s and M/s, as on bid due date of this RFP. As per RFP. Kindly Clarify.		
4	Agree & Abide Undertaking Clause 2.11.1(i)	The bidder has submitted the undertaking however project name has not been mentioned. Bidder need to clarify and submit the revised Undertaking after mentioning the project name.	Undertaking towards Agree & Abide already submitted along with our bid, We are again submitting the same for your kind consideration.	The bidder has submitted the required documents and same has been accepted.

5	Surety Bond for Bid Security Appendix – IX	In the submitted Surety Bond, the digitally signed of the officials on the Surety Bond is not shown. Kindly Clarify.	Surety Bond for bid security has been Submitted along with our Bid, we are again submitting the same for your kind consideration.	The bidder has submitted Surety Bond having digitally signed of the bank officials, therefore the same has been accepted.
6	Integrity Pact Format Appendix – VI	On 1st page Before Between “13.01.2024” mentioned, however Integrity Pact signed on dated 13.01.2025. Kindly Clarify.	Integrity Pact already submitted along with our bid. Please find attached herewith Revised Integrity Pact for your kind Consideration and needful.	The same has been accepted.

11.		M/s RRSM Infra Private Limited		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 2,6 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to)Sr. no. 4 (Work done amount not match) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 2,4 ,6 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	All the certificates signed by Executive Engineer & above rank only. S.No.2: GOA Elevated Bridge- work done of Rs. 38.52 Crs (see page no. 251-257). S.No. 4: Vodarevu- Chilakaluripet project- work certified of Rs. 212.83 Crs (see page no.274-275 certified by Executive Engineer & DDO, RO, MoRTH, Vijawada, but the work done of Rs. 217.97 crs (see page no. 276-277) approved by Executive Engineer (R&B), NH Division, Vijawada S.No. 6: Peth Naka to Sangli project- work done of Rs. 218.98 Crs (see page no. 289 293)	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 11.01.2025 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to bid due date.	We hereby ensure that no any project awarded to us b/t this duration. Kindly assume this Bid Capacity as our latest Bid Capacity upto 14 January'25.	The bidder ensures in its reply that there was no any project awarded between 11.01.2025 to 14.01.2025, therefore, the same has been considered and bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Bid Capacity Certificate for Value of A	The GST component is also included in the claimed value of A in calculation of bid capacity however the same should not be included for revenue related to civil engineering works undertaken as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.	As per clause 2.2.2.1, Definition of Value of A <i>“Maximum value of civil engineering works excluding the amount of bonus received, if any, in respect of EPC Projects executed in any one year during the last five years (updated to the price level of the year indicated in table at Note-3 below) taking</i>	The Value of A has been considered after deducting the GST component claimed in Value of A and the Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		The bidder needs to clarify and provide correct Value of A for calculation of Bid Capacity from 2020-21 to 2023-24.	<i>into account the completed as well as works in progress. The EPC projects include turnkey project/ Item rate contract/ Construction works."</i> We have submitted the Value of A according this.	
4	Surety Bond for Bid Security Appendix – IX	At Point No. 8 Start line 3 mentioned that <i>"Registered mail or by certified e-mail to the Surety Insurer at the address set forth herein."</i> Instead of "Registered post or by certified e-mail to the Surety Insurer at the address or e-mail set forth herein." as per RFP. Kindly Clarify.	Submitting the Amendment	The bidder has made the required amendments in the submitted Surety Bond and the same has been accepted.

12.		M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1(Work done amount and contract amount not match from the certificate) Sr. no 2 to 5,7 (Only work order given) Sr. no. 6 (Only LOA given) Sr. no. 8 (Supporting document not found) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 1 to 8 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will	We hereby clarify that our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 1 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 54.85 Cr. To avoid any doubt, we have attached a forwarding slip of the agreement copy signed by the Superintending Engineer (R&B), NH Circle, Karimnagar (Technical document, PDF page no. 65). Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is also attached (Technical document, pdf page no. 176 to177). Our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 2 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 6.59 Cr. To avoid any doubt, we have attached a copy of the work order signed by the Executive Engineer, Nagpur Municipal Corporation (Technical document, PDF page no. 71). Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is also attached (Technical document, PDF pages 244 to 255). Our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 3 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 3.45 Cr. To avoid any doubt, we have attached a copy of the work order	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.	signed by the Executive Engineer, Nagpur Municipal Corporation (Technical document, PDF page no. 72). Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is also attached (Technical document, PDF pages 256 to 260). Our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 4 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 4.36 Cr. To avoid any doubt, we have attached a copy of the work order signed by the Executive Engineer, Nagpur Municipal Corporation (Technical document, PDF page no. 66). Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is also attached (Technical document, PDF pages 178 to 186). Our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 5 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 13.69 Cr. To avoid any doubt, we have attached a copy of the work order signed by the Executive Engineer, Nagpur Municipal Corporation (Technical document, PDF page no. 157). Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is also attached (Technical document, PDF pages 261 to 268). Our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 6 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 5.28 Cr. To avoid any doubt, we have attached a copy of the LOA signed	
--	--	---	---	--

			by the Chief Executive Engineer, NSSCDCL Nagpur (Technical document, PDF page no. 69). Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is provided with this letter. Our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 7 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 192.86 Cr. (Technical document, PDF pages 151 to 152). To avoid any doubt, we are attaching a copy of the work order. Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is also attached (Technical document, PDF pages 187 to 243). Furthermore, the work done certificate for this project issued by the client is attached with this letter. Our ongoing project, claimed under Sr. No. 8 of the Value of B certificate, has a contract amount of Rs. 176.60 Cr (mentioned on pdf page no. 138). To avoid any doubt, we are attaching a copy of the contract agreement (Technical document, PDF pages 73 to 147). Additionally, the bill copy for the work done on the claimed project is attached with this letter. For all the above-mentioned Sr. Nos. 1 to 8, we are attaching the supporting documents with this letter for your kind consideration and perusal.	
--	--	--	--	--

2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 16.11.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 16.11.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 16.11.2024 up to bid due date.	We hereby clarify that the NIT date of the tender is 29/08/2024. The Value of B certificate was issued by the CA on 16/11/2024. The certificate got attached in the technical document was from a previously submitted tender. The updated revised certificate is providing with this letter for your kind consideration and perusal.	The bidder has submitted the Value of B status as on 14.01.2025 and the same has been accepted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Agree & Abide Undertaking Clause 2.11.1(i)	The bidder has submitted the undertaking however project name has not been mentioned. Bidder need to clarify and submit the revised Undertaking after mentioning the project name.	We hereby clarify that the certificate of "Agree and Abide" is attached in the technical document (PDF page no. 46). However, as per your requirement, we have attached the revised certificate, which includes the project name, along with this letter for your kind consideration and perusal.	The bidder has submitted the required document and the same has been accepted.
4	Undertaking clause 1.2.10 & 2.11.1 of RFP	The bidder has submitted self- Certificate and CA Certificate, however in both certificate project name not mention. The bidder is required to submit the same to comply the provision of clause 1.2.10 and 2.11.1. of RFP.	We hereby clarify that, we are submitting the revised undertaking which includes the project name along with this letter for your kind consideration and perusal.	The bidder has submitted the required documents and the same has been accepted.
5	Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity	In first line before table for details of value of B submitted, number of years 1 mentioned.	we hereby clarify that the certificate got attached in the technical document was from a previously submitted tender. We are	The document submit by the bidder has been accepted.

	Annex-VI (Value of B)	Bidder needs to provide all the existing commitments and on- going works to be completed during the next 1.5 years.	submitting the revised certificate along with letter for your kind consideration and perusal.	
6	Responsiveness Financial Statements Clause 2.11.1 (I) of RFP	UDIN (for confirming the authenticity of the document) has not been found in the submitted financial statements for the year 2019-20. Bidder to clarify.	We hereby submit the clarification regarding UDIN on financial statements for the year of 2019-20 issued by the CA, the document is attached with this letter for your kind consideration and perusal.	The bidder has provided the UDIN for the financial statement for FY 2019-20 and the same has been accepted.
7	Technical Capacity Annex-IV and clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	As per RFP, clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) it is mentioned, “Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 49.37 cr. shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5.” (from 01.04.2019 to 31.03.2024). Annex IV submitted, however, none of the project qualifies the above-mentioned criteria. The bidder is required to provide the details of the project which qualifies the above-mentioned criteria by submitting the Government Client Certificate or Authority / Independent Engineer Certificate. Kindly Clarify.	We hereby clarify that we have provided the one similar type of work amounting Rs. 92.71 Crore and the work done certificate is attached in technical document (page no. 163-166). The same certificate is attached herewith for your kind consideration and perusal.	The client certificate for Project Code A is provided by the bidder. After reviewing the referred page, it has been found that the bidder has worked as Sub-contractor for an amount of 92.71 cr. And the same has been executed as on 31.03.2022. Therefore, this project i.e., Project Code-A has been considered in single similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP.

