



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/Guj/2023/Dhrol & Amran Bypass/ Proc. /e-232221

02.03.2024

To,

All Participating Bidders;

Sub:- Four laning of Dhrol Greenfield section from Km 0+000 (Ex Ch. 53+151 of SH-25) to Km 3+286 & Widening to four lane from Km 3+286 to Km 6+000 and Widening of Amran section to 4 lane from Km 42+180 to Km 48+580 of NH-151A on EPC mode under NH(O) in the state of Gujarat – **Technical Result Upload-reg. [Tender ID: 2023_NHAI_179003_1]**

Ref: NIT dated 22.12.2023

Sir,

This has reference to your bid submitted for the subject project.

2. In this regard, it is to inform that based on the submitted bids, the result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are enclosed.

3. Financial Bid of the above mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of technical evaluation on the web portal for 7 days (i.e., till 09.03.2024) as per Clause 2.19 of RFP. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours sincerely,

(Tushar Vyas)
GM (T)-Gujarat

S. No.	Applicant/ Bidder Name	Status	Reasons/ remarks
1.	M/s Kalthia Engineering and Construction Ltd.	Responsive	---
2.	M/s Mehta Construction Co.	Non Responsive	1. For demonstrating the technical capacity and experience, the Bidder has claimed a total of 08 (eight) projects in its Annex-II: Technical Capacity. Out of these 08 (eight) projects, the project bearing code "C", "D", and "H" have been claimed under category-3 by the bidder, but the projects have been assessed under category 4. With respect to Project bearing code "C" and "H", the bidder has submitted client certificate issued by PWD division, M.P. However, it is not ascertainable from the submitted client certificate that whether the claimed road works pertains to Construction of National Highway, State Highway, Major District Road (MDR), or any other category of road works in order to assess the project under category 3. Therefore, both the projects have been assessed under category-4 pursuant to clause 2.2.2.5 (iii)(b) of the RFP. The Project "D" pertains to Up-gradation & Maintenance of roads and drains at Mayapuri Industrial Area Phase-I & II. Pursuant to clause 2.2.2.5 (iii)(b) of the RFP, the core sector includes civil construction cost incurred on industrial parks/estates. Thus, the Project "D" has been assessed under category-4. 2. Further, in case of Project "G" the value of work executed and certified by the Engineer-in-charge amounts to Rs. 20.34 crore which is less than the required work receipts of Rs. 20.79 crore as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) of RFP. Thus, Project "G" has not been considered eligible for the assessment of Threshold Technical Capacity. In view of the above, the Technical Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as per provision of RFP which is less than the minimum required Threshold Technical Capacity of Rs. 415.88 crore, thereby rendering the bidder disqualified for not meeting the minimum threshold technical capacity under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP. 3. With respect to the table containing value of all existing commitments and on-going works, the Bidder has submitted interim payment certificate counter signed by the Engineer-in-charge for one of its project namely "Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi- Amritsar Katra Expressway from Junction with Patiala-Samana-Patran road (SH-10) near Ghagga village to Junction with Patiala Bathinda road (NH-7) near

			Bhawanigarh (km 157+920 to km 188+830) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab.” Upon review of the interim payment certificate submitted by the bidder, it has been found that bidder has also considered the amount of GST (i.e. Rs. 76,87,01,169/-) while assessing the total value of work done. Since the bid price quoted by the bidder is exclusive of GST, therefore, GST can not be considered as part of value of work done for the purpose of assessment of value of B. In view of the above, the Bid Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as per RFP which is less than the minimum required Bid Capacity of Rs. 415.88 crore, thereby rendering the bidder disqualified for not meeting the required bid capacity under clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP.
3.	M/s Sheeraj Projects (P) Ltd. - M/s KCC Buildcon (P) Ltd. (JV)	Responsive	---
4.	M/s M.S. Khurana Engineering Ltd.	Responsive	----
5.	M/s New India Contractors and Developers (P) Ltd.	Non Responsive -	1. The Bidder 'M/s New India Contractors and Developers (P) Ltd.' has not submitted standalone financial statements for the F.Y. 2021-22 and F.Y. 2022-23 along with its bid. Further, the statutory auditor certificate submitted towards Net Worth & Annual Turnover is based upon the consolidated financial statements of M/s New India Contractors and Developers (P) Ltd.' (the 'Holding Company') and M/s Khanday Projects (P) Ltd. (the 'Subsidiary Company'). In the absence of the standalone financial statements for the F.Y. 2021-22 and F.Y. 2022-23 the Net Worth and Bid Capacity (Value of A) can not be assessed. Thus, in view of the above, the Net Worth and Bid Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as 'Nil', thereby rendering the bidder disqualified for not meeting the required Net Worth and Bid Capacity under clause 2.2.2.3 (i) and 2.2.2.1 of the RFP respectively. 2. Further, with respect to the table containing value of all existing commitments and on-going works, the statement below the table of value of 'B' is not in line with the format provided at Annexure-VI of the RFP. The bidder has omitted to incorporate the words “works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid” in its submitted value of B. In view of the above, the bid has been considered as non-responsive.

6.	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	Responsive	With respect to the table containing value of all existing commitments and on-going works, the bidder has not submitted client certificate countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire/ Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects.
7.	M/s MKC Infrastructure Ltd.	Responsive	In the absence of the client certificates, full value of the contract has been considered as incomplete and Bid Capacity has been assessed accordingly. Although the bidder meets the minimum required Bid Capacity of Rs. 415.88 crores, the bidder is required to submit the client certificate at the time of updated bid capacity. In case of non-submission of the required client certificates, the updated bid capacity as on day before financial opening shall be assessed accordingly.
8.	M/s Wagad Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	Responsive	With respect to the table containing value of all existing commitments and on-going works, the Bidder has submitted stage payment statement for its single claimed project. The submitted certificate has been certified by the Authority Engineer, however it is not approved by the concerned Project Director. In view of the above, full value of the contract has been considered as incomplete and Bid Capacity has been assessed as Rs. 693.24 crore. Although the bidder meets the minimum required Bid Capacity of Rs. 415.88 crores, the bidder is requested to submit at the time of updated bid capacity, the client certificate counter signed by the concerned Project Director so that the value of work executed by the bidder could be verified. In case of non-submission of the required client certificates, the updated bid capacity as on day before financial opening shall be assessed accordingly.
9.	M/s Iron Triangle Ltd.	Responsive	Further, the bidder has not submitted self-certification with respect to the local content requirement pursuant to clause 2.11.1 of the RFP. The Authority may direct the bidder to submit the required self-certification at the time of updated bid capacity. In case of non-submission of the required self-certification, the bidder shall be treated as Non-Local Supplier.
10.	M/s Mundan Construction Company	Responsive	----

11.	M/s KCC Infra (P) Ltd.	Responsive	With respect to the table containing value of all existing commitments and on-going works, the statement below the table of value of 'B' is not in line with the format provided at Annexure-VI of the RFP. The bidder has omitted to incorporate the words "works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid" in its submitted value of B. In view of the above, the Authority may at the time of seeking updated bid capacity direct the bidder to submit the bid capacity strictly as per the format of RFP.
12	M/s T and T Infra Limited	Non-Responsive	Bidder is Non-Responsive as the Bid submitted by the bidder was password protected and could not be assessed.
13	M/s RAC Developers Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	Bidder is Non-Responsive as the Bid submitted by the bidder was password protected and could not be assessed.
14	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Bidder is Non-Responsive as the Bid submitted by the bidder was password protected and could not be assessed.

