



**SHINDE CHAVAN GANDHI
AND COMPANY**
"CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS"

**W-114, 2nd Floor, Uppal Southend,
Sector-49, Gurgaon- 122018
Email: delhi@cascg.in**

Ref.: SCGC/NHA/2023-24/103

Date: 19th February 2023

To
Chief General Manager (Technical)
Regional Office- Nagpur
National Highways Authority of India
Narang Towers, 3rd Floor
Opp. To Office of Dy. Commissioner of Police Traffic (Nagpur city)
Palm Road, Civil lines, Nagpur-440001, Maharashtra

Sub: Interim Technical Evaluation Report on the Bids received for "Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur - Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode"

Ref: Our interim evaluation report no. SCGC/NHA/2023-24/100 dated 13.02.2024.

Sir,

With reference to the captioned mater, we would like to inform you that the Authority received following 03 (three) Bids on 8th February 2024 i.e. the Bid Due date of the said Project on e-tendering portal i.e. etenders.gov.in. The technical bid was opened 9th February 2024 and the same were forwarded to us by the Authority for evaluation.

Sr. No	Name of the Applicant
1.	JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.
2.	P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.
3.	Shri Sai Construction Co.

Based on our interim evaluation report referred above, the Authority had sought clarifications from the 03 bidders. All the bidders have furnished their replies to the Authority which were forwarded to us for evaluation.

We have evaluated the reply of all the bidders and our comments to the reply given by the bidders is enclosed as **Annexure-1** to the report. The detailed evaluation sheets w.r.t Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity, Bid Capacity and Responsiveness of all the bidders has been enclosed as Annexure-3, Annexure-4, Annexure-5 and Annexure-6 respectively to the report.

A. Assessment of Technical Bid Opening

1. All the bidders have submitted copy of and proof of online payment towards the cost of Bid document and remitted a sum of Rs.10,000 to NHA.
2. All the bidders have submitted copy of Bank Guarantee towards Bid Security for a sum of Rs. 95.49 Lakh.

Pune: 1504 & 1505, 15th Floor, Kumar Surbhi Apartment, Opp Saibaba Mandir, Pune Satara Road, Swargate, Pune. Email: Aksharambekar@gmail.com	Latur: Survey No 204, Bappaji 2nd Floor, above SBI, Opp Gorakshan Sansthan, Tilak Nagar Main Road, Latur. Email: Caprajapati1012@gmail.com
Thane: C/404, Sanghavi Valley, Parsik Nagar, Kalwa, Thane (West), Thane. Email: Caprajapati1012@Gmail.Com	Aurangabad: B-201, 2nd Floor, Space Olympia, Narkhede, Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar, Aurangabad. Email: vishal@cascg.in

3. The Authorized Signatory holding power of attorney and Digital Signatory were verified and found in order.

The details of technical bid opening are enclosed as **Annexure-2**

B. Assessment of Technical Bid Evaluation

Estimated Project Cost						Rs. 95.49 Crore		
S. N o	Bidder	Amount in INR Cr.						
		Technical Capacity			Financial Capacity		Bid Capacity	Remarks
		Cat 1,2,3,4	Single Work	Bridge span	Net Worth	Avg. T.O		
		71.62	19.10	22.5 mts.	4.77	14.32	95.49	
1	JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.	189.50	44.69	36.815	69.33	96.06	127.34	
2	P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.	180.50	36.84	32.60	69.84	254.05	408.73	Qualified
3	Shri Sai Construction Co.	134.69	29.00	23.60	49.88	60.31	313.49	Qualified

C. Recommendation

In view of the above, the Authority may ask for the Bid Capacity status of the following bidders to be updated as on the day before opening the financial bids as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP.

Sr. No	Name of the Applicant
1.	JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.
2.	P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.
3.	Shri Sai Construction Co.

This is for your reference, record and further necessary action.

Shinde Chavan Gandhi & Company

Swapnil Gandhi
Authorised Signatory



Technical Bid Evaluation Report

On

**Responsiveness, Technical,
Financial capacity & Bid Capacity**

Of

Bidders

For

Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur - Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode

Introduction

The National Highways Authority of India (the “Authority”) is engaged in the development of National Highways and as part of this endeavour, the Authority has decided to undertake development and operation / maintenance of the “Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur - Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode” (the “Project”) through Engineering Procurement Construction Contract (EPC) basis, and has decided to carry out the bidding process for selection of a private entity as the Bidder to whom the Project may be awarded. In this regard, Authority has appointed **Shinde Chavan Gandhi & Company** as financial consultants for assisting it in developing the above-mentioned Project.

The Bids for the Project was received online by NHAI on 8th February 2024 and the same were opened on 9th February 2024 and have been forwarded to us for evaluation. A total of 03 (three) Bids were received by NHAI. NHAI has forwarded to us all the Bids for evaluation, which have been evaluated in light of the provisions of RFP, Procedure under e-tendering process, Clarifications, Corrigendum etc. issued along with the RFP, if any. Following the submission, the Bids were evaluated by us to determine whether Application is responsive as per the requirements of Clause 3.1.6 of RFP and meet the Technical & Financial capacity as per the provisions of RFP.

