



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
National Highways Authority of India
(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)
जी 5-एवं, 6सेक्टर, 10-द्वारका, नई दिल्ली – 110075 -G-5 & 6, Sector,
Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075
दूरभाष/Phone: 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



Result of Technical Bids

NHAI/HQ/UP(E)/Rbl/Rbl-Banda(NH-232)/PBMC/

Date: 01.03.2024

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub.: Strengthening and Major Maintenance of Raebareli to Banda section of NH-232 from Km 148.993 to Km 285.818, excluding bypasses at Lalganj and Fatehpur in the state Uttar Pradesh on Performance based Maintenance Contract (PBMC) Mode. [Tender ID: 2023_NHAI_179631_1] - Result of Technical Bids- Reg.

Sir,


This has reference to RFP submitted for above subject project. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
1.	M/s Inckah Infrastructure Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive & Eligible	-
2.	M/s Jeet Construction	Responsive & Eligible	-
3.	M/s DPS Contractors Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive & Eligible	-
4.	M/s SBG Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive & Eligible	-
5.	M/s Vasu Enterprises	Responsive & Eligible	-
6.	M/s SH Infratech Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Bidder is not found substantially responsive and accordingly rejected as per Clause 8.2 of Section II of RFP as the Bidder fails to meet the eligibility criteria of clause 4.3 (i) of Section-II of RFP. Reply submitted by the bidder is not justified as for project code "A" to "D" the payment received by the Bidder during 2018-19 to 2022-23 is less than 5% of EPC. Hence Project code "A" to "D" have not considered for Evaluation In view of the above the bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria i.e. Rs. 123.43 Crore of clause 4.3 (i) of RFP. In addition to above, there are following observations also: Bidder along with its replies has not submitted Annexure-V (Legal Capacity) and Undertaking w.r.t litigation and arbitration, Undertaking w.r.t Bidder is not affiliated, Undertaking w.r.t

22/03/2024

			Local Content and Notes to Accounts for last 5 financial year (2018-19 to 2022-23) has still not been submitted by the Bidder, which was specifically asked for from the said Bidder, hence, the same has not been considered. • Bidder was asked to submit the documents in support of ownership or availability of Vibratory roller, static roller, and Mechanical Broom. However, the Bidder has not submitted any supporting document in this regards. Hence, the same has not been considered. • Bidder along with its replies has not submitted the corrected CV duly signed and reflecting the requisite experience, which is not fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same has not be considered.
7.	M/s Alok Buildtech Private Limited	Responsive & Eligible	-
8.	M/s Ceigall India Limited	Non-Responsive	Bidder is not found substantially responsive and accordingly rejected as per Clause 4.1 (j) (2) and 8.2 of Section II of RFP. The reasons for non-responsiveness are as follows: • Bidder has submitted the CV of only two key personnel of Site Engineer-cum Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer instead of 3 key personnel, which is required as per provision Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of RFP. • Bidder has submitted the CV of only one key personnel of Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager instead of 2 key personnel, which is required as per provision of RFP. • Bidder has not submitted the Road Safety Audit Certificate from appropriate approved Govt. agency of Mr. Rahbar Alam who's CV has been proposed for the position of Road Safety Auditor which is required as per Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of RFP.
9.	M/s SNP-Roadlink JV	Responsive & Eligible	-
10.	M/s SRSC Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive & Eligible	-

2. Financial Bid of the above-mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of Technical Evaluation on the web portal for 3 days (i.e., till **04.03.2024**) as per Clause 23.5 of RFP. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.



(M. K. Bansal)

General Manager (T)-UP.(East)