8	Bid Capacity Certificate for Value of A	The GST component is also included in the claimed value of A in calculation of bid capacity however the same should not be included for revenue related to civil engineering works undertaken as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. The bidder needs to clarify and provide correct Value of A for calculation of Bid Capacity from 2020-21 to 2023-24.	We hereby clarify that the GST component is excluded while calculating the value of A in the calculation of bid capacity. Please refer Note to Annexure VI Appendix IA, this is for your kind consideration and perusal.	The bidder's reply has been noted. However, the claimed value of A has not been matched from the Value in the Financial Statements therefore, the Value of A has been considered from the available Financial Statements.
---	---	---	---	--

13.		M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 (Supporting document not found) Sr. no. 2 (Not properly visible) Sr. no. 4,5 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 1,2 ,4,5 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	Please find the attached Sr. No. 1,2,4 and 5 Supporting Documents for your kind perusal	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
--	--	--	--	--

14.		M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 2 to 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 23 (Supporting document not found) Sr. no. 18,19 (No sign) Sr. no. 20 to 22 (It is not confirm that which project this payment bill belongs to) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 2 to 10, 12,13,15,17 to 23 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing	Details of ongoing project claimed as below. <u>Sr. No. 1 to 12</u> – Theses projects are awarded by Executive Engineer, PWD Buldana. Value of completed and balance work are shown in the attached certificate which is signed by Executive Engineer. <u>Sr No. 13 to 15</u> - Theses projects are awarded by Executive Engineer, PMGSY Buldana. Value of completed and balance work are shown in the attached certificate which is signed by Executive Engineer. <u>Sr No. 16</u> – This project is awarded by Executive Engineer, PMGSY Buldana, total work order value shown in hand, work order is attached for the same. <u>Sr. No. 17-19</u> – These projects are awarded by MSPHC Mumbai, work order and RA Bill copies are attached herewith, Also attached inspection notes for 17 and 18, and certificate for 19 which are signed by Superintending Engineer.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.	<u>Sr. No. 20</u> – This project is awarded by N.H. Division Akola LOA and Bill form signed by Executive engineer attached herewith. <u>Sr. No. 21</u> – This project is awarded by Executive Engineer Jigaon Project Dam & Reh. Div. Shegaon work order and Bill form signed by Executive engineer attached herewith. <u>Sr No. 22</u> - This project is awarded by Executive Engineer PWD Khamgaon work order and Bill form signed by Executive engineer attached herewith. <u>Sr No. 23</u> - This project is awarded by ICAI Aurangabad. As there is no post of Executive engineer the documents are signed by chairman or architect which are attached herewith.	
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 22.11.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 22.11.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 22.11.2024 up to bid due date.	As we haven't awarded any new project during 22.11.2024 up to bid due date, therefore we have submitted the said form. We hereby also submitting undertaking in this regard.	The bidder undertaken that there was no new work or project has been awarded between 22.11.2024 to 14.01.2025, therefore, the same has been considered and bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.

3	Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity Annex-VI (Value of B)	In first line before table for details of value of B submitted, number of years not mentioned. Bidder needs to provide all the existing commitments and on- going works to be completed during the next 1.5 years.	The work shown in the said list are to be completed in next 1.5 years, and no any other works than that in hand. Corrected Annex-VI attached herewith.	The same has been accepted.
4	Responsiveness Financial Statements Clause 2.11.1 (I) of RFP	Complete Audited Annual Accounts (including Auditor's Report) for the year 2023-24 has not been found in the submitted bid which is required as per clause 2.11.1 (I) of RFP.	Submitted in file namely 21. Audit Reports from page no. 100-125. Also submitting herewith for your reference.	The bidder has submitted required document and the same has been accepted.
5	Integrity Pact Format Appendix – VI	On 1st page on Integrity Pact, before Between year mentioned as “2016”, however the same has been signed on 14.01.2025.” Kindly Clarify.	It is a typographical mistake apology for the same, as it is copy and paste from the RFP document. Hereby submitting corrected Integrity Pact.	The bidder has submitted required document and the same has been accepted.

15.		M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 to 3, 6,8 (Supporting document not found) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.1 to 3,6,8 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	Sr. No 01 – At the time of bid Submission, The SPS 21 for Rs 6.07 Cr Produced to A.E. dated 11.01.2025 (covering letter enclosed) But AE Recommended the SPS -21 for work Done Amount of Rs 3.69 Cr therefore The Value of work completed is reflecting more then the actual work done in column number 07, (of To Calculation of Value of B), that is Rs 127.04 Cr, The serial number 06 of duly signed letter by competent authority reflects the Mismatch of the total work done amount Sr. Number 02 - Please find enclosed Payment Details Sr. Number 03 - Please find enclosed Payment Details Sr. Number 06 - Please find enclosed Payment Details Sr. Number 08 - Mailed to NHAI signed detailed but received computerized digital signed copy Please find enclosed Payment Details	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Responsiveness Financial Statements Clause 2.11.1 (I) of RFP	Complete Audited Annual Accounts (including Schedule/ notes to accounts for note no. 2 &3) for the year 2023-24 has not been found in the submitted bid which is required as per clause 2.11.1 (I) of RFP.	Please find enclosed Schedule/ notes to accounts for note no. 2& 3	The bidder has submitted the required documents and Financial Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

16.		M/s Forcon Infra Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Aakar Constructions		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	In case of Lead Member The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 ,4, 8 (Supporting document given is Marathi Language and payment bill also not Found) Sr. no. 6,7,9, (Supporting document not found) Sr. no. 11 (Only Work order given) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.1,4,6, to 9,11 as mentioned in	We submitted in the Ongoing project list work no. 1,4,8 to which work order is attached. Again, the said commencement order is in Marathi language and it has been provided to us by the government department. However, it should be considered. Also work no. 1,4, 8,6,7,9 and 11 enclosing the commencement order and payments certified by the Executive Engineer. However, this request should be accepted.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	In case of Lead member Bidder has given 2023-24 Audited Balance Sheet. However, bidder has written in claimed for Value of A to calculate Bid Capacity is “Unaudited” for 2023-24. Kindly Clarify.	The certificate of Annex VI to calculate the value of A and C was certified by the Chartered Accountant on 27.11.2024 and the balance sheet for the financial year 2023-24 was not completed till the date of certification. Therefore, the financial year 2023-24 has been shown on the said certificate. However, this request should be accepted. Another Letter dated 05.03.2025 given which states that:	Since the Audited Report has been signed in September 2024 therefore the Bid Capacity has been calculated considering the figures in the Audited Financial Statement provided by the bidder.

			Unaudited is a typing mistake on our part. However, we attaching the audit report and balance sheet for the financial year 2023-24. I request that it be accepted.	
3	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	In case of Other Member (M/S Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.) The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 to 12 ,14 to 29 (Supporting document given in Marathi Language) Sr. no. 13 (Only Work order given) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.1 to 29 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	We submitted in the Ongoing project list work no. 1to 6, 8 to 10,12, 14 to26 which work order is attached. Again, the said commencement order is in Marathi language and it has been provided to us by the government department. However, it should be considered. Also work no. 1 to 29, enclosing the commencement order and payments certified by the Executive Engineer. However, this request should be accepted.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
4	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	In case of Other Member (M/S Akar Construction) The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 to 3 (Supporting document not found), Sr. no. 4,5,7 (Only Work order given) Sr.no. 9 (Supporting document not found) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore,	All the required work done certificates are attached with this letter kindly accept the same.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.1 to 5,7,9 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
5	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	In case of Lead Member The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 27.11.2024. Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 27.11.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear.	We have submitted the ongoing project as per the requisite specimen in B Table value as signed by us and certified by the Chartered Accountant on 27.11.2024. However, there is no change in the B table value presented by us. However, this request should be accepted.	The bidder has now confirmed the status as on bid due date which is same as submitted. Therefore, bid capacity is calculated accordingly.

		Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 27.11.2024 up to bid due date.		
6	Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity Annex-VI (Value of B)	In case of Other member (Anand) & (Akar) In first line before table for details of value of B submitted, number of years not mentioned. Bidder needs to provide all the existing commitments and on- going works to be completed during the next 1.5 years.	(Anand) We have calculated the Bid capacity (value of B) 1.5 this is missing error (Aakar) All the required changes are done and certificates are attached with this letter kindly accept the same.	In case of Other member (Anand) bidder has not submitted revised value of B Table mentioning N as 1.5 years, however the information has been taken considering the commitments for next 1.5 years as required as per RFP. In case of other member (Aakar) The required document has been accepted and bid capacity has been calculated accordingly.
7	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	In case of Other member (Anand) The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 16.11.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 16.11.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 16.11.2024 up to bid due date.	Status on ongoing project are the same on 14.01.2025	The bidder has now confirmed the status as on 14.01.2025 which is same as submitted. Therefore, bid capacity is calculated accordingly.

8	Financial Statement Clause 2.11.1(l)	The Audit Report submitted by the bidder (M/s Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.) for 2019-20 to 2023-24 has been qualified therefore the bidder is required to provide the certificate from Statutory Auditor mentioning the Net Worth and turnover after incorporating all the financial impact of qualified opinion, if any for the financial year for which Qualified Opinion relates. Kindly provide the same.	All the required changes are done and certificates are attached with this letter kindly accept the same.	The bidder has submitted the required documents and the same has been accepted.
9	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	In case of Other member (Akar) The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 09.11.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 09.11.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 09.11.2024 up to bid due date.	We have submitted the ongoing project as per the requisite specimen in B Table value as signed by us and certified by the Chartered Accountant on 17.12.2024. However, there is no change in the B table value presented by us. However, this request should be accepted.	The bidder has enclosed CA certificate for Value of B as on 17.12.2024, further, the bidder undertaken that there is no change in the B table value, therefore the same has been accepted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.
10	Responsiveness Financial Statements Clause 2.11.1 (l) of RFP	In case of other member (Akar) Complete Audited Annual Accounts (including Schedule/Notes to Accounts) for the year 2021-22 has not been found in the submitted bid which is required as per clause 2.11.1 (l) of RFP.	The Schedules and the Notes to account attached with all required details kindly accept the same.	The required document has been provided by the bidder by its letter dated 05.03.2025. The same has been accepted.

