



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/GJ/Additional Structures/NH-48/Surat/203843

Dated: 04.03.2024

To,

All the Bidders,

Sub: Construction of Additional Structures including approaches in Vadodara - Surat Section of NH-48 between Existing Km. 108+000 to Km. 250+000 of NH-48 on EPC mode under NH(O) in the State of Gujarat [Tender ID: 2023_NHAI_154237_1]

Sir,

This is in reference to the Technical Bids submitted for the subject project.

2. The technical evaluation as per the provisions of RFP has been completed. The status of responsiveness is as under:

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
1	M/s KRC Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	<u>Technical Capacity</u> As per RFP, The Bidder shall necessarily demonstrate additional experience in construction of extradosed/ Cable Stayed Major Bridge in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date i.e. shall have completed atleast one extradosed Major Bridge having span equal to or greater than 72 mts. No such project is found in the submitted bid. One project provided in the bid is; Construction of 4-Lane access controlled Greenfield Highway from Km Ch 0+000 to Km Ch 11+288 including major bridge at Km Ch 0+854 on Roorkee bypass section of NH- 344 in State of Uttarakhand. As per the documents available for above stated project, Proof substantiating that the bridge is Cable stayed or Extra-dosed is not found in the bid. Further, it has been observed that bidder has executed the work as a sub contract work. Proof substantiating the bidder as an official sub-contractor for the said project is not found in the submitted bid. (Substantial proof duly certified from main client is required)

[Signature]

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
			Based on above facts, the subject project is not considered for evaluation purpose. - As per RFP, Bidder shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 of Rs. 128 cr. specified in Clause 2.2.2.5 i.e. at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 128.00 Crore. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost. Bidder to identify a project in the submitted bid, which would qualify under the above said criteria <u>duly certified from the main client</u> and duly highlighting the requisite details (cost of project, completion date of the project, amount of work done by bidder in case of JV, etc.) - Annex IV, Project code D - Bidder is required to clarify through some substantial proof that how the subject project is cover under category 3 pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. <u>Other Issues</u> - Appendix IB is not found in the submitted bid. - Annex I submitted by the bidder, table mentioned after para 7(b), points (i) to (xi) are not as per the required format of RFP. - Surety Bond submitted; Para 8 is mentioned as 'Any notice by way of request, demand or otherwise hereunder shall be sufficiently given or made if addressed to the Surety Insurer and sent by courier or by registered mail to the Surety Insurer at the address set forth herein.' Instead of 'Any notice by way of request, demand or otherwise hereunder shall be sufficiently given in writing or made if addressed to the Surety Insurer and sent by courier or by registered post or by certified e-mail to the Surety

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
			Insurer at the address or e-mail set forth herein.' Audited Annual reports submitted for last five years; Independent Auditors Report for the FY 2018-19 and 2022-23 are not found in the submitted bid.
2	M/s M/s Ranjit Buildcon Limited - M/s Unique Construction (JV)	Non-Responsive	- Details of value of B provided in the bid by Unique Construction, undertaking mentioned in the end; following bold words are missing: The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid and anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works/ works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s - Bank Guarantee provided; stamp paper is not attached. Further, the said bank guarantee is not signed by any banker. - Further, in bank guarantee provided, para 1, name of the Bidder is mentioned as Ranjit - Unique JV instead of <i>M/s Ranjit Buildcon Limited (acting on behalf of its JV)</i>. Also, 4th line is mentioned as 'thereof include its, successors and permitted assigns) having agreed to receive the' instead of 'thereof include its, successors and assigns) having agreed to receive the' - Name of the bidder is mentioned as Ranjit - Unique JV in some documents, however, the same is not defined in Appendix V or in any other document.

