



GAIL (INDIA) LIMITED, HAZIRA

Sl. No.	Subject	Details
1.	Tender no.	GAIL/HZR/C&P/121365/FL-17C/24-25 (GePNIC Tender No: 2024_GAIL_205002_1) dt. 14/08/2024
2.	Brief scope of work	RENOVATION OF TOILETS AT GAIL HAZIRA COMPRESSOR STATION
3.	Mode of Tendering	Open Domestic Competitive e-bidding in single stage two bid system through GEPNIC portal.
4.	Value of EMD / Bid Bond as per bidding document	Rs. 1,31,900.00
5.	Date of issue of tender	14/08/2024
6.	Bid Due date & time for submission	Date : 04/09/2024 / 14:00hrs Bid Opening date : 05/09/2024 / 15:00hrs
7.	Corrigendum	NIL
8.	No. of bids received within due date & time at GEPNIC portal	15 participants
9.	Detail of Participant bidders	S.N. Name of Bidders (M/s)
		1. ADARSH ASSOCIATE
		2. AGRAVAN INNOVATE LLP
		3. AYK ENGINEERS AND CONSTRUCTION LLP
		4. BANYANWOODS CONSERVE & ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED
		5. BYMA CONSTRUCTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED
		6. GOODBUILD ENGINEERS LLP
		7. GRAYTECH INFRA PRIVATE LIMITED
		8. LALAN INTERIORS
		9. LUPINES INNOVATION PRIVATE LIMITED
		10. M L CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
		11. MAHENDRA REALTORS AND INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD
		12. MVT INFRA TECH. PVT. LTD
		13. NIKI&CO
		14. SANTRAHU BUILDERS PRIVATE LIMITED
		15. ZIND BABA ENTERPRISES
10.	Holiday/ Banning status	Above mentioned bidders are not on Holiday / Banning list as per GAIL intranet.

S. No	Bidders Name (M/s.)	Technical Comments	Commercial Comments
1.	ADARSH ASSOCIATE	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
2.	AGRAVAN INNOVATE LLP	Technically acceptable	Commercially not Acceptable



GAIL (INDIA) LIMITED, HAZIRA

3.	AYK ENGINEERS AND CONSTRUCTION LLP	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
4.	BANYANWOODS CONSERVE & ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
5.	BYMA CONSTRUCTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
6.	GOODBUILD ENGINEERS LLP	Technically not acceptable	Commercially not Acceptable
7.	GRAYTECH INFRA PRIVATE LIMITED	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
8.	LALAN INTERIORS	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
9.	LUPINES INNOVATION PRIVATE LIMITED	Technically acceptable	Commercially not Acceptable
10.	M L CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
11.	MAHENDRA REALTORS AND INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
12.	MVT INFRA TECH. PVT. LTD	Technically not acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
13.	NIKI&CO	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
14.	SANTRAHU BUILDERS PRIVATE LIMITED	Technically not acceptable	Commercially not Acceptable
15.	ZIND BABA ENTERPRISES	Technically acceptable	Commercially Acceptable

Further, the offers of the following bidders are **Techno-Commercially Not Acceptable** and the brief details are as below:

1) M/s AGRAVAN INNOVATE LLP:

Bidder has not responded to TQ/CQ, also bidder is not acceptable on the ground of non-submission of audited financial statement [including Balance sheet, Profit & Loss Account etc. for three preceding financial years.]. Further bidder has not submitted Form F-5 [ATC] therefore the bidder is commercially not acceptable and overall the bid techno-commercially not acceptable.

2) M/s GOODBUILD ENGINEERS LLP:

Bidder has not responded to TQ/CQ within cut-off date and also bidder has not submitted startup certificate duly certified by CA in compliance to technical BEC criteria. Therefore the bid is technically not acceptable and over all the bid is techno-commercially acceptable.

3) M/s LUPINES INNOVATION PRIVATE LIMITED:

Bidder has submitted Form F-10 however not on CA's letterhead for financial capability of bidder and F-9 (Inadequate Working Capital). Also, the bidder has not submitted audited financial statement of preceding three years. Bidder has not submitted commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.



GAIL (INDIA) LIMITED, HAZIRA

4) M/s MVT INFRA TECH. PVT. LTD.:

Bidder was asked to submit final completion certificate instead of execution certificate. However, bidder has submitted same execution certificate showing same value. Therefore, the bid is technically not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.

5) M/s SANTRAHU BUILDERS PRIVATE LIMITED:

Bidder has not submitted startup certificate duly Notarized by Notary Public and certified by CA. Therefore, the bid is technically not acceptable and overall the bid techno-commercially not acceptable.

In view of the above, the tender committee recommends opening the price bids of the following techno-commercially acceptable bidders:

1. M/s ADARSH ASSOCIATE
2. M/s AYK ENGINEERS AND CONSTRUCTION LLP
3. M/s BANYANWOODS CONSERVE & ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED
4. M/s BYMA CONSTRUCTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED
5. M/s GRAYTECH INFRA PRIVATE LIMITED
6. M/s LALAN INTERIORS
7. M/s M L CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
8. M/s MAHENDRA REALTORS AND INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD
9. M/s NIKI&CO
10. M/s ZIND BABA ENTERPRISES