		Bidder to clarify.		
11	Format for Power of Attorney for signing of BID Appendix – III	Under 15th line mentioned that “<i>Project proposed or being developed by National Highway Authority of India (the “Authority”)</i>” Instead of “Proposed or being developed by the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (the “Authority”) as per RFP. Kindly Clarify.	In Appendix III power of attorney for signing of bid, we have wrongly typed as National Highway Authority of India instead of Ministry of Road Transport & Highway. However, if the work is awarded to us, we will correct the mistake and submit a new power of attorney. However, this request should be accepted	The required amendment has been provided by the bidder vide its letter dated 05.03.2025 and the same has been accepted.

17.		M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited in JV with M/s S.S. Builders		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	In case of Other Member The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 2, 10, 12, (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to.) Sr. no.3,5,8, 13 (Supporting document not found) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 13 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is	Clarification regarding the payments bills for Sr. No. 2,10 & 12 it is submitted that as under :- 1. Sr. No. 2:- The duly passed / paid bill of the work clearly showing the name of the project is attached herewith again. 2. Sr. No. 10:- Full value of the project has been considered for calculating the value of 'B'. The letter of allotment of the project stands already submitted. 3. Sr. No. 12:- Same as under sr. no. 10 Regarding supporting documents for projects at Sr. No. 3,5,8 & 13 submissions are made as below:- Sr. No. 3, 5, 8 & 13:- Supporting documents that is duly passed and paid bill is enclosed again.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	In case of Lead member (All Grace) The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 27.11.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 27.11.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 27.11.2024 up to bid due date.	We hereby submitting an undertaking stating that we have not we declared as lowest bidder in any new project from 27.11.2024 up to Bid Due Date for consideration.	The bidder has undertaken that no new work has been awarded from 27.11.2024 till Bid Due Date, therefore, the same has been accepted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Undertaking Clause 1.2.10 and 2.11.1	In Case of Lead member Bidder has submitted local content which is signed by Anup Manish & Co. However, the latest Statutory Auditor is Vinod Arora & Co.	We hereby submitting Local Content dully signed by Vinod Arora & Co. for consideration.	The bidder has submitted the required document duly certified by the Statutory Auditor Vinod

		Bidder needs to submitted local content which is sign by Latest Statutory auditor as per RFP required.		Arora & Co. and the same has been accepted.
4	Undertaking Clause 1.2.10 and 2.11.1	In case of Other Member (S.S. Builders) The self-undertaking by the bidder is submitted however, the details of location from which the local value addition will be made is not found in the self-undertaking which is required as per the referred clause. Bidder is required to submit the	Modified undertaking as per clause 1.2.10 is attached herewith.	The bidder has submitted the required document mentioning the location of procurement and the same has been accepted.
5	Bid Capacity Value of A Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The Revenue other than civil engineering works are also included in the claimed value of A in calculation of bid capacity however the same should not be included for revenue related to civil engineering works undertaken as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. The bidder needs to clarify and provide correct Value of A for calculation of Bid Capacity from Financial year 2019-20 to 2023-24	We have entered correct turnover of Rs. 408.44 crores while calculating bid capacity. Turnover of Rs. 408.44 crores including only revenue related to civil engineering works undertaken. Turnover of Rs. 408.44 crores is the consolidated turnover of M/s S.S. Builders and its JV firm M/s SSB-DCC (JV) which has been sum up as under: Turnover of Civil Engineering Works of M/s S.S. Builders = 288.00 crore Turnover of Civil Engineering works of SSB-DCC (JV)= 120.44 crore Total = 408.44 crore	M/s. SSB Builders has claimed turnover works of SSB-DCC (JV) also however the financial statements of SSB-DCC (JV) has not been submitted therefore the turnover of other member SSB Builders only has been considered.

6	Agree & Abide Undertaking Clause 2.11.1(i)	(In case of Lead Member) The bidder has submitted the undertaking however project name has not been mentioned. Bidder need to clarify and submit the revised Undertaking after mentioning the project name.	We hereby submitting revised Undertaking after mentioning the name of the project for consideration.	The bidder has submitted the required document and the same has been accepted.
7	Bank Guarantee for BID Security Appendix – II	- At Point No. 1 22nd line mentioned <u>that</u> “<i>Unconditionally and irrevocably undertake to pay to the Authority an amount</i>” Instead of “Unconditionally and irrevocably undertake to pay forthwith to the Authority an amount” as per RFP. - At Point No. 9 4th line mentioned that “<i>And same should be acknowledged by the bank on or before claim expiry date.</i>” Sentence added which is not mentioned in the RFP. Kindly Clarify.	We hereby submitting amendment to the Bank Guarantee to comply with the format of Appendix-II of RFP as required for consideration.	The bidder has made the required amendments in the submitted Bank Guarantee and the same has been accepted.

18.		M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 (It is not confirm that from which project this payment bill belongs to) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.1 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	We have attached the Supporting Certificate signed by the Chief Engineer, related to the completed value claimed in the submitted table. This includes the corresponding supporting certificates, which highlight the completed value as claimed in the table for the ongoing project listed under Sr. No. 1 in Annex VI on Page No. 121 of the Bid. Additionally, we have reattached the signed Payment Certificate issued by the Chief Engineer, referencing Project Sr. No. 1 of Annex VI, along with the value of B Sheet, as part of this letter.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Technical Capacity Annex IV & 2.2.2.2 (ii) Similar Work	As per RFP, clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) is reproduced here, "Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 49.37 cr. shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5." (from 01.04.2019 to 31.03.2024). Annex IV submitted, however, none of the project qualifies the above-mentioned criteria. The bidder is required to provide the details of the project which qualifies the above mentioned criteria by submitting the Government Client Certificate or Authority / Independent Engineer Certificate. Further, Bidder has provided the following projects details below:	Our Project Code A is qualified for similar work. The project is an MDR project funded by the New Development Bank (NDB). NDB is explicitly mentioned in the work name on the Work Completion Certificate, which has been attached to our bid. Additionally, we have attached extra documents, including a copy of the agreement and substantial proof to support this. Please refer to the attached documents for further details.	The bidder reply has been noted and the bidder has enclosed Contract Agreement of the project countersigned by the Director (NDB projects). From the enclosed documents it implies that the project Code-A is MDR road under loan assistance with NDB. 49% work executed by Sub contractor for an amount of Rs. 86.44 cr. Therefore, the same has been considered under similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii).

		Project Code A- Bidder to clarify through some substantial proof to establish that how this MDR work is funded with Multilateral Agency. Project Code C- The bidder has claimed this project under category 3 which are road work. Bidder to clarify through some substantial proof to establish that how the subject project is covered under category 3 as per RFP and whether the project includes construction of NH/SH/MDR etc.		
3	Surety Bond for Bid Security Appendix – IX	In the submitted Surety Bond, digitally signed of the officials on the surety bond is not mentioned. Kindly Clarify.	The Surety Bond has been digitally signed by Aditya Singh from Bajaj Allianz Insurance Company Limited. Our system clearly indicates that it has been digitally signed by Aditya Singh. A proof of this is attached for your reference. Kindly verify this in your system as well. Additionally, you may directly confirm this with Bajaj Allianz Insurance Company Limited via email: Aditya.singh06@bajajallianz.co. in The contact information is also mentioned on the Surety Bond.	The bidder has submitted the digitally signed surety bond and the same has been accepted.

19.		M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 1 (Only Contract Agreement given) Sr. no. 6 to 8 (Only Work order given) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.1, 6 to 8 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be	Please find attached herewith R.A. Bills duly certified by the respective Executive Engineer for ongoing projects claimed in Sr. no. 1 and 6 to 8 as mentioned in Annex-VI for Arriving at Value of B. For ease of reference; an abstract sheet showing the value of work completed for ongoing works and corresponding RA bills duly certified by the respective Executive Engineer is enclosed.	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

		calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.		
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 11.01.2025 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 11.01.2025 up to bid due date.	Please note that we have not been awarded any projects during 11.01.2025 to bid due date i.e. 14.01.2025	The bidder has undertaken that no new work has been awarded during 11.01.2025 to Bid Due Date, therefore, the same has been accepted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.
3	Agree & Abide Undertaking Clause 2.11.1(i)	The bidder has submitted the undertaking however the date has not been mentioned. Bidder need to clarify.	As directed, we are submitting herewith undertaking with the date mentioned as per Clause 2.11.1(i)	The bidder has submitted the required document and the same has been accepted.
4	Bank Guarantee for BID Security Appendix – II	As per clause 2.20.1 of RFP, BG should be valid for a period not less than 180 (one	We have applied for the extension and amendment to the Bank Guarantee to our Banker. We will submit the extension and	The bidder has submitted the required amendments in the submitted Bank

		hundred eighty) days from the Bid Due Date, inclusive of a claim period of 60 (sixty) days. The validity BG date mentioned as 08.07.2025 is required to be extended as the date “08.07.2025” is less than 180 days from the Bid Due Date of 14.01.2025. Kindly provide an amendment for the same.	amendment to the Bank Guarantee on receipt of the same from our Banker. This clarification letter dated 12.02.2025 is received by us today (i.e. 18.02.2025) and there was no intimation or by mail on 12.02.2025 or thereafter until today. Hence, we request you to condone the delay for amendment of Bank Guarantee due to bank holidays.	Guarantee on 22.02.2025 via mail and the same has been accepted.
5	Bank Guarantee for BID Security Appendix – II	- At Point No. 4- 2nd line mentioned that <i>“inclusive of a claim Period of 60 (sixty) days that is up to 08/07/2025 or such extended period”</i> Instead of The date mentioned as 08.07.2025 is required to be corrected or deleted as the date “08.07.2025” is less than 180 days from the Bid Due Date of 14.01.2025. - At Point No. 14 Start line 1 mentioned that <i>“This guarantee shall also be operatable at our issuing branch as well as branch at Nashik by simultaneously issuing notice to both. Any confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension/renewal thereof shall be made available on demand from the E-Confirmation cell, Hyderabad.”</i> Instead of	We have applied for the extension and amendment to the Bank Guarantee to our Banker. We will submit the extension and amendment to the Bank Guarantee on receipt of the same from our Banker. This clarification letter dated 12.02.2025 is received by us today (i.e. 18.02.2025) and there was no intimation or by mail on 12.02.2025 or thereafter until today. Hence, we request you to condone the delay for amendment of Bank Guarantee due to bank holidays	The bidder has submitted the required amendments in the submitted Bank Guarantee on 22.02.2025 via mail and the same has been accepted.

