



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHA/OD/Ainthapali/FC/2022/efile-147264

Date: 01.03.2024

To,

All Participating Bidders;

Sub:- Construction of 6-lane Flyover at Ainthapali junction and a 2-Lane ROB (LHS) on NH-53 (Earlier NH-6) in Sambalpur, on EPC mode in Odisha under NH(O):- **Technical Result Upload-reg. [Tender ID: 2023_NHA_151714_1]**

Ref: Bid submission dated 22.02.2024

Sir,

This has reference to your bid submission dated 22.02.2024 in subject project.

2. In this regard, it is to inform that based on the bids, the result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are enclosed as **Annex-1**.
3. Financial Bid of the above mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of technical evaluation on the web portal for 7 days (i.e., till 07.03.2024) as per Clause 2.19 of RFP. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Enclosed:- As Above

Yours sincerely,

(Harish Sharma)
I/C CGM (T)-Odisha

S. No.	Applicant/ Bidder Name	Status	Reasons/ remarks
1.	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Not Eligible.	(i) As per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP, the bidder shall have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project i.e 20m and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e 56.13 crore (fifty six crore thirteen lakh only). (ii) One completed (as on 31.03.2023) similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover having Cost of Rs.56.13 cr or more having span equal to or greater than 20 m is not found in the submitted Bid. Bidder is required to provide the location/page no. of the submitted bid where it is mentioned. (iii) Further, it is found that the experience of the project claimed at page 60 to 67 (Project Code A) of pdf file named "Agipl_Ainthapali_Complete_Bid_2022_23" for completion requirement however, the following requirements are either not fulfilled or not available: a. Cost of one structure either as a standalone project or separately as a part of highway should be Rs. 56.13 cr. or more. b. Maximum span of such structure as mentioned in point a. above should be equal to or greater than 20m. Thus, the bidder is considered as Not Eligible as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.



2.	M/s P & C Projects Private Limited	Non Responsive and Not Eligible.	(i) The bidder is required to submit Bid Security for an amount of Rs. 2.81 cr. as per RFP, however, the bidder has submitted the Bid Security for Rs. 2.44 Cr. Further the BG is required to be valid up to 180 days from Bid Due Date i.e upto 20.08.2024, however the validity of submitted Bank Guarantee has already been expired on 21.12.2023. Therefore, bidder is considered as Non-Responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 read with clause 1.2.4 and clause 2.20.1 of RFP. (ii) Net Worth of latest year i.e F,Y 2022-23 is required to be submitted as per clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP however the bidder has claimed/provided Net worth of F.Y 2021-22 and has also not submitted the Financial Statement for Financial Year 2022-23, therefore the bidder is considered as Not Eligible as per clause 2.2.2.3, Instructions No. 1 to Annex-III and clause 2.11.1 (m) of RFP. (iii) The bidder also not qualified in Bid Capacity as it has not provided the completion status proof of ongoing works which is required as per Note 1 to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and therefore the Value of B is calculated based on full value of ongoing projects and assessed bid capacity is less than required Bid capacity of Rs. 280.64 cr. as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. In view of above, the bidder is considered as Non Responsive and Not Eligible.
3.	M/s Maruti Infracreation Private Limited	Not eligible	In point no. 14 of Appendix-II, the Industrial Estate branch Nagalpur, Branch at Mehsana has been mentioned in the Bank Guarantee submitted for Bid Security. However, the submitted Bank Guarantee should be operatable at New Delhi Branch. Therefore, bidder is required to provide amendment to mention the New Delhi Branch in point 14 of Appendix-II instead of at Industrial Estate branch Nagalpur, Branch at Mehsana. Hence, the bidder is considered as Non eligible
4.	M/s Shree Balaji Engicons Limited	Not Eligible	(i) As per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP, the bidder shall have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project i.e 20m and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e 56.13 crore (fifty six crore thirteen lakh only). One completed (as on 31.03.2023) similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover having Cost of Rs.56.13 cr or more having span equal to or greater than 20 m is not found in the submitted Bid. Bidder is required to provide the location/page no. of the submitted bid where it is mentioned.

