



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण (सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

श्रेणीय कार्यालय - 315/1, प्रथम तल, छन्नी हिम्मत, जम्मू-180015 जम्मू एवं कश्मीर

Regional Office - 315/1, 1st Floor, Channi Himmat, Jammu-180015, Jammu & Kashmir

टेलीफैक्स (Telefax): 0191-2467505 | ई-मेल (E-mail): rojammu@nhai.org | वेबसाइट (Website): www.nhai.org

NHAI/RO-Jammu/2013-14/11052/527

12.02.2024

To

All Bidders

Sub: Long Term measures to the MoRTH identified blackspot ID JK-02-005 at Barnoti and additional measures to the other blackspots on NH-44 in the UT of J&K EPC Mode: **Final Result of Technical Evaluation and opening of Financial Bids.**

Ref: Tender ID 2023_NHAI_170422_1

Please refer to your respective bids submitted online through e-tender portal for the subject work. The result of technical evaluation is as under:


S. No.	Name of Firm	Test of Responsiveness	Evaluation Sheet
1/10	M/s Aeon Infratel Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Attached as Annexure 'A' & 'B'
2/10	M/s New India Structures Private Limited	Non-Responsive	
3/10	M/s TRG Industries Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	
4/10	M/s Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited	Non-Responsive	
5/10	M/s Satish Aggarwal and Co.	Non-Responsive	
6/10	M/s Shree Associates	Non-Responsive	
7/10	M/s Kisan Construction Co.	Non-Responsive	
8/10	M/s Bhullar Constructions	Non-Responsive	
9/10	M/s DRB Infrastructure Private Limited	Responsive	
10/10	M/s. Gurbachan Singh Contractor	Responsive	

The Financial Bids of responsive bidders for the above-mentioned work shall be opened on 19.02.2024 at 1200 hrs at Regional office Jammu, H.No. 315/1, Channi Himmat, Jammu-180015. If interested, an authorized representative of the responsive bidder may attend the opening of their financial bid on scheduled date and time.

This issues with the Approval of Competent Authority

Encls : As above

Yours truly


YPS Jadon
Convener
Project Director PIU,
Jammu

प्रधान कार्यालय: जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075
Head Office: G-5&6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075



1) M/s Aeon Infratel Pvt Ltd

Bidder is considered as non-responsive:

- a) **Bidder is not meeting the criteria pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a1) of RFP i.e.** The sole Bidder shall have started and completed atleast one similar 2 lane VUP/ Bridge project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 10 mts. (50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project) and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only). For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e. Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only).

No such project is found in the submitted bid.

Also, based on the documents submitted, completed project of the bidder pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) cannot be established.

- b) **Bidder is not qualifying the criteria of bid capacity pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.**

Bidder is required to provide the details of value of work completed duly certified from the *Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects* for the purpose of calculation of bid capacity.

(As per note to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, *The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.*)

No Supporting documents are found in the submitted bid, therefore, full value of work is considered as 'balance value of work'.

Based on the documents available, bid capacity of the bidder works out to be Rs. -30.58 cr. against the requirement of Rs. 33.55 cr.

- c) Appendix IB i.e. Letter Comprising the Financial Bid submitted is not as per the required format of RFP, in para 3, 3rd line is mentioned as "*a careful assessment of the site and all **relevant** conditions that may affect the project*" instead of "*a careful assessment of the site and all **the** conditions that may affect the project*"
- d) Bidder has not provided the replies to clarifications sought under clause 3.1.4; therefore, evaluation has been carried out based on the documents provided in the bid under clause 3.1.5.

Based on the above facts, bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage under clause 3.1.9.

2) M/s New India Structures Pvt. Ltd.