		“This guarantee shall also be operatable at our Branch at New Delhi, from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension / renewal thereof shall be made available on demand.” Kindly Clarify.		
--	--	---	--	--

20.		M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited.		
SN	Head/ Reference/Clause	Clarification Sought	Reply Received	Remarks
1	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder is required to submit Certificate of work done for ongoing projects, duly signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for ongoing project claimed in Sr. no. 4 ,7 ,8 ,11,25,28, 53,54 (Supporting document not signed) Sr no. 5,6,12,13,14,21,30,41,53 (Work done value not match from the payment bill) Sr. no. 5,6 (Signed by Only Assistant Engineer) Sr. no. 9,17,18,23,29, 31 to 39, 42 to 52,55,56 (Supporting document not found) Sr. no. 13 (Supporting document not visible properly) Sr. no. 15 (No government official sign) Sr. no. 16,19,20, 24, 25,26,27,40,54 (Contract value and work done value not match from the certificate) Sr. no. 16 (Signed by Only divisional Electrical Engineer) Sr. no. 21(signed not clear) as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. Kindly note that the Value of work completed for ongoing projects should be match with the	Our Point wise reply/ Clarifications are given as below- 1) Some of the authorities are not making available the certified documents with the contractors, however in some of projects we have submitted signed documents like - Sr.no.7(pl ref. pg.248), Sr.no.8(pl ref. pg.249), Sr.no.11 (pl ref. pg.252), Sr.no.28(pl ref. pg.306) 2) We would like to clarify that the value of work completed as mentioned in column 7 of Auditor Certificate is on the basis of percentage of work done as per expenditure incurred over the project, whereas the value of work completed as per certificates (latest certified RA bill) is for the work completed as per the BOQ / billing schedule, please refer Note no 1 of Auditor certificate mentioned at page no. 180 of submitted Technical Bid. The difference between the two is majorly on account of work in progress which is yet to be certified by Employer. This is as per regularly followed Accounting Policy	The information provided by the bidder has been noted and Bid Capacity has been calculated accordingly.

	supporting certificates provided. Therefore, bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no.4 to 9,11 to 21,23 to 56 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B. In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.	adopted by the Company as per requirements of Indian Accounting standards (IND As). It may also kindly be appreciated that every contract is governed by specific billing break up procedure & RA Bills can be submitted only after completion of specified milestones/ stages. Due to this reason there may be difference in the certified figures which is as per standard industry practice. 3) The person who authorized by Client have signed the documents. 4) Pl. refer attached Page No. of respective project - Sr. no. 9 (Pg.250), Sr. no. 17-18 (Pg.270-271), Sr. no. 23 (Pg.,289-295), Sr. no. 29(Pg. 307-311), Sr. no. 31 to 39 ((Pg.312-399), Sr. no. 42 to 52 (Pg.402-480), Sr. no. 55(Pg.487-488), Sr. no. 56 (Pg.507-523). 5) Due to huge size of documents and restriction on online portal we need to compress and reduce the pdf file size to upload the documents. 6) Some of the authorities are not sharing the certified documents with the contractors 7) Due to change of scope, consideration & non consideration of various taxes, duties, GSTs there may be change in figures,	
--	---	--	--

			however auditor have considered all the values as excluding GST/taxes. 8) The person who authorized by Client have signed the documents. 9) The person who authorized by Client have signed the documents.	
2	Bid Capacity Table for Value of B	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, the same has been signed on 12.12.2024 Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during 12.12.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 12.12.2024 up to bid due date.	This is to inform that Statutory Auditor have signed the certificate on 12.12.2024 considering all awarded/L1 projects upto the same date, However, between the period of Auditor certificate and Bid due date i.e.14.01.2025 we have got one project LOA and the same is shown in Bid Capacity Calculation sheet (Pl. refer Pg. 159) separately and Assessed available Bid capacity of Bidder considering new project. Further Bidder also confirmed & declared through Undertaking that, there is NO awarded / ongoing works/ works for which the Contractor (Ashoka Buildcon Limited) has emerged as the winner of the bid has been left as on Bid Due date.	The bidder reply has been noted and the bid capacity has been calculated accordingly considering all the ongoing projects of bidder.
3	Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP	The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table, the same has been signed on 12.12.2024, however “Details of all existing commitments and ongoing works upto 30.09.2024” has been mentioned in the SA certificate. Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded during	Since, the bidder Ashoka Buildcon Limited is a listed Company on BSE Limited and National Stock exchange of India Limited, the quarterly limited review as per provisions of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 (“SEBI LODR”) of the accounts is	The bidder reply has been noted and the bid capacity has been calculated accordingly considering all the ongoing projects of bidder.

		30.09.2024 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded during 30.09.2024 up to bid due date.	carried out by the Statutory Auditors. Hence, the limited reviewed numbers as per SEBI LODR are available on quarterly basis only. At the time of Bidding of Refer projects Company Auditor had available limited reviewed numbers of September end quarter, hence Company Auditor had considered the same while issuing certificate however, Auditor have considered all the projects which are awarded/L1 after 30.09.2024 to certificate issue date i.e.12.12.2024 and it has mentioned separately in Table and considered all Existing commitments of Ashoka Buildcon Limited as on certificate issue date i.e.12.12.2024.	
4	Integrity Pact Format Appendix – VI	Date not mentioned in the submitted Integrity Pact. Kindly Clarify.	We presume that the Date of Signature of Principal /Authority will be considered in the format of Integrity Pact, hence it is kept as Blank. However as per your requirement we herewith are submitting Integrity Pact by mentioning the date of sign	The bidder has submitted the required document and the same has been accepted.

7. List of Eligible / Not Eligible Bidders for next stage

Out of 20 bids received as on Bid Due date, 18 bidders are considered as Eligible. The details are as follows:

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Local Supplier/ Non-Local Supplier	Eligible / Not Eligible for Opening of Financial Bids
1.	M/s Sandeep Builders	Class-I local Supplier	*Not Eligible
2.	M/s NK GLOBAL All SERVICES	Class-I local Supplier	*Not Eligible
3.	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
4.	M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD.	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
5.	M/s Deshmukh and Company	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
6.	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
7.	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
8.	M/s V.P Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
9.	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
10.	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
11.	M/s RRSN Infra Private Limited	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
12.	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
13.	M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
14.	M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
15.	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
16.	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Anand Construwel Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Aakar Constructions	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
17.	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited in JV with M/s S.S. Builders	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
18.	M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
19.	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible
20.	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Class-I local Supplier	Eligible

***The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidders. A clarification also sought however no response have been received by the bidder. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.**

Accordingly, it is proposed to carry out the following provision of the RFP before opening of Financial Bid.

As per clause 2.19 of RFP, “the authority would display the result of technical evaluation on the web portal for 7 days including reasons for non-responsiveness, if any, and the financial bid will be opened thereafter”.

Further, as per Note 4 of clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, “The Bid capacity status of the bidder to be updated as on the day before opening the financial bids”.

Based on the above, it is to be recommended that while asking the updated Bid Capacity from the bidder, kindly ask the bidder to fill following information for providing updated Bid Capacity.

Information required for updated Bid Capacity

- 1. Whether there is any change in already submitted bid capacity – Yes/No**
- 2. If answer to 1 is Yes, then, please provide the following information**
 - a. Details of New projects allotted like Name of Project, Date of LOA/Start/Financial Bid Opening, Value and Period of Contract, Anticipated Date of Completion etc.**
 - b. Details of change in already submitted projects like change in cost (Revised Cost), change in completed value etc. Such change should be supported by authenticated supporting document and the completed value should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.**

Note: The information related to updated bid capacity (new projects added or change in already submitted projects) to be provide as per format of Annex-VI to Appendix – 1A (To Calculate the Value of “B” Table.