This report presents our findings regarding the Responsiveness, Technical, Financial assessment and Assessed Available Bid Capacity of 03 (three) Bids, with respect to the provisions of the RFP.

Brief Description about the Project

Estimated Project Cost	Rs. 95.49 Crore
Bid due date	08.02.2024
Bid Opening Date	09.02.2024
Threshold Technical capability under category- 1,2,3 & 4	Rs. 71.62 Crore
Major Bridge Experience (Reqd length of span)	22.50 mts.
Cost of the project involving major bridge	Rs. 19.10 Crore
Technical Threshold for each Project of category 1 & 2 should be more than (Refer Clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) of RFP)	Rs. 4.77 Crore
Technical Threshold for each Project of category 3 & 4 should be more than equal to (Refer Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) of RFP)	Rs. 4.77 Crore
Financial Capacity (Minimum Net Worth required)	Rs. 4.77 Crore
Minimum Average Annual Turnover	Rs. 14.32 Crore
Minimum Available Bid Capacity Required	Rs. 95.49 Crore
No. of Bid Received	03 (Three)
No. of Bids Evaluated	03 (Three)

Criteria for Evaluation

(Relevant paragraphs reproduced from the RFP)

2.2.2 Qualification requirements of Bidders:

2.2.2.1 BID Capacity

Bidders who *inter alia* meet the minimum qualification criteria will be qualified only if their available BID capacity is more than the total BID value (value as per Clause 1.1.1). The available BID capacity will be calculated as per following, based on information mentioned at Annexure-VI of Appendix-IA:

Assessed Available BID capacity = $(A * N * 2.5 - B + C)$, Where

N= Number of years prescribed for completion of work for which Bid is invited.

A = Maximum value of civil engineering works excluding the amount of bonus received, if any, in respect of EPC Projects executed in any one year during the last five years (updated to the price level of the year indicated in table at Note-3 below) taking into account the completed as well as works in progress. The **EPC projects** include turnkey project/ Item rate contract/Construction works.

B = Value (updated to the price level of the year indicated in table at Note-3 below) of existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bids or on-going works to be completed during the period of completion of the works for which BID is invited. For the sake of clarification, it is mentioned that works for which bidder has emerged as the winner of the bids but LOA has not been issued as on the day before opening the financial bids shall also be considered while calculating value of B.

C = The amount of bonus received, if any, in EPC Projects during the last 5 years (updated to the price level of the year indicated in table at Note-3 below).

Note:

1. The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.

2. The amount of bonus received, if any, in EPC Projects should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects.

3. The factor for the year for updation to the price level is indicated as under:

Year	Year-1	Year-2	Year-3	Year-4	Year-5
------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Up-dation factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20
------------------	------	------	------	------	------

2.2.2.2 Technical Capacity

- (i) For demonstrating technical capacity and experience (the “Technical Capacity”), the Bidder shall, over the past 5 (five) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, have received payments for construction of Eligible Project(s), or has undertaken construction works by itself in a PPP project, such that the sum total thereof, as further adjusted in accordance with clause 2.2.2.5 (i) & (ii), is more than **Rs. 71.62 crore (Rs. Seventy one crore and sixty-two lakh only)** (the “Threshold Technical Capacity”).
- (ii) Deleted.
- (iii) The sole Bidder or in case the Bidder being a Joint Venture, any member of Joint Venture shall have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span (50% of 45m i.e. 22.5m) of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost.
- (iv) The updation factor to update the price of the eligible projects for the year indicated in table below)

Year	Year-1	Year-2	Year-3	Year-4	Year-5
Up-dation factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20

2.2.2.3 Financial Capacity:

- (i) The Bidder shall have a minimum Net Worth (the “Financial Capacity”) of **Rs. 4.77 crore (Rs. four crore and seventy-seven lakh only)** at the close of the preceding financial year.
- (ii) The Bidder shall have a minimum Average Annual Turnover (updated to the price level of the year based on factors indicated in table below) of **Rs. 14.32 crore (Rs. fourteen crore and thirty-two lakh only)** for the last 5 (five) financial years.

Year	Year-1	Year-2	Year-3	Year-4	Year-5
Up-dation factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20

2.2.2.5 Categories and factors for evaluation of Technical Capacity:

- (i) Subject to the provisions of Clause 2.2.2 the following categories of experience would qualify as Technical Capacity and eligible experience (the “Eligible

Experience") in relation to eligible projects as stipulated in Clauses 2.2.2.6 (i) & (ii) (the "**Eligible Projects**"). In case the Bidder has experience across different categories, the experience for each category would be computed as per weight of following factors to arrive at its aggregated Eligible Experience:

Category	Project / Construction experience on Eligible Projects	Factors
1	Project in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	1
2	Project in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	0.75
3	Construction in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	1
4	Construction in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	0.75

(ii) The Technical capacity in respect of an Eligible Project situated in a developed country which is a member of OECD shall be further multiplied by a factor of 0.5 (zero point five) and the product thereof shall be the Experience Score for such Eligible Project..