1. **Bidder is considered as non-responsive pursuant to clause 3.1.6.1 (c) of RFP i.e. Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Security as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;**

Bank Guarantee submitted by the bidder is not as per the required format of RFP. Following are the discrepancies:



- In para 3, 4th line is mentioned as *merely on the first written demand from the Authority stating that the amount claimed is due to the Authority* instead of *merely on the first demand from the Authority stating that the amount claimed is due to the Authority*
- In para 14, details of bank's Delhi Branch mentioned instead of details of Jammu branch.
- In para 15, following is missing

Account No.	201018337593
-------------	--------------

Bidder has not provided the replies to clarifications sought under clause 3.1.4; therefore, evaluation has been carried out based on the documents provided in the bid under clause 3.1.5. Based on the documents available in the bid, single completed project required pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a1) of RFP cannot be established.

Based on the above facts, bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage under clause 3.1.9.

3) M/s TRG Industries Pvt. Ltd.

Bidder is considered as responsive based on the documents submitted by the bidder and replies to clarifications provided by the bidder.

4) M/s Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited

Bidder is not meeting the criteria pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a1) of RFP i.e. The sole Bidder shall have started and completed atleast one similar 2 lane VUP/ Bridge project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 10 mts. (50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project) and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only). For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e. Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only).

Bidder has claimed a project namely - C/o of Two Nos. RCC Box underpass (26m long, 8m wide and 5.20m height) including widening of existing slip roads on either side of main carriageway of ROB-36 by using RE panels from Ganesh Nagar Chowk to School Block Shakarpur Red Light, Delhi.

Client certificate submitted for the subject project, span details and lane of the structure are not mentioned. Further, as per nomenclature of the project, span is 8 mtr only. Therefore, the subject project may not be considered.

Based on the above facts, bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage under clause 3.1.9.

5) M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co.

Bidder is considered as non-responsive;

- Bidder is not meeting the criteria pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a1) of RFP i.e.** The sole Bidder shall have started and completed atleast one similar 2 lane VUP/ Bridge project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 10 mts. (50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project) and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only). For this purpose, a



project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e. Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only).

No such project is found in the submitted bid.

b) Bidder is not qualifying the criteria of bid capacity pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

Bidder is required to provide the details of value of work completed duly certified from the *Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects* for the purpose of calculation of bid capacity.

(As per note to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, *The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.*) Bidder has provided some documents substantiating the value of work done.

Based on the documents available, bid capacity of the bidder works out to be Rs. 19.64 cr. against the requirement of Rs. 33.55 cr.

Based on the above facts, bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage under clause 3.1.9.

6) M/s Shree Associates

- a) **Bidder is considered as non-responsive as bidder is not meeting the criteria pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a1) of RFP i.e.** The sole Bidder shall have started and completed atleast one similar 2 lane VUP/ Bridge project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 10 mts. (50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project) and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only). For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e. Rs. 6.71 crore (Rs. Six crore and seventy one lakh only).

No such project is found in the submitted bid.

- b) Appendix IB i.e. Letter Comprising the Financial Bid, submitted by the bidder is not as per the required format of RFP.
- c) Bank Guarantee submitted by the bidder is not as per the required format of RFP. Therefore, Bidder is considered as non-responsive pursuant to clause 3.1.6.1 (c) of RFP i.e. Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Security as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;
- d) Bidder has not provided the replies to clarifications sought within the scheduled time under clause 3.1.4; therefore, evaluation has been carried out based on the documents provided in the bid under clause 3.1.5.

Based on the above facts, bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage under clause 3.1.9.

7) M/s Kisan Construction Co.



Bidder is not qualifying the criteria of bid capacity pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

Bidder has considered 'N' as '1' instead of 0.75 (9/12). Amount of bid capacity assessed by the bidder is Rs. 48.11 cr. considering N as '1'. However, if we consider 'N' as 0.75 and value of A & B being same as claimed by the bidder, bid capacity works assessed will be Rs. 20.39 cr. against the requirement of Rs. 33.55 cr.

Further, Bidder is required to provide the value of work completed duly certified from the *Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects* for the purpose of calculation of bid capacity.

(As per note to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.)

Supporting documents provided by the bidder are either not visible or not signed by Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects.