ANNEXURE – A

Details of Test of Responsiveness

Particulars		(a) Technic al BID is received online as per the format at Appendi x-IA includin g Annexur e I, II, III, IV, V and VI (Bid Capacity format);						(c) Techni cal Bid is accomp anied by the BID Securit y specifie d in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;	(d) The Powe r of Attor ney is uploa ded as specif ied in Claus es 2.1.5;	(e) Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture and the Joint Bidding Agreeme nt is uploaded as specified in Clause 2.1.6, if so required ;	(f) Tech nical Bid conta ins all the infor matio n (com plete in all respe cts);	(g) Tech nical Bid does not conta in any condi tion or quali ficati on;	(h) Proof of online payment of cost of Bid docume nt of Rs 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousan d only) transmit ted through the portal “bharat kosh.gov .in”	Whet her Integ rity Pact (duly signe d) subm itted	Whet her DSC holde r and POA holde r / POA Exec utor are same perso n	Whether Bid is accompa nied by Appendix- IX Certificate regarding compliance related to GFR	Remarks
Bid No	Name of the Applicant		Anne x-I	Anne x-III	An nex -II and IV	An nex -V	An nex -VI										
1	M/s Sandeep Builders	The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder M/s Sandeep Builders. A clarification also sought however no response have been received by the bidder. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.															Not Eligible for Financial Opening
2	M/s NK GLOBAL All SERVICES	The required documents for evaluation have not been found in the files/bid uploaded by the bidder M/s NK GLOBAL SERVICES. A clarification also sought however no response have been received by the bidder. Therefore, the bidder is considered as non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6 of RFP.															Not Eligible for Financial Opening
3	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening

4	M/s SANT SATRAMD AS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
5	M/s Deshmukh and Company	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
6	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
7	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
8	M/s V.P Sethi Construction s Pvt Ltd	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
9	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
10	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
11	M/s RRSM Infra Private Limited	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening

12	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
13	M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
14	M/s Shree Palsiddha Construction s	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
15	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
16	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Aakar Constructios	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
17	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited in JV with M/s S.S. Builders	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening

18	M/s SCONS INFRASTR UCTURE PVT LTD	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
19	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening
20	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Eligible for Financial Opening

ANNEXURE – B

Details of Technical Capacity

1. M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s S. B. Deshmukh																					
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																					
M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd.																					
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	A p p l i c a n t	F C	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks		
					Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2	1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)			
					1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20												
1	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd.	a	3	3	0.00	0.00	25.50	21.98	17.09	73.84	0.00	73.84	73.84	1.00	73.84	73.84	0.01	0.01	Maintenance		
2	do	b	3	3	0.00	0.00	6.67	8.44	9.15	28.02	0.00	28.02	28.02	1.00	28.02	28.02	0.00	0.00	Maintenance		
3	do	c	3		0.00	0.00	22.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.01	25.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.01	25.01	resurfacing		
		d	3	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.27	13.91	26.20	0.00	26.20	26.20	0.75	19.65	0.00	6.55	26.20	normal road		
		e	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.09	0.00	36.90	0.00	36.90	36.90	1.00	36.90	36.90	0.00	0.00			
		f	3	3	13.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.93	0.00	13.93	13.93	1.00	13.93	13.93	0.00	0.00			
										TOTAL		203.90	203.90		172.34	152.69	31.56	51.22			
M/s S. B. Deshmukh																					
1	M/s S. B. Deshmukh	a	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.60	0.00	59.53	131.35	131.35	1.00	59.53	59.53	71.82	71.82	Equity shareholding not sufficient		
2	do	b	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.21	29.84	0.00	48.70	54.10	54.10	1.00	48.70	48.70	5.40	5.40	Equity shareholding not sufficient		
		c	1	2	0.00	48.43	32.98	67.78	0.00	0.00	165.08	165.08	165.08	0.75	123.81	0.00	41.27	165.08	ODR and SH both		
		d	1	2	0.00	0.00	6.59	12.64	12.09	0.00	36.29	36.29	36.29	0.75	27.22	0.00	9.07	36.29	MDR		
		e	3	4	0.00	0.00	6.47	12.67	0.00	21.68	0.00	21.68	21.68	0.75	0.00	0.00	21.68	21.68	Upgradation of D P Roads		
		f	3	4	0.00	0.00	9.72	7.60	6.55	27.29	0.00	20.47	20.47	0.75	20.47	0.00	0.00	20.47	PMGSY		
		g	3	4	58.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.52	0.00	58.52	58.52	0.75	43.89	0.00	14.63	58.52	Road Development project under Maharashtra Suvarn Jayanti Nagar Uthhan Maha Abhiyan		
										TOTAL		487.49	487.49		323.61	108.23	163.88	379.26			
										Sub TOTAL		691.39	691.39		495.95	260.91	195.44	430.48			
Technical Criteria to be satisfied:																					
Particulars					Claimed	Required	Assessed	Whether meeting the criteria ?													
Minimum threshold technical capability for Category 1, 2, 3 & 4 Projects					691.39	246.91	495.95	Yes													
Minimum threshold technical for Lead Member					203.90	148.15	172.34	Yes		Anil Kohle & Co.											
Minimum threshold technical for other Member					487.49	49.38	323.61	Yes		G H Gindodia & Co.											
2.2.2.2 (ii) Single Completed Project					Cost	49.37	Project Code A of LM Cost 50.328 completed on 17.04.2021		Yes		143.4168										
							page 30 and 66/880														
EPC of the Project (As per RFP), Rs. Cr.										246.91											

4. M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD.																		
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																		
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	C g	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks
			A F	Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2	1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)	
1	4. M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD.	a	3 3	17.53	17.13	27.08	65.73	18.85	163.51	0.00	163.53	163.53	1.00	163.51	163.51	0.02	0.02	Pg- 27-29/169, Cost-147.66 completed on 09.11.2021
2		b	3 3	16.47	26.44	54.96	38.22	40.05	196.70	0.00	196.72	196.72	1.00	196.70	196.70	0.02	0.02	
3		c	3 3	58.48	19.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.00	0.00	79.00	79.00	1.00	79.00	79.00	0.00	0.00	
		d	3 3	49.61	22.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	73.46	0.00	73.46	73.46	1.00	73.46	73.46	0.00	0.00	
									TOTAL		512.71	512.71		512.67	512.67	0.04	0.04	
Technical Criteria to be satisfied:																		
				Particulars	Claimed	Required	Assessed	Whether meeting the criteria ?										
				Minimum threshold technical	512.71	246.91	512.67	Yes										
				2.2.2.2 (ii) Single Completed Project	Cost	49.37	Project Code-A Pg- 27-29/169, Cost-147.66 completed on 09.11.2021	Yes										
							page 27-29/169											
				EPC of the Project (As per RFP), Rs. Cr.			246.91											

5. M/s Deshmukh and Company																																							
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																																							
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P	r	o	j	e	c	t	C	o	d	e	A	F	Experience during Financial Years					1		2		3		4		5		6		7		10		11		16	
															Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2	Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks										
																						1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)											
1	5. M/s Deshmukh and Company	a	3	3									26.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.26	0.00	69.39	69.39	1.00		26.26	26.26		43.13	43.13	2024-25 not considered										
2		b	3	4									13.83	6.17	17.18	5.87	14.46	63.31	0.00	63.31	63.31	0.75		47.48	0.00		15.83	63.31	MDR or highway not cleared										
3		c	3	3									1.06	37.67	10.42	5.00	0.00	57.83	0.00	57.83	57.83	1.00		57.83	57.83		0.00	0.00	HAM Basis Project										
		d	3	4									21.99	28.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	51.55	0.00	51.55	51.55	0.75		38.66	0.00		12.89	51.55	MDR										
		e	3	3									38.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.00	0.00	38.00	38.00	1.00		38.00	38.00		0.00	0.00											
		f	3	3									0.00	0.00	1.92	6.30	6.19	16.79	0.00	16.79	16.79	1.00		16.79	16.79		0.00	0.00											
		g	3	3									0.00	3.00	4.32	0.00	5.39	14.37	0.00	14.37	14.37	1.00		14.37	14.37		0.00	0.00											
		h	3	3									0.00	0.00	8.64	3.19	6.84	21.38	0.00	21.38	21.38	1.00		21.38	21.38		0.00	0.00											
																		TOTAL		332.62	332.62			260.76	174.62		71.86	158.00											

6. M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED																				
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																				
									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	16		
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	Cg	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks		
				A	F	Year 1 2023- 24/2023	Year 2 2022- 23/2022	Year 3 2021- 22/2021	Year 4 2020- 21/2020	Year 5 2019- 20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)
						1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20										
1	6. M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	a	3	3	16.33	83.41	93.70	26.34	0.00	237.27	0.00	237.27	237.27	1.00	237.27	237.27	0.00	0.00		
2		b	3	3	1.38	2.05	4.15	0.27	43.65	60.79	0.00	60.79	60.79	1.00	60.79	60.79	0.00	0.00	Cost-91.37cr. Completed on 15.06.2022	
		c	3	3	0.00	0.00	11.73	0.00	13.66	29.30	0.00	29.30	29.30	1.00	29.30	29.30	0.00	0.00		
		d	3	3	0.00	5.00	14.13	3.15	16.33	44.01	0.00	44.01	44.01	1.00	44.01	44.01	0.00	0.00		
		e	3	3	0.00	0.00	4.70	4.57	19.07	33.31	0.00	33.31	33.31	1.00	33.31	33.31	0.00	0.00		
		f	3	3	0.00	2.05	31.41	28.67	47.82	127.06	0.00	127.06	127.06	1.00	127.06	127.06	0.00	0.00		
		g	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	181.42	181.42	1.00	0.00	0.00	181.42		The bidder is qualified from other projects therefore these projects have not been evaluated	
		h	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.30	57.30	1.00	0.00	0.00	57.30			
		i	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	265.20	265.20	1.00	0.00	0.00	265.20			
		j	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	184.82	184.82	1.00	0.00	0.00	184.82			
		k	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	102.79	102.79	1.00	0.00	0.00	102.79			
		l	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.85	62.85	1.00	0.00	0.00	62.85			
		m	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.66	71.66	1.00	0.00	0.00	71.66			
		n	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	125.90	125.90	1.00	0.00	0.00	125.90			
		o	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.75	41.75	1.00	0.00	0.00	41.75			
		p	4	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.48	47.48	0.75	0.00	0.00	47.48			
		q	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.66	44.66	1.00	0.00	0.00	44.66			
		r	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.28	58.28	1.00	0.00	0.00	58.28			
		s	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	61.26	61.26	1.00	0.00	0.00	61.26			
		t	4	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	51.58	51.58	0.75	0.00	0.00	51.58			
		u	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.76	25.76	1.00	0.00	0.00	25.76			
		v	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.86	33.86	1.00	0.00	0.00	33.86			
		w	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.44	37.44	1.00	0.00	0.00	37.44			
										TOTAL		1,985.75	1,985.75		531.73	531.73	1,454.02	0.01		
Technical Criteria to be satisfied:																				
Particulars					Claimed	Required	Assessed			Whether meeting the criteria ?										
Minimum threshold technical					1985.75	246.91	531.73			Yes										
2.2.2.2 (ii) Single Completed Project					Cost	49.37	Project Code B at page 9-10/97 (Rs. 91.37 cr.) completed on 15.06.2022			Yes		A N Gawade & Co. LLP								
							Pg-9/97													
EPC of the Project (As per RFP). Rs. Cr.										246.91										
Page 1 of 2																				