(iii) For the purpose of this RFP:

- (a) highways sector would be deemed to include highways, expressways, bridges, tunnels, runways, Railways (construction/re-construction of railway tracks, yards for keeping containers etc.), Metro Rails and Ports (including construction/re-construction of Jetties, any other linear infrastructure including bridges etc.) and
 - (b) core sector would be deemed to include civil construction cost of, power sector, commercial setups (SEZs etc.), airports, industrial parks/ estates, logistic parks, pipelines, irrigation, water supply, sewerage, stadium, hospitals, hotel, smart city, warehouses/silos, oil and gas and real estate development. Core sector will also include the projects with the title of RIDF, PMGSY road, link road, city roads, rural road sector/municipality road, real estate projects which demonstrate road development/construction bridges or culverts.
- (iv) In case of projects executed by applicant under category 3 and 4 as a member of Joint Venture, the project cost should be restricted to the share of the applicant in the joint venture for determining eligibility as per provision under clause 2.2.2.2. In case Statutory Auditor certifies that, the work of other member(s) is also executed by the applicant, then the total share executed by applicant can be considered for determining eligibility as per provision under clause 2.2.2.2.
- (v) Maintenance works are not considered as eligible project for evaluation as per Instruction No.6 to Annex-IV. As such works with nomenclature like PR, OR, FDR,SR, site/micro grading, surface renewal, resurfacing work, Tarring, B.T. surface work, temporary restoration, urgent works, periodic maintenance, repair & rehabilitation,

one time maintenance, permanent protection work of bank, external pre stressing, repair of central hinge, short term OMT contract of NHAI, any type of work related to border fencing, work of earthwork alone, construction of buildings/ hostels/hospitals, etc, or not specified, shall not be considered. However such Maintenance works shall be considered as eligible projects in case of Maintenance works to be taken up on EPC Mode.

- (vi) The works such as Improvement in Riding Quality work (IRQP/IRQ), shall be considered for Technical Capacity 2.2.2.2 (i) but not for single completed works 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a). However, such work shall be considered for single completed works 2.2.2.2 (i) in case of Maintenance works to be taken up on EPC mode
- (vii) In case both the estimated cost of project and revised cost of project are provided, the revised cost of project shall be considered for evaluation

2.2.2.6 Eligible Experience on Eligible Projects in respect of each category:

- (i) For a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under Categories 1 and 2:
 - (a) It should have been undertaken as a PPP project on BOT, BOLT, BOO, BOOT or other similar basis for providing its output or services to a public sector entity or for providing non-discriminatory access to users in pursuance of its charter, concession or contract, as the case may be. For the avoidance of doubt, a project which constitutes a natural monopoly such as an airport or port should normally be included in this category even if it is not based on a long-term agreement with a public entity;
 - (b) the entity claiming experience should have held, in the company owning the Eligible Project, a minimum of 26% (twenty six per cent) equity during the entire year for which Eligible Experience is being claimed;
 - (c) the capital cost of the project should be more than 5% of the amount specified as the Estimated Project Cost; and
 - (d) the entity claiming experience shall, during the last 5 (five) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, have itself undertaken the construction of the project for an amount equal to at least one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects, excluding any part of the project for which any contractor, sub-contractor or other agent was appointed for the purposes of construction.
- (ii) For a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under Categories 3 and 4, the Bidder should have received payments from its client(s) for construction works executed, fully or partially, or work executed and certified by the Engineer-in-charge/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer during the 5 (five) financial years immediately preceding the Bid Due Date, and only the amounts (gross) actually received/ work executed, during such 5 (five) financial years shall qualify for purposes of computing the Experience Score. However, receipts of or work executed amount less than **Rs. 4.77 crore (Rs. fourteen crore and seventy-seven lakh only)** shall not be reckoned as receipts for Eligible Projects. For the avoidance of doubt, construction works shall not include supply of goods or equipment except when such goods or equipment form part of a turn-key construction contract / EPC contract for the project. Further, the cost of land and

also cost towards pre-construction activities (like shifting of utilities etc.) shall not be included hereunder..

- (iii) The Bidder shall quote experience in respect of a particular Eligible Project under any one category only, even though the Bidder (either individually or along with a member of the Joint Venture) may have played multiple roles in the cited project. Double counting for a particular Eligible Project shall not be permitted in any form.
- (iv) Experience for any activity relating to an Eligible Project shall not be claimed by two or more Members of the Joint Venture. In other words, no double counting by a Joint Venture in respect of the same experience shall be permitted in any manner whatsoever.

2.2.2.7 Submission in support of Technical Capacity

- (i) The Bidder should furnish the details of Eligible Experience for the last 5 (five) financial years immediately preceding the Bid Due Date.
- (ii) The Bidder must provide the necessary information relating to Technical Capacity as per format at Annex-II of Appendix-IA.
- (iii) The Bidder should furnish the required Project-specific information and evidence in support of its claim of Technical Capacity, as per format at Annex-IV of Appendix-IA.
- (iv) The bidder shall update details of experience (Ongoing and completed projects) on the Bidder Information Management System (BIMS) www.bims.gov.in on a regular basis. The details of Eligible experience for the last 5 financial years, necessary information relating to Technical capacity and project specific information shall be taken from the information uploaded on BIMS.