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
			- Annex I submitted by Unique Construction; para after point 7 (b) is mentioned as 'A statement by the Bidder and each of the Members of its Joint Venture (where applicable) disclosing material non-performance or contractual non-compliance in past projects, contractual, disputes and litigation/arbitration in the last 2 years is given below (Attach extra sheets, if necessary) w.r.t para 2.1.14' instead of 'A statement by the Bidder and each of the Members of its Joint Venture (where applicable) disclosing material non-performance or contractual non-compliance in current projects, as on bid due date is given below (attach extra sheets, if necessary) w.r.t. para 2.1.14' - Appendix IB is not found in the submitted bid. - Audited Annual reports submitted for last five years by Unique Construction; Form 3CB/ Auditors Report for the FY 2021-22 and 2022-23 are not found in the submitted bid. - Local Content Certificate submitted by Unique Construction from its statutory auditor, UDIN is not mentioned.
3	M/s Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	- Appendix IB is not found in the submitted bid. - Local Content Certificate submitted by bidder, date of signing the document is not mentioned. Also, name of the project is not mentioned. - Details of value of B provided in the bid, undertaking mentioned in the end; following bold words are missing: The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid and anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
			above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works/ works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s..... - The date of certificate w.r.t. Annexure-VI, value of B, has been mentioned as 29.01.2024. Value of Bid Capacity as on Bid Due Date cannot be established. - Bank Guarantee provided; is not signed by any banker.
4	M/s Jandu Construction India Private Limited- M/s "AzVirt" Limited Liability Company(JV)	Non-Responsive	- MoA provided by the Jandu Construction is not readable. - Surety Bond; is not signed by any official of insurer. - Local Content certificate provided by Azvirt Limited Liability Company duly certified from its statutory auditor is not apostille. - Annex I provided by Azvirt Limited Liability Company, JV share is mentioned as 74:26 instead of 75:25. - Audited Annual reports submitted for last five years by Jandu Construction; Complete notes to Accounts for the FY 2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23 are not found in the submitted bid. Further, UDIN is not mentioned in the Independent Auditors Report provided for the FY 2021-22. - Appendix IX (GFR) provided by Jandu Construction, 3rd para is mentioned as I certify that this Bidder is not from such a country or, if from such a country, has been registered with the Competent Authority and will not sub-contract any work to a contractor from such countries unless such contractor is registered with the Competent Authority. as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no.6/18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
			Instead of I certify that this Bidder is not from such a country or, if from such a country, has been registered with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no.6/18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020 and will not sub-contract any work to a contractor from such countries unless such contractor is registered with the Competent Authority. • Details of value of B provided in the bid, in the end undertaking is mentioned as The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid is given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in- charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s "Azvirt" Limited Liability Company individually as on bid due date of this RFP. Instead of The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid and anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its

97

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
			Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works/ works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s "Azvirt" Limited Liability Company individually or as a member as on bid due date of this RFP. - Value of B provided by other member dated 28.02.2024 is not apostille. - With respect to Value of B, details of ongoing commitments/ works; Bidder is required to provide supporting documents/Certificates duly countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire/Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for amount of work completed. Certificates for few projects are found, however, in certificates provided either name of the project is not mentioned or value of work done is not mentioned or document is not signed by EE and above. - Certificate provided for project mentioned at point 8 is not certified from Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer - Certificate provided for project mentioned at point 6, total value of work done is provided and not the share of the bidder, however, bidder has claimed the entire value of work done is the calculation of value of B. - Further, no document is found pertaining to value of work done (duly certified from client or its

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
			Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer in projects mentioned in points 3, 4, 5 & 8 to 11. As per RFP, Bidder is required to submit the certificates under Clause 2.2.2.1 for all ongoing projects duly highlighting the requisite details (name of the project, cost of the project, amount of work completed, signature of executive engineer and above, etc.) in absence of which the full contract value of ongoing projects will be considered as balance work for calculation of B and accordingly Bid Capacity of the Bidder will be calculated. Based on the documents provided in the bid, bidder is not meeting the criteria of Bid capacity.

4. The result of Technical Evaluation shall be available on e-tender portal for 7 days (i.e. up to 11.03.2024) as per Clause 2.19 of RFP after which Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.

Yours Sincerely,


 (Tushar Vyas)
 GM(T)-Gujarat