[illegible]

“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”

[illegible]

8. M/s V.P Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd																				
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																				
									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	16		
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	Cg	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks		
				A	F	Year 1 2023- 24/2023	Year 2 2022- 23/2022	Year 3 2021- 22/2021	Year 4 2020- 21/2020	Year 5 2019- 20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		1,2,3 &4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 &4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 &4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)
						1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20										
1	8. M/s V.P Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd	a	3		0.00	0.00	69.33	26.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	106.88	106.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	106.88	106.88	Periodical Repairs Pg-7/37 of Tech3	
2		b	3	3	4.83	100.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	110.12	0.00	110.12	110.12	1.00	110.12	110.12	0.00	0.00		
		c	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.04	44.45	0.00	44.44	44.44	1.00	44.44	44.44	0.00	0.00		
		d	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	25.44	36.11	0.00	36.09	36.09	1.00	36.09	36.09	0.00	0.00	FY 18-19, receipt not consider	
		e	3		0.00	0.00	10.47	9.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.81	22.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.81	22.81	Strengthening & Black topping	
		f	3		0.00	0.00	0.00	16.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.65	18.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.65	18.65	Strenthening/maintenance	
		g	3		0.00	0.00	2.95	10.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.21	15.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.21	15.21	Strenthening/maintenance	
		h	3	3	0.00	25.98	7.24	0.00	0.00	35.24	0.00	35.23	35.23	1.00	35.23	35.23	0.00	0.00		
		i	3	3	47.44	2.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.54	0.00	50.53	50.53	1.00	50.53	50.53	0.00	0.00		
		j	3	3	29.75	4.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	34.86	0.00	34.86	34.86	1.00	34.86	34.86	0.00	0.00		
										TOTAL		474.82	474.82		311.27	311.27	163.55	163.55		
																	</			

2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027	2027-2028	2028-2029	2029-2030	2030-2031	2031-2032	2032-2033	2033-2034	2034-2035	2035-2036	2036-2037	2037-2038	2038-2039	2039-2040	2040-2041	2041-2042	2042-2043	2043-2044	2044-2045	2045-2046	2046-2047	2047-2048	2048-2049	2049-2050	2050-2051	2051-2052	2052-2053	2053-2054	2054-2055	2055-2056	2056-2057	2057-2058	2058-2059	2059-2060	2060-2061	2061-2062	2062-2063	2063-2064	2064-2065	2065-2066	2066-2067	2067-2068	2068-2069	2069-2070	2070-2071	2071-2072	2072-2073	2073-2074	2074-2075	2075-2076	2076-2077	2077-2078	2078-2079	2079-2080	2080-2081	2081-2082	2082-2083	2083-2084	2084-2085	2085-2086	2086-2087	2087-2088	2088-2089	2089-2090	2090-2091	2091-2092	2092-2093	2093-2094	2094-2095	2095-2096	2096-2097	2097-2098	2098-2099	2099-2100	2100-2101	2101-2102	2102-2103	2103-2104	2104-2105	2105-2106	2106-2107	2107-2108	2108-2109	2109-2110	2110-2111	2111-2112	2112-2113	2113-2114	2114-2115	2115-2116	2116-2117	2117-2118	2118-2119	2119-2120	2120-2121	2121-2122	2122-2123	2123-2124	2124-2125	2125-2126	2126-2127	2127-2128	2128-2129	2129-2130	2130-2131	2131-2132	2132-2133	2133-2134	2134-2135	2135-2136	2136-2137	2137-2138	2138-2139	2139-2140	2140-2141	2141-2142	2142-2143	2143-2144	2144-2145	2145-2146	2146-2147	2147-2148	2148-2149	2149-2150	2150-2151	2151-2152	2152-2153	2153-2154	2154-2155	2155-2156	2156-2157	2157-2158	2158-2159	2159-2160	2160-2161	2161-2162	2162-2163	2163-2164	2164-2165	2165-2166	2166-2167	2167-2168	2168-2169	2169-2170	2170-2171	2171-2172	2172-2173	2173-2174	2174-2175	2175-2176	2176-2177	2177-2178	2178-2179	2179-2180	2180-2181	2181-2182	2182-2183	2183-2184	2184-2185	2185-2186	2186-2187	2187-2188	2188-2189	2189-2190	2190-2191	2191-2192	2192-2193	2193-2194	2194-2195	2195-2196	2196-2197	2197-2198	2198-2199	2199-2200	2200-2201	2201-2202	2202-2203	2203-2204	2204-2205	2205-2206	2206-2207	2207-2208	2208-2209	2209-2210	2210-2211	2211-2212	2212-2213	2213-2214	2214-2215	2215-2216	2216-2217	2217-2218	2218-2219	2219-2220	2220-2221	2221-2222	2222-2223	2223-2224	2224-2225	2225-2226	2226-2227	2227-2228	2228-2229	2229-2230	2230-2231	2231-2232	2232-2233	2233-2234	2234-2235	2235-2236	2236-2237	2237-2238	2238-2239	2239-2240	2240-2241	2241-2242	2242-2243	2243-2244	2244-2245	2245-2246	2246-2247	2247-2248	2248-2249	2249-2250	2250-2251	2251-2252	2252-2253	2253-2254	2254-2255	2255-2256	2256-2257	2257-2258	2258-2259	2259-2260	2260-2261	2261-2262	2262-2263	2263-2264	2264-2265	2265-2266	2266-2267	2267-2268	2268-2269	2269-2270	2270-2271	2271-2272	2272-2273	2273-2274	2274-2275	2275-2276	2276-2277	2277-2278	2278-2279	2279-2280	2280-2281	2281-2282	2282-2283	2283-2284	2284-2285	2285-2286	2286-2287	2287-
-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-------

“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”
--

[illegible]

12. M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd																			
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																			
									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	16	
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	Cg	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks	
				A	F	Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019									Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4
				C		1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				1,2,3 &4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 &4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 &4 Projects (3-10)
1	12. M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	a	3	3	0.00	0.00	6.54	75.88	10.29	106.80	0.00	106.80	106.80	1.00	106.80	106.80	0.00	0.00	
2		b	3	0	3.71	16.43	57.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	84.52	84.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	84.52	84.52	Project name & configuration similar to project name in Project Code-A
		c	3	3	48.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.38	0.00	48.38	48.38	1.00	48.38	48.38	0.00	0.00	
		d	3	3	63.15	70.00	9.03	0.00	0.00	146.58	0.00	146.58	146.58	1.00	146.58	146.58	0.00	0.00	
		e	3	3	31.17	19.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	51.47	0.00	51.47	51.47	1.00	51.47	51.47	0.00	0.00	
										TOTAL		437.75	437.75		353.23	353.23	84.52	84.52	
						</													

“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”
--

15. M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED																				
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																				
										1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	16	
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o n t	Cg	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks		
				A	F	Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		1,2,3 &4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 &4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 &4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)
				C		1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20										
1	15. M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE	a	3	3	0.00	67.85	28.67	0.00	0.00	102.78	0.00	102.78	102.78	1.00	102.78	102.78	0.00	0.00		
2		b	3	3	95.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	95.46	0.00	95.46	95.46	1.00	95.46	95.46	0.00	0.00		
		c	3	3	0.00	9.93	26.96	0.00	0.00	40.08	0.00	40.08	40.08	1.00	40.08	40.08	0.00	0.00		
		d	3	3	0.00	7.01	13.94	0.00	0.00	22.69	0.00	22.69	22.69	1.00	22.69	22.69	0.00	0.00		
		e	3	3	0.00	0.37	10.12	9.58	0.00	22.54	0.00	22.54	22.54	1.00	22.54	22.54	0.00	0.00		
		f	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.69	60.83	0.00	60.83	60.83	1.00	60.83	60.83	0.00	0.00		
		g	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.36	28.57	35.85	0.00	35.85	35.85	1.00	35.85	35.85	0.00	0.00		
		h	3	4	0.00	0.00	5.31	15.90	28.37	58.17	0.00	58.17	58.17	0.75	43.63	0.00	14.54	58.17		
		i	3	3	0.00	0.00	2.43	10.69	23.04	42.61	0.00	42.61	42.61	1.00	42.61	42.61	0.00	0.00		
		j	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.57	0.00	53.56	0.00	53.55	53.55	1.00	53.55	53.55	0.00	0.00		
		k	3	3	0.00	0.00	3.13	19.34	10.19	37.91	0.00	37.91	37.91	1.00	37.91	37.91	0.00	0.00		
		l	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.18	31.18	1.00	0.00	0.00	31.18	31.18		
		m	3	3	65.19	35.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	102.42	0.00	102.42	102.42	1.00	102.42	102.42	0.00	0.00	The bidder is qualified from other projects therefore these projects have not been evaluated	
		n	1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	86.18	86.18	1.00	0.00	0.00	86.18	86.18	The bidder is qualified from other projects therefore these projects have not been evaluated	
										TOTAL		792.25	792.25		660.34	616.71	131.91	175.54		