2.2.2.8 Submission in support of Financial capacity

- (i) The Technical Bid must be accompanied by the Audited Annual Reports of the Bidder (of each Member in case of a Joint Venture) for the last 5 (five) financial years, preceding the year in which the bid is submitted.
- (ii) In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In such a case, the Bidder shall provide the Audited Annual Reports for 5 (five) years preceding the year for which the Audited Annual Report is not being provided.
- (iii) The Bidder must establish the minimum Net Worth specified in Clause 2.2.2.3, and provide details as per format at Annex-III of Appendix-IA.

2.2.2.9 The Bidder shall enclose with its Technical Bid, to be submitted as per the format at Appendix-IA, complete with its Annexes, the following:

- (i) Certificate(s) from its statutory auditor(s) or the concerned client(s) stating the payments received or in case of a PPP project, the construction carried out by itself, during the past 5 years, in respect of the Eligible Projects. In case a particular job/

contract has been jointly executed by the Bidder (as part of a Joint Venture), it should further support its claim for the payments received or construction carried out by itself in PPP Projects as applicable the share in work done for that particular job/ contract by producing a certificate from its statutory auditor or the client; and

- (ii) Certificate(s) from its statutory auditors specifying the net worth of the Bidder, as at the close of the preceding financial year, and also specifying that the methodology adopted for calculating such net worth conforms to the provisions of this Clause 2.2.2.9 (ii). For the purposes of this RFP, net worth (the “**Net Worth**”) shall mean the aggregate value of the paid-up share capital and all reserves created out of the profits and securities premium account, after deducting the aggregate value of the accumulated losses, deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off, as per the audited balance sheet, but does not include reserves created out of revaluation of assets, write-back of depreciation and amalgamation.

2.2.2.10 Deleted

Annexure-1

Evaluation of replies to the Clarifications sought from the bidders.

JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.				
S. No.	Appendix/Annex no.	Observation	Reply of the bidder	Comments
1.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)	Bidder to specify the page no. of the bid document from where the experience of having completed one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 22.50 mts. and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Bidder may note that such project, if executed in JV, must showcase that the bidder has constructed such Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover in the JV.	As per the clarifications requested vide your above referenced letter, the document (Work Done Certificate) showing our experience of having completed one similar work in the last 10 financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 22.50 mts. (Major Bridge with span 36.815 mts) is attached at PDF Pg. No. 114 and 115 file "Tech-2.pdf". This certificate is also attached to this letter for your reference.	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.

P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.				
S. No.	Appendix/Annex no.	Observation	Reply of the bidder	Comments
1.	Bank Guarantee for Bid Security	As per clause 14 of the submitted bank guarantee, the bank guarantee is operatable at Nellore branch in Andhra Pradesh instead of Nagpur Branch in Maharashtra as required by the RFP. Please clarify.	The corrected / amended Bank Guarantee (as clause 14) required by the RFP Document and SFMS copy are enclosed.	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.
2.	Local content	Bidder to identify the page number from the submitted documents, certificate from statutory auditor that its Bid meets the Local Content requirement for 'Class - I Local supplier' / 'Class - II Local supplier', as the case may be.	The subject "Local Content" certificate duly signed by statutory auditor was already submitted. However, we are resubmitting the following as per your requirement. (a) Certificate by Statutory Auditor (b) Local Content declaration by M / s P. Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Private Contractors Limited.	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.
3.	Bid Capacity To calculate the Value of 'B'	1. The date of certification of the table containing the value of existing commitments and ongoing works "Value of 'B' is 07-07-2023. Bidder to furnish the revised status of ongoing projects as on bid due date duly certified by statutory auditor. 2. Paragraph below table containing Value of 'B', is not as per the format provided in RFP. Please clarify	The date of certification of the table is 5/2 / 2024 (not 07.07.2023). For good order sake, we are resubmitting the same as on date (duly highlighted). 2) By oversight, the format was not as per the corrigendum. Hence, we are submitting document. the corrected	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.