Based on the documents available, bid capacity of the bidder works out to be Rs. -21.76 cr against the requirement of Rs. 33.55 cr.

Further, Bank Guarantee submitted by the bidder is not as per the required format of RFP. Therefore, Bidder is considered as non-responsive pursuant to clause 3.1.6.1 (c) of RFP i.e. Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Security as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20. Following are the discrepancies:

- **Para 7, 2nd line is mentioned as**
as the principal debtor under this guarantee. The Authority shall have the fullest liberty
instead of
as the principal debtor. The Authority shall have the fullest liberty
- **Para 8 is mentioned as**
'Any notice by way of request, demand or otherwise hereunder may be sent by registered post to the bank addressed as aforesaid and if sent by registered post, it shall be deemed to have been given at the time when it would be received and duly acknowledged by the bank at its counters.'
Instead of
'Any notice by way of request, demand or otherwise hereunder shall be sufficiently given or made if addressed to the Bank and sent by courier or by registered mail to the Bank at the address set forth herein.'
- **In para 13, amount is mentioned as 'restricted Rs. 3355000.00 lakhs' instead of 'restricted to Rs. 3355000.00'**

Bidder has not provided the replies to clarifications sought within the scheduled time under clause 3.1.4; therefore, evaluation has been carried out based on the documents provided in the bid under clause 3.1.5.

Based on the above facts, bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage under clause 3.1.9.

8) M/s Bhullar Constructions

Bidder is not qualifying the criteria of bid capacity pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

Bidder is required to provide the details of value of work completed duly certified from the *Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects* for the purpose of calculation of bid capacity.

(As per note to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.)

No Supporting documents are found in the submitted bid nor with replies, therefore, full value of work is considered as 'balance value of work'. Further, bidder has claimed value of A as Rs. 52.29 cr. However, as per Annual report submitted by the bidder, value of work done is Rs. 49.76 cr

Based on the information and documents available, bid capacity of the bidder works out to be Rs. 15.92 cr against the requirement of Rs. 33.55 cr .

Based on the above facts, bidder is considered as non-responsive and ineligible for next stage under clause 3.1.9.

9) M/s DRB Infrastructure Pvt Ltd

Bidder is considered as responsive based on the documents submitted by the bidder and replies to clarifications provided by the bidder.

10) M/s Gurbachan Singh Contractor

Bidder is considered as responsive based on the documents submitted by the bidder and replies to clarifications provided by the bidder.



S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Capacity			Financial Capacity		Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 33.55 Cr)
		Experience Score Assessed (Required = Rs. 25.16 Cr)	Whether Bidder meets 20% of EPC (i.e., Rs. 6.71 Cr) required in single project of category 1 & 3	Whether Bidder meets 2 lane VUP/ Bridge having span $\geq$ 10 mts. and cost of project $>$ Rs. 6.71 crore?	Net Worth Assessed (Required Rs. 1.68Cr)	Average Annual Turnover (Required Rs. 5.03 Cr)	
1.	M/s Aeon Infratel Pvt. Ltd.	57.23	No such project	No	3.07	14.27	-30.58
2.	M/s New India Structures Private Limited	260.99	Project code b	No	20.04	96.79	167.06
3.	M/s TRG Industries Pvt. Ltd.	344.09	Project code b	Yes	26.09	128.32	119.67
4.	M/s Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited	54.39	Project code d	No	21.05	48.58	40.42
5.	M/s Satish Aggarwal and Co.	150.40	Project code a	No	36.58	139.02	19.64
6.	M/s Shree Associates	126.68	Project code a	No	15.09	53.61	75.81
7.	M/s Kisan Construction Co.	47.04	Project code a	Yes	7.32	28.07	-21.76
8.	M/s Bhullar Constructions	41.01	Project code a	Yes	6.51	36.66	15.92
9.	M/s DRB Infrastructure Private Limited	34.33	Project code a	Yes	5.10	18.32	70.31
10.	M/s Gurbachan Singh Contractor	104.94	Project code b	Yes	24.54	80.84	76.02