16. M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Aakar Constructions																											
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																											
M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd.																											
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P	o	j	e	c	t	C	o	d	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks		
											A	F	Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)
											C	C	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20										
1	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	a	3	4	0.00	18.04	8.58	13.68	22.34	70.92	0.00	70.90	70.90	0.75	53.19	0.00	17.71	70.90	Link road consider in cat 4								
2	do	b	3	3	0.00	0.00	25.50	21.98	17.09	73.84	0.00	73.82	73.82	1.00	73.82	73.82	0.00	0.00									
3	do	c	3	3	0.00	0.00	2.27	0.00	17.13	23.05	0.00	59.10	59.10	1.00	23.05	23.05	36.05	36.05	17-18 and 18-19 not considered								
		d	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.92	20.92	1.00	0.00	0.00	20.92	20.92	Bidder is qualified from other project therefore these projects not evaluated								
		e	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.92	30.92	1.00	0.00	0.00	30.92	30.92									
		f	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.40	26.40	1.00	0.00	0.00	26.40	26.40									
		g	2	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.29	20.29	0.75	0.00	0.00	20.29	20.29									
		h	2	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.23	15.23	0.75	0.00	0.00	15.23	15.23									
										TOTAL		317.58	317.58		150.06	96.87	167.52	220.71									
M/s Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.																											
1	M/s Anand Construwell Pvt. do	a	3	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	27.50	33.05	0.00	33.05	0.75	24.78	0.00	8.27	33.05	normal road consider in cat 4									
2	do	b	3	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.91	10.72	28.86	0.00	27.63	27.63	0.75	21.65	0.00	5.98	27.63	normal road consider in cat 4								
		c	3	3	0.00	19.74	1.74	0.00	0.00	22.64	0.00	25.80	25.80	1.00	22.64	22.64	3.16	3.16									
		d	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.61	24.61	1.00	0.00	0.00	24.61	24.61	Bidder is qualified from other project therefore these projects not evaluated								
		e	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.44	16.44	1.00	0.00	0.00	16.44	16.44									
		f	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.28	14.28	1.00	0.00	0.00	14.28	14.28									
										TOTAL		141.81	141.81		69.07	22.64	72.74	119.17									
										Sub TOTAL		459.39	459.39		219.13	119.51	240.26	339.88									
M/s Aakar Constructions																											
1	0.00	a	3	3	0.00	0.00	3.33	26.30	15.66	52.70	0.00	45.29	45.29	1.00	45.29	52.70	0.00	-7.41									
2	do	b	3	3	0.00	0.00	3.88	12.28	8.06	28.06	0.00	24.22	24.22	1.00	24.22	24.22	0.00	0.00									
3		c	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.51	14.51	1.00	0.00	0.00	14.51	14.51	Bidder is qualified from other project therefore these projects not evaluated								
4		d	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.46	14.46	1.00	0.00	0.00	14.46	14.46									
5		e	4	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.38	19.38	0.75	0.00	0.00	19.38	19.38									
										TOTAL		117.86	117.86		69.51	76.92	48.35	40.94									
										Sub TOTAL		577.25	577.25		288.64	196.43	288.61	380.82									
Technical Criteria to be satisfied:																											
Particulars					Claimed	Required	Assessed	Whether meeting the criteria ?																			
Minimum threshold technical capability for Category 1, 2, 3 & 4 Projects					459.39	246.91	288.64	Yes																			
Minimum threshold technical for Lead Member					317.58	148.15	150.06	Yes	Palsule Pangam & Co.																		
Minimum threshold technical for other Member 1					141.81	49.38	69.07	Yes	SS Mutha & Co.																		
Minimum threshold technical for other Member 2					117.86	49.38	69.51	Yes	Milind Ajay & Co.																		
2.2.2.2 (ii) Single Completed Project					Cost	49.37	Project B of LM at 225/1777 cost 50.33 cr on 14.12.2022	Yes																			
								No																			
EPC of the Project (As per RFP). Rs. Cr										246.91																	

17. M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited in JV with M/s S.S. Builders																			
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																			
M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited																			
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	C g	A F C	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks
					Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2	1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)	
					1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20										
1	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited	a	3	3	0.00	0.00	3.86	1.18	33.36	45.64	0.00	45.62	45.62	1.00	45.62	45.62	0.00	0.00	
2	do	b	3	3	0.00	0.00	9.45	6.91	6.16	25.73	0.00	25.72	25.72	1.00	25.72	25.72	0.00	0.00	
3	do	c	3	3	12.99	21.48	15.80	0.00	0.00	52.92	0.00	52.92	52.92	1.00	52.92	52.92	0.00	0.00	
		d	3	3	11.80	21.96	15.69	7.32	0.00	60.54	0.00	60.51	60.51	1.00	60.51	60.51	0.00	0.00	
										TOTAL		184.77	184.77		184.77	184.77	0.00	0.00	
M/s S.S. Builders																			
1	M/s S.S. Builders	a	3	4	0.00	3.13	1.47	12.62	19.52	42.84	0.00	131.35	131.35	0.75	32.13	0.00	99.22	131.35	Project consider in Cat 4
2	do	b	3	4	0.00	0.00	21.68	23.81	0.00	51.23	0.00	54.10	54.10	0.75	38.42	0.00	15.68	54.10	
		c	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	165.08	165.08	1.00	0.00	0.00	165.08	165.08	
		d	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.29	36.29	1.00	0.00	0.00	36.29	36.29	
		e	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.68	21.68	1.00	0.00	0.00	21.68	21.68	
		f	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.47	20.47	1.00	0.00	0.00	20.47	20.47	
		g	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.52	58.52	1.00	0.00	0.00	58.52	58.52	
		h	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		i	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		j	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
										TOTAL		487.49	487.49		70.55	0.00	416.94	487.49	
										Sub TOTAL		672.26	672.26		255.32	184.77	416.94	487.49	
Technical Criteria to be satisfied:																			
Particulars					Claimed	Required	Assessed	Whether meeting the criteria ?											
Minimum threshold technical capability for Category 1, 2, 3 & 4 Projects					672.26	246.91	255.32	Yes		Vinod Arora & Co.									
Minimum threshold technical for Lead Member					184.77	148.15	184.77	Yes		Pradeep Kaushalya & Co.									
Minimum threshold technical for other Member					487.49	49.38	70.55	Yes											
2.2.2.2 (ii) Single Completed Project					Cost	49.37	Project Code A of LM Cost 52.79 cr. at 47/117 on 10.08.2022		Yes										
								No											
EPC of the Project (As per RFP), Rs. Cr.									246.91										

18. M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD																																
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																																
									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	16														
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P	r	o	p	j	p	l	I	c	c	a	n	d	e	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks		
																A	F	Year 1 2023-24/2023	Year 2 2022-23/2022	Year 3 2021-22/2021	Year 4 2020-21/2020	Year 5 2019-20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)
																C	C															
1	18. M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	a	3	4					0.00	0.00	21.47	47.47	17.50	99.21	0.00	99.20	99.20	0.75	74.41	0.00	24.79	99.20	Considered in Cat 4									
2		b	3	3					109.40	57.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	169.85	0.00	169.84	169.84	1.00	169.85	169.84	-0.01	0.00										
3		c	3	4					18.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.13	0.00	18.13	18.13	0.75	13.60	0.00	4.53	18.13	Considered in Cat 4									
		d	4	4					7.81	14.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.90	0.00	17.15	17.15	0.75	17.17	0.00												
														TOTAL		304.32	304.32		275.03	169.84	29.32	117.33										
	</																															

19. M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.																											
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																											
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	A p p l i c a n t	Cg	Experience during Financial Years					1		2		3		4		5	6		7		10		11		16
					F C	Year 1 2023- 24/2023	Year 2 2022- 23/2022	Year 3 2021- 22/2021	Year 4 2020- 21/2020	Year 5 2019- 20/2019	Type of Experience (Rs crores) Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2	Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)			Experience Score (Rejected by FC)								
						1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20			1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)							
1	19. M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	a	3	4	117.56	76.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	197.95	0.00	197.95	197.95	0.75	148.46	0.00	49.49	197.95	Considered in cat 4								
2		b	3	4	0.00	0.00	93.60	34.48	25.24	172.90	0.00	172.91	172.91	0.75	129.68	0.00	43.24	172.91	Considered in cat 4								
3		c	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	106.81	106.81	1.00	0.00	0.00	106.81	106.81	Bidder is qualified from other project therefore these projects not evaluated								
		d	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	104.93	104.93	1.00	0.00	0.00	104.93	104.93									
		e	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	115.79	115.79	1.00	0.00	0.00	115.79	115.79									
		f	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	111.36	111.36	1.00	0.00	0.00	111.36	111.36									
		g	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	74.51	74.51	1.00	0.00	0.00	74.51	74.51									
		h	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			36.08	36.08														
		i	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			37.15	37.15														
		j	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			33.31	33.31														
		k	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			33.84	33.84														
		l	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			30.69	30.69														
		m	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			32.30	32.30														
		n	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			28.61	28.61														
		o	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			28.81	28.81														
		p	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			27.04	27.04														
		q	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			21.53	21.53														
		r	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			23.73	23.73														
		s	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			20.07	20.07														
		t	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			21.02															
			3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
										TOTAL		1,258.44	1,237.42		278.14	0.00	606.12	884.26									