Shri Sai Construction Co.				
S. No.	Appendix/Annex no.	Observation	Reply of the bidder	Comments
1.	Bank Guarantee for Bid Security	As per clause 14 of the submitted bank guarantee, the bank guarantee is operatable at New Delhi branch instead of Nagpur Branch in Maharashtra as required by the RFP. Please clarify.	An amendment issued by the Punjab National Bank duly incorporating the corrections for the Bank Guarantee is attached as Annexure-I	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.
2.	Local content	Bidder to identify the page number from the submitted documents, certificate from statutory auditor that its Bid meets the Local Content requirement for 'Class - I Local supplier' / 'Class - II Local supplier', as the case may be.	The certificate issued from the Statutory Auditor and signed by the Company proprietor is attached as Annexure-II	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.
3.	Integrity Pact	I. Clause (d) of the Article 3 of the Integrity Pact is not as per format provided in the RFP. Please clarify. II. Clause 6 of the Article 10 of the Integrity Pact is not as per format provided in the RFP. Please clarify.	Please find attached the integrity Pact duly incorporating the corrections as Annexure-III	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.
4.	Bid Capacity To calculate the Value of 'B'	Paragraph below table containing Value of 'B', is not as per the format provided in RFP. Please clarify	Please find attached the Certificate regarding Bid Capacity to calculate the value of B duly incorporating the corrections as Annexure-IV	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.
5.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)	Bidder to specify the page no. of the bid document from where the experience of having completed one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 22.50 mts. and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Bidder may note that such project, if executed in JV, must showcase that the bidder has constructed such Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover in the JV.	Certificate issued from the client establishing the Maximum length of single span of 23.60 Mtr with value of completed work Rs. 28.04 Cr. Which is more than 20% value of the estimated Project Cost is attached as Annexure-V	The submitted documents has been reviewed and found acceptable.

Annexure-2: Bid Opening Details

Project Name:		Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode										
Assessment:		Bid Opening Details										
Particluars		Amount				Particulars				Date		
Estimated Project Cost (in Rs.)		95,49,00,000.00				Bid Due Date				08-Feb-24		
Cost of RFP (in Rs.)		10,000				Bid Opening Date				09-Feb-24		
Amount of Bid Security (in Rs.)		95,49,000.00				Total Number of Bidders				3.00		
S. No	Bidder	Authorised Signatory Details		Cost of RFP Payment and Bid Security Details								
		Signatory as per POA	Signatory as per DSC	Cost of RFP Payment Details				Bid Security Details				Remarks
				Bank Name	UTR No.	Date	Amount	Bank Name	BG No.	Date	Amount (In Rs. Lakhs)	
1	M/s JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.	J Bhakthavatsal Reddy	J Bhakthavatsal Reddy	Union Bank of India	Transaction ID : S39634745	08-02-2024	10,000.00	ICICI Bank	7225NDLG00001624	07-02-2024	95.49	Nil
2	M/s P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.	P Sreedhar	P Sreedhar	Canara Bank	Transaction ID : 000913296888	07-02-2024	10,000.00	Canara Bank	BG No. 05/2024	06-02-2024	95.49	Nil
3	M/s Shri Sai Construction Co.	Rajesh Nandlal Biyani	Rajesh Nandlal Biyani	Punjab National Bank	PUNBH24038484373	02-02-2024	10,000.00	Punjab National Bank	02021ILG001524	07-02-2024	102.00	Nil

Annexure-3: Technical Capacity

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode									
Bidder	1	JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.			LM	NA				
					OM	NA				
Technical Capacity										

Assessment Parameters	%age	INR Cr.	Factor	Assessment Parameters	Detail
Estimated Project Cost		95.49	1.00	Min. Capex/Payment (INR Cr.)	5.00%
TTC: 2.2.2.2.(i)	75.00%	71.62	0.75	Bridge Span (Mts.)	22.50
LM-TTC	60%	42.97	1.00	Tunnel Cross Sectional Area (Sq. Mts.)	NA
OM-TTC	20%	14.32	0.75	Tunnel Length (Mts.)	NA
Single Work: 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)	20%	19.10		Years for TTC (5/10)	5.00

S. No	Bidder	PC	Category		Payt. Receipt./Capex in last 5 Years					Total		Factor	Score			Single Work	Remarks
			Bidder	FC	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	EPC	PPP		Assessed	Claimed	Rejected		
1	JSR	a	3	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	22.50	37.95	0.00	1.00	37.95	40.13	2.18	0.00	Nil
2	JSR	b	3	3	18.10	17.09	23.27	18.40	0.75	83.70	0.00	1.00	83.70	88.90	5.20	0.00	Nil
3	JSR	c	3	3	6.52	23.55	15.17	11.53	5.55	67.85	0.00	1.00	67.85	63.73	(4.12)	0.00	Nil
Total													189.50	192.76	3.26	0.00	

Construction Experience of Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/Tunnel [Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)]						
Type of Construction	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Length Constructed (In Mts.)	Project EPC	Remarks	
Major Bridge	29-Jun-05	25-Sep-14	36.815	44.695	nil	

Whether meeting the Technical Threshold Capacity under clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	Yes
Whether meeting the requirement of One Similar Completed Work under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)	Yes
Whether meeting the Construction Experience of Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/Tunnel under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii) (Bridge/Tunnel)	Yes

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode				
Bidder	2	P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.	LM OM	NA NA	
Technical Capacity					

Assessment Parameters	%age	INR Cr.	Factor	Assessment Parameters	Detail
Estimated Project Cost		95.49	1.00	Min. Capex/Payment (INR Cr.)	5.00%
TTC: 2.2.2.2.(i)	75.00%	71.62	0.75	Bridge Span (Mts.)	22.50
LM-TTC	60%	42.97	1.00	Tunnel Cross Sectional Area (Sq. Mts.)	NA
OM-TTC	20%	14.32	0.75	Tunnel Length (Mts.)	NA
Single Work: 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)	20%	19.10		Years for TTC (5/10)	5.00