20. M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited																				
“Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.”																				
									1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	16		
Sr. No.	Name of the Member (Lead Member/Member)	P r o j e c t C o d e	Cg	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Applicant)		Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		Remarks		
				A	F	Year 1 2023- 24/2023	Year 2 2022- 23/2022	Year 3 2021- 22/2021	Year 4 2020- 21/2020	Year 5 2019- 20/2019	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects	1,2,3 & 4 Projects	1 & 3 Projects		1,2,3 & 4 Projects (3-10)	1 & 3 Projects (4-11)
				C	C	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20										
1	20. M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	a	3	3	3.90	73.73	178.42	335.42	422.41	1,170.20	0.00	1,170.20	1,170.20	1.00	246.91	246.91	923.29	923.29	Restricted to EPC	
2		b	3	3	12.77	13.40	7.42	197.61	418.51	764.47	0.00	764.47	764.47	1.00	246.91	764.47	517.56	0.00	Restricted to EPC	
		c	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.92	825.92	1.00	0.00	0.00	825.92	825.92	Bidder is qualified from other project therefore these projects not evaluated	
		d	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,497.38	1,497.38	1.00	0.00	0.00	1,497.38	1,497.38		
		e	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	856.16	856.16	1.00	0.00	0.00	856.16	856.16		
		f	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	760.05	760.05	1.00	0.00	0.00	760.05	760.05		
		g	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	952.81	952.81	1.00	0.00	0.00			The bidder is qualified from other	
										TOTAL		6,826.99	6,826.99		493.82	1,011.38	5,380.36	4,862.80		

ANNEXURE – C

Details of Financial Capacity

Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.										
Calculation of Financial Capability (Rs. in Cr.)										
TPC		Rs 246.910 Crore	LM	OM				2.71	82	
1. Net Worth Req'd		Rs 12.35 Crore	7.41	2.47						
2. Turnover Required		Rs 37.04 Crore	22.22	7.41						
App No.	Name of Applicant	Net Worth Claimed	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			Paid up Equity Share Capital	+ Reserve and Surplus	- Investment in Associate /s	- Deferred Expenditure & Misc. Exp. not written off	- Accumulated Losses	- Revaluation Reserves / Capital Reserves	- write back of depreciation and amalgamation	Net Worth Assessed (restricted to claim Net Worth)
1.	M/s Sandeep Builders									-
2	M/s NK GLOBAL SERVICES									-
3	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd.	46.83	0.25	46.59			0			46.83
	M/s S. B. Deshmukh	128.54	128.54	-				-		128.54
	Total	175.37	128.79	46.59						175.37
4	M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD.	118.72	0.30	118.42						118.72
5	M/s Deshmukh and Company	79.31	82.02	-						79.31
6	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	241.07	16.50	224.57						241.07
7	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	1,129.76	63.09	1,045.80						1,108.89
8	M/s V.P. Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd	19.02	0.15	18.87						19.02
9	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	220.42	28.75	191.67						220.42
10	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	52.75	1.99	54.54						52.75
11	M/s RRSM Infra Private Limited	240.4	2.44	237.96						240.40
12	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	26.37	7.50	18.88						26.37
13	M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd.	219.44	17.00	202.32						219.32
14	M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions	81.29	81.29	-						81.29
15	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	101.92	5.86	96.06						101.92
	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd	69.48	1.79	67.72						69.48
	M/s Anand Construwel Pvt. Ltd.	66.87	2.50	64.37						66.87
16	M/s Aakar Constructions	31.18	31.18	-						31.18
	Total	167.53	35.47	132.09						167.53
	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited	36.28	2.15	34.12						36.27
17	M/s S.S. Builders	52.28	52.28	-						52.28
	Total	88.56	54.43	34.12						88.55
18	M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	34.23	7.32	26.91						34.23
19	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	204.47	2.95	201.52						204.47
20	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	3812.5	140.36	3,672.13						3,812.49
Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total length - 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.										
Turnover Calculation										
App No.	Name of Applicant	Claimed	2019-20/2019	2020-21/2020	2021-22/2021	2022-23/2022	2023-24/2023	Minimum of Assessed or Claimed	Overall Status of Eligibility	
			1.2	1.15	1.1	1.05	1			
1.	M/s Sandeep Builders							0.00	No	
2	M/s NK GLOBAL SERVICES							0.00	No	
	M/s B T Kadlag Construction Pvt. Ltd.	95.36	66.53	72.22	75.34	62.79	106.01	83.54	Yes	44/880...
3	M/s S. B. Deshmukh	153.67	127.28	127.75	107.53	70.33	214.81	141.32	Yes	44/880
	Total	249.03	193.81	199.97	182.87	133.12	320.82	224.86	Yes	
4	M/s SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD.	132.08	107.28	111.62	120.01	72.19	195.49	132.08	Yes	19/169
5	M/s Deshmukh and Company	743.37	152.71	97.91	93.84	205.09	274.33	177.75	Yes	Annex-III 1/1
6	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	541.49	274.71	210.50	348.96	622.55	1004.97	522.85	Yes	1/497
7	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	1171.09	792.51	963.93	1078.90	1182.02	1026.58	1102.80	Yes	18/196
8	M/s V.P. Sethi Constructions Pvt Ltd	143.13	76.69	101.31	138.07	181.84	164.33	143.13	Yes	1/319
9	M/s M. S. Khurana Engineering Limited	323.88	381.36	266.47	286.99	243.25	276.13	322.26	Yes	1/260
10	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	160.53	123.85	107.81	147.34	131.70	210.10	156.61	Yes	Annex-III 1/1
11	M/s RRSM Infra Private Limited	744.77	476.16	397.79	628.08	983.44	696.56	689.78	Yes	118/376
12	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd	100.22	18.54	81.73	75.22	106.16	151.81	92.45	Yes	23/527
13	M/s Swastik Infralogics Pvt. Ltd	370.15	263.52	320.38	484.15	406.44	206.78	370.15	Yes	43/465
14	M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions	171.5	146.86	144.21	137.03	205.62	220.59	171.50	Yes	1/1 Annex-III
15	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	279.12	213.16	229.81	200.09	259.14	383.35	279.12	Yes	1/3 Annex-III
	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd	164.6	128.50	98.25	99.28	142.60	173.05	139.84	Yes	264/1777
16	M/s Anand Construwel Pvt. Ltd.	136.53	115.66	116.30	134.99	115.93	97.31	128.01	Yes	
	M/s Aakar Constructions	70.04	64.68	71.93	49.36	69.47	60.31	69.58	Yes	
	Total	371.17	308.84	286.48	283.63	328.00	330.67	337.42	Yes	
	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited	104.05	55.85	40.01	56.70	143.08	194.64	104.05	Yes	41/117
17	M/s S.S. Builders	271.72	94.92	114.02	245.2	288	394.39	242.31	Yes	22/96
	Total	375.77	150.77	154.03	301.90	431.08	589.03	346.36	Yes	
18	M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	96.04	24.21	52.35	75.45	85.67	203.99	93.24	Yes	88/382..
19	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	417.8	324.23	240.82	355.93	342.56	438.84	371.21	Yes	178/178...
20	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	5716.66	3763.13	3702.89	4286.50	5994.79	7413.76	5439.50	Yes	42/2096..

ANNEXURE – D

Details of Bid Capacity

Summary of Bid Capacity							
Strengthening, Upgradation & Widening of Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section of NH-160D km. 00/00 to 47/370 (Nandur Shingote - Kolhar Section). Total Length- 47.37 Km (2L+PS) Configuration in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode.							
Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Value of A Rs. In Cr.	Value of B Rs. In Cr.	Value of c Rs. In Cr.	Bid Capacity Assessed	Bid Capacity Claimed	Remarks
1	M/s Sandeep Builders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FAIL
2	M/s N K Builders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FAIL
3	M/s B T Kadlag (Lead Member)	82.52	50.49	0.00	258.98	265.32	PASS
	M/s S. B. Deshmukh (Other Member)	181.55	159.87	0.00	520.94	524.02	PASS
	Total	264.07	210.36	0.00	779.92	789.34	PASS
4	M/S SANT SATRAMDAS INFRASTRUCTURE	195.49	123.50	0.00	609.59	685.39	PASS
5	M/s Deshmukh & Company	274.33	201.75	0.00	826.99	831.77	PASS
6	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED	1004.97	3223.91	0.00	544.73	752.27	PASS
7	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	967.05	2267.07	0.00	1359.36	1588.58	PASS
8	M/s V. P. Sethi Construction Pvt Ltd.	190.93	265.49	0.00	450.51	452.17	PASS
9	M/s M. S. Khurana	457.63	1133.33	0.00	582.79	602.27	PASS
10	M/s Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt. Ltd.	210.10	511.40	0.00	276.48	309.18	PASS
11	M/s RRSN Infra Private Limited	1032.61	2103.74	0.00	1768.55	2024.08	PASS
12	M/s Sunny Infraprojects Pvt Ltd.	151.81	244.20	0.00	325.08	459.66	PASS
13	M/s Swastik InfralOgics Pvt. Ltd.	532.57	1379.37	0.00	617.75	1137.13	PASS
14	M/s Shree Palsiddha Constructions	220.59	97.53	0.00	729.68	746.97	PASS
15	M/S A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	383.35	752.06	0.00	685.51	693.36	PASS
16	M/s Forcone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	173.05	260.19	0.00	388.75	464.04	PASS
	M/s Anand Construwel Pvt. Ltd.	148.49	223.14	0.00	333.70	373.10	PASS
	M/s Aakar Constructions	82.72	36.24	0.00	273.96	274.07	PASS
	Total	404.26	519.56	0.00	996.41	1111.21	PASS
17	M/s All Grace Developers Private Limited	194.64	202.67	0.00	527.23	527.27	PASS
	M/s S. S. Builders	394.39	1351.24	0.00	127.73	534.34	PASS
	Total	589.03	1553.91	0.00	654.95	1061.60	PASS
18	M/s SCONS INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	203.99	318.89	0.00	446.08	471.17	PASS
19	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	438.84	930.89	0.00	714.76	770.72	PASS
20	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	7413.76	25287.66	83.58	2597.52	14473.11	PASS