S. No	Bidder	PC	Category		Payt. Receipt./Capex in last 5 Years					Total		Factor	Score			Single Work	Remarks
			Bidder	FC	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	EPC	PPP		Assessed	Claimed	Rejected		
1	PVR	a	3	3	0.97	0.00	2.61	14.15	19.51	43.53	0.00	1.00	43.53	43.53	0.00	0.00	Nil
2	PVR	b	3	3	9.28	15.06	22.77	13.60	2.75	69.08	0.00	1.00	69.08	69.08	-	0.00	Nil
3	PVR	c	3	3	0.88	5.00	12.59	29.56	11.60	67.89	0.00	1.00	67.89	67.89	(0.00)	0.00	Nil
Total													180.50	180.50	0.00	0.00	

Construction Experience of Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/Tunnel [Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)]							
Type of Construction	Commencement Date		Completion	Length Constructed		Project EPC	
Major Bridge	01-Aug-17		30-Dec-21	32.6		36.84	
						Remarks	
						Nil	

Whether meeting the Technical Threshold Capacity under clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	Yes
Whether meeting the requirement of One Similar Completed Work under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)	Yes
Whether meeting the Construction Experience of Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/Tunnel under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii) (Bridge/Tunnel)	Yes

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode									
Bidder	3	Shri Sai Construction Co.		LM		NA				
				OM		NA				
Technical Capacity										

Assessment Parameters	%age	INR Cr.	Factor	Assessment Parameters	Detail
Estimated Project Cost		95.49	1.00	Min. Capex/Payment (INR Cr.)	5.00%
TTC: 2.2.2.2.(i)	75.00%	71.62	0.75	Bridge Span (Mts.)	22.50
LM-TTC	60%	42.97	1.00	Tunnel Cross Sectional Area (Sq. Mts.)	NA
OM-TTC	20%	14.32	0.75	Tunnel Length (Mts.)	NA
Single Work: 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)	20%	19.10		Years for TTC (5/10)	5.00

S. No	Bidder	PC	Category		Payt. Receipt./Capex in last 5 Years					Total		Factor	Score			Single Work	Remarks
			Bidder	FC	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	EPC	PPP		Assessed	Claimed	Rejected		
1	Sai	a	3	3	0.00	0.00	6.50	26.69	0.00	37.84	0.00	1.00	37.84	37.84	(0.00)	0.00	Nil
2	Sai	b	3	3	0.00	9.13	31.35	0.00	0.00	44.07	0.00	1.00	44.07	44.07	(0.00)	0.00	Nil
3	Sai	c	3	3	0.00	5.10	16.80	0.00	0.00	23.84	0.00	1.00	23.84	23.84	0.00	0.00	Nil
4	Sai	d	3	4	11.37	11.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.93	0.00	0.75	17.20	22.93	5.73	0.00	Nil
5	Sai	e	3	3	11.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.74	0.00	1.00	11.74	11.74	0.00	0.00	Nil
Total													134.69	140.42	5.73	0.00	

Construction Experience of Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/Tunnel [Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)]					
Type of Construction	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Length Constructed (In Mts.)	Project EPC	Remarks
Major Bridge	25 November 2021	31 March 2024	23.60	29.00	Nil

Whether meeting the Technical Threshold Capacity under clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	Yes
Whether meeting the requirement of One Similar Completed Work under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii)	Yes
Whether meeting the Construction Experience of Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/Tunnel under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii/iii) (Bridge/Tunnel)	Yes

Annexure-4: Financial Capacity

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode				
Bidder	1	JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.	LM		NA
			OM		NA
Financial Capacity					

Assessment Parameters (INR Cr.)					
Estimated Project Cost	95.49	Bidder	LM	OM	
			60%	20%	
Reqd. Network: 2.2.2.3 (i)	5.00%	4.77	2.865	0.95	
Reqd. Turnover: 2.2.2.3 (ii)	15.00%	14.32	8.59	2.865	
Factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20

Submitted Documents	Sole Bidder/LM	OM
Year of Latest Annual Accounts	2022-23	NA
Name of Statutory Auditor (SA)	CA Ghattupalli Swapna	NA
Audit Undertaking by SA (Y/N/NA)	NA	NA
Whether same SA for Tech. & Bid Cap. (Y/N)	Yes	NA
Self certification/SA certification for LS	Class-I Local Supplier	NA

Assessment of Network				
Bidder	Claimed Network		Assessed Network	
	Year	Amount	Year	Amount
JSR	2022-23	69.33	2022-23	69.33
	Total			69.33
Whether Meeting the Network Criteria				Yes

Assessment of Average Annual Turnover									
Bidder	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total T.O		Avg. T.O	Remarks
JSR	149.43	137.70	73.54	45.56	44.18	480.32		96.06	Nil
Total					480.32		96.06		
Whether meeting the Average Annual Turnover Criteria									Yes

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode				
Bidder	2	P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.	LM		NA
			OM		NA
Financial Capacity					

Assessment Parameters (INR Cr.)					
Estimated Project Cost	95.49	Bidder	LM	OM	
			60%	20%	
Reqd. Network: 2.2.2.3 (i)	5.00%	4.77	2.865	0.95	
Reqd. Turnover: 2.2.2.3 (ii)	15.00%	14.32	8.59	2.865	
Factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20

Submitted Documents	Sole Bidder/LM	OM
Year of Latest Annual Accounts	2022-23	NA
Name of Statutory Auditor (SA)	I Sundararaja Rao &Co.	NA
Audit Undertaking by SA (Y/N/NA)	NA	NA
Whether same SA for Tech. & Bid Cap. (Y/N)	Yes	NA
Self certification/SA certification for LS	Class-I Local Supplier	NA

Assessment of Network				
Bidder	Claimed Network		Assessed Network	
	Year	Amount	Year	Amount
Sai	2022-23	69.84	2022-23	69.84
	Total			69.84
Whether Meeting the Network Criteria		Yes		

Assessment of Average Annual Turnover									
Bidder	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total T.O		Avg. T.O	Remarks
Sai	230.61	252.90	198.88	273.00	201.14	1270.24		254.05	Nil
Total						1270.24		254.05	
Whether meeting the Average Annual Turnover Criteria									Yes

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode				
Bidder	3	Shri Sai Construction Co.	LM		NA
			OM		NA
Financial Capacity					

Assessment Parameters (INR Cr.)					
Estimated Project Cost	95.49	Bidder	LM	OM	
			60%	20%	
Reqd. Network: 2.2.2.3 (i)	5.00%	4.77	2.865	0.95	
Reqd. Turnover: 2.2.2.3 (ii)	15.00%	14.32	8.59	2.865	
Factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20

Submitted Documents	Sole Bidder/LM	OM
Year of Latest Annual Accounts	2022-23	NA
Name of Statutory Auditor (SA)	Harswardhan & Co.	NA
Audit Undertaking by SA (Y/N/NA)	NA	NA
Whether same SA for Tech. & Bid Cap. (Y/N)	Yes	NA
Self certification/SA certification for LS	Class-I Local Supplier	NA

Assessment of Network				
Bidder	Claimed Network		Assessed Network	
	Year	Amount	Year	Amount
SAI	2022-23	49.88	2022-23	49.88
	Total			49.88
Whether Meeting the Network Criteria		Yes		

Assessment of Average Annual Turnover									
Bidder	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total T.O		Avg. T.O	Remarks
SAI	79.92	51.30	67.94	55.49	24.33	301.53		60.31	Nil
Total					301.53		60.31		
Whether meeting the Average Annual Turnover Criteria									Yes

Annexure-5: Bid Capacity

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode																	
Bidder	1	JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.						LM	NA									
								OM	NA									
Bid Capacity																		
Assessment Parameters							Details		Responsive Parameters							LM	OM	
Bid Due Date of the Project							08-Feb-24		Is Value of "A" certified by SA & bearing UDIN ? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
Construction Period (Years and days)							2.00 730.00		Is Value of "B" certified by SA & bearing UDIN? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
Reqd. Bid Cap for Bidder/JV (Rs. Cr.)							95.49		Is Date of "B" by SA within previous 30 days of BDD? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
LM-Bid Capacity Requirement (Rs. Cr.)							60% 57.29		Does table for "B" contains the para as per RFP ? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
OM-Bid Capacity Requirement (Rs. Cr.)							20% 19.10		Whether client certificates submitted for projects claimed ? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
Year	2022-23	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06	
Factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	1.25	1.30	1.35	1.40	1.45	1.50	1.55	1.60	1.65	1.70	1.75	1.80	
Assessment of Bid Capacity																		
Bidder	Year of "A"	Factor	T.O	Value of A	N	B	C	Assessed Bid Capacity (A x N x 2.5 - B+C)					Qualified (YES/NO)					
JSR	2022-23	1.00	149.43	149.43	2.00	619.81	0.00	127.34					Yes					
								127.34										

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode																			
Bidder	2	P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.						LM									NA			
								OM									NA			
Bid Capacity																				
Assessment Parameters						Details		Responsive Parameters										LM	OM	
Bid Due Date of the Project						08-Feb-24		Is Value of "A" certified by SA & bearing UDIN ? (Y/N)										Yes	NA	
Construction Period (Years and days)						2.00 730.00		Is Value of "B" certified by SA & bearing UDIN? (Y/N)										Yes	NA	
Reqd. Bid Cap for Bidder/JV (Rs. Cr.)						95.49		Is Date of "B" by SA within previous 30 days of BDD? (Y/N)										Yes	NA	
LM-Bid Capacity Requirement (Rs. Cr.)						60% 57.29		Does table for "B" contains the para as per RFP ? (Y/N)										Yes	NA	
OM-Bid Capacity Requirement (Rs. Cr.)						20% 19.10		Whether client certificates submitted for projects claimed ? (Y/N)										Yes	NA	
Year Factor	2022-23	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06			
	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	1.25	1.30	1.35	1.40	1.45	1.50	1.55	1.60	1.65	1.70	1.75	1.80			
Assessment of Bid Capacity																				
Bidder	Year of "A"	Factor	T.O	Value of A	N	B	C	Assessed Bid Capacity (A x N x 2.5 - B+C)								Qualified (YES/NO)				
PVR	2019-20	1.15	273.01	313.96	2.00	1161.07	0.00	408.73								YES				
								408.73												

Project	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode																								
Bidder	3	Shri Sai Construction Co.						LM	NA																
								OM	NA																
Bid Capacity																									
Assessment Parameters							Details		Responsive Parameters							LM	OM								
Bid Due Date of the Project							08-Feb-24		Is Value of "A" certified by SA & bearing UDIN ? (Y/N)							Yes	NA								
Construction Period (Years and days)							2.00		730.00							Is Value of "B" certified by SA & bearing UDIN? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
Reqd. Bid Cap for Bidder/JV (Rs. Cr.)							95.49		Is Date of "B" by SA within previous 30 days of BDD? (Y/N)							Yes	NA								
LM-Bid Capacity Requirement (Rs. Cr.)							60%		57.29							Does table for "B" contains the para as per RFP ? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
OM-Bid Capacity Requirement (Rs. Cr.)							20%		19.10							Whether client certificates submitted for projects claimed ? (Y/N)							Yes	NA	
Year	2022-23	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06								
Factor	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	1.25	1.30	1.35	1.40	1.45	1.50	1.55	1.60	1.65	1.70	1.75	1.80								
Assessment of Bid Capacity																									
Bidder	Year of "A"	Factor	T.O	Value of A	N	B	C	Assessed Bid Capacity (A x N x 2.5 - B+C)							Qualified (YES/NO)										
SAI	2022-23	1.00	79.92	79.92	2.00	86.11	0.00	313.49							YES										
								313.49																	

Annexure-6: Responsiveness

Project Name:	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode		
Bidder Name:	1	JSR Constructions (P) Ltd.	
Responsiveness			
S. No.	Documents	Docs. enclosed (Yes/No/NA)	Remarks
1	Whether Appendix-IA-Technical Bid is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
2	Whether Annex-I-Details of Bidder is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
3	Whether Annex-V-Legal Capacity is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
4	Whether Annexure VIII-Details of Ongoing works is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
5	Whether Appendix-II-Bid Security is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
6	Whether Appendix-III-POA for signing Bid is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
7	Whether Appendix-IV-POA for Lead Member is as per the RFP format ?	NA	Nil
8	Whether Appendix-V-Joint Bidding Agreement is as per the RFP format ?	NA	Nil
9	Whether the the Appendix-VI-Integrety Pact is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
10	Whether the Appendix IX-GFR Rules is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
11	Whether an undertaking to agree & abide by the bid documents has been submitted?	Yes	Nil
Overall Assessment			Responsive

Project Name:	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode		
Bidder Name:	2	P Venkata Ramanaiah Engineers & Contractors (P) Ltd.	
Responsiveness			
S. No.	Documents	Docs. enclosed (Yes/No/NA)	Remarks
1	Whether Appendix-IA-Technical Bid is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
2	Whether Annex-I-Details of Bidder is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
3	Whether Annex-V-Legal Capacity is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
4	Whether Annexure VIII-Details of Ongoing works is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
5	Whether Appendix-II-Bid Security is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
6	Whether Appendix-III-POA for signing Bid is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
7	Whether Appendix-IV-POA for Lead Member is as per the RFP format ?	NA	Nil
8	Whether Appendix-V-Joint Bidding Agreement is as per the RFP format ?	NA	Nil
9	Whether the the Appendix-VI-Integrety Pact is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
10	Whether the Appendix IX-GFR Rules is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
11	Whether an undertaking to agree & abide by the bid documents has been submitted?	Yes	Nil
Overall Assessment			Responsive

Project Name:	Construction of the 6-lane Flyover including approaches from Existing PUP of Nandori Flyover (Kalode Square) at Km.74.745 to Hospital Square at Km.76.020 (Total Design Length- 1.275 km) Section of Nagpur – Hyderabad NH-44 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode		
Bidder Name:	3	Shri Sai Construction Co.	
Responsiveness			
S. No.	Documents	Docs. enclosed (Yes/No/NA)	Remarks
1	Whether Appendix-IA-Technical Bid is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
2	Whether Annex-I-Details of Bidder is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
3	Whether Annex-V-Legal Capacity is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
4	Whether Annexure VIII-Details of Ongoing works is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
5	Whether Appendix-II-Bid Security is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
6	Whether Appendix-III-POA for signing Bid is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
7	Whether Appendix-IV-POA for Lead Member is as per the RFP format ?	NA	Nil
8	Whether Appendix-V-Joint Bidding Agreement is as per the RFP format ?	NA	Nil
9	Whether the the Appendix-VI-Integrety Pact is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
10	Whether the Appendix IX-GFR Rules is as per the RFP format ?	Yes	Nil
11	Whether an undertaking to agree & abide by the bid documents has been submitted?	Yes	Nil
Overall Assessment			Responsive