			Further, it is found that the experience of the project claimed at page 89 to 93 (Project Code F) and at page 109 to 126 (Project Code F) of pdf file named "TechnicalDocument1" for completion requirement however, the following requirements are either not fulfilled or not available: a. Cost of one structure either as a standalone project or separately as a part of highway should be Rs. 56.13 cr. or more. b. Maximum span of such structure as mentioned in point a. above should be equal to or greater than 20m. No document found to establish the above. (ii) In addition, the bidder has not provided the completion status proof of ongoing works which is required as per Note 1 to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and therefore the Value of B is calculated based on full value of ongoing projects. The bidder qualifies the criteria as Assessed Bid Capacity is more than required Bid capacity of Rs. 280.64 cr. as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. However, at the time of updated Bid Capacity as per Note 4 of clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, it may be possible that further awarded projects may increase the value of B thereby result in Bid Capacity less than required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Hence, in case there is any change in Bid Capacity from submitted bid capacity at the time of submission of Updated Bid Capacity as per Note 4 of clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, bidder is required to provide completion status proof of ongoing works which is required as per Note 1 to clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Thus, the bidder is considered as Not Eligible as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.
5.	M/s Vidya Infrabuilt Private Limited	Eligible	-----
6.	M/s Agrawal Infrabuilt Private Limited	Eligible	The bidder has submitted the local content certificate by Statutory Auditor. However, the percentage of local content has not been mentioned in the certificate submitted at page 6 of pdf file named "TECH04" which is required as per clause 1.2.10 and clause 2.11.1 (under heading Self-Certification) of RFP.

			Thus, the bidder is considered as Eligible as Non-local supplier.
7.	M/s Bekem Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s BSCPL Infrastructure Limited	Eligible	The other member i.e., BSCPL Infrastructure Limited (BSCPL) has submitted the local content self- certificate however, the details of location of local value addition has not been mentioned in the certificate submitted at page 233 of pdf file named “TechnicalBidAinthapally_New_Part3” which is required as per clause 1.2.10 and clause 2.11.1 (under heading Self-Certification) of RFP. Thus, the bidder is considered as Eligible as Non-local supplier.
8.	M/s ASC Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible	-----
9.	M/s Rajbir Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Not eligible	(i) In the submitted E-Bank Guarantee for Bid Security, At point no. 8 mentioned as "Any notice by way of request, demand or otherwise hereunder may be sent by registered post to the Bank addressed as aforesaid and if sent by registered post, it shall be deemed to have been given at the time when it would be received and duly acknowledged by the bank at its counters" Instead of "Any notice by way of request, demand or otherwise hereunder shall be sufficiently given or made if addressed to the Bank and sent by courier or by registered mail to the Bank at the address set forth herein" as mentioned in RFP. (ii) Also Point no. 9 is not mentioned. Hence, the bidder is considered as non-eligible.
10.	M/s Arcons Infrastructures and Constructions Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Khare & Tarkunde Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible	(i) The Statutory Auditor of Lead Member i.e M/s Arcons Infrastructures and Constructions Pvt. Ltd. is M/s. Binod K Agarwal & Associates as per the Financial Statements submitted by the bidder. Further, the Lead Member has submitted the local content certificate by Chartered Accountant Pankaj Kumar Mediratta however, the same is required to be provided by Statutory Auditor or Cost Auditor of the Company as per clause 1.2.10 and clause 2.11.1 (under heading Self-Certification) of RFP. (ii) The other member i.e. M/s Khare & Tarkunde Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. has submitted the self-certificate as required; however, a certificate from the Statutory Auditor or Cost Auditor of the

			Company or from a practicing Cost Accountant mentioning the percentage of local content has not been found which is required as per clause 1.2.10 and clause 2.11.1 (under heading Self-Certification) of RFP. Hence, the Committee Considered the bidder as Eligible as Non-local supplier.
11.	M/s KRC Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	Not Eligible	As per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP, the bidder shall have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project i.e 20m and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e 56.13 crore (fifty six crore thirteen lakh only). One completed (as on 31.03.2023) similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover having Cost of Rs.56.13 cr or more having span equal to or greater than 20 m is not found in the submitted Bid. Bidder is required to provide the location/page no. of the submitted bid where it is mentioned. Further, it is found that the experience of the project claimed at page 342 to 345 (Project Code D) and at page 346 to 348 (Project Code E) of pdf file named "TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS IV" for completion requirement however, the following requirements are either not fulfilled or not available: a. Cost of one structure either as a standalone project or separately as a part of highway should be Rs. 56.13 cr. or more. b. Maximum span of such structure as mentioned in point a. above should be equal to or greater than 20m. No document found to establish the above. Hence, the bidder is considered as Considered as Not Eligible as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.
12.	M/s Royal Infraconstru Limited	Eligible	-----



13.	M/s Barbrik Projects Limited	Eligible	-----
14.	M/s T AND T INFRA LIMITED (Password Protected File)	Non Responsive and Not Eligible	The bidder has submitted the password protected files which are not accessible and also not submitted the required cost of document and EMD. Therefore, as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP, the bidder is considered as Non-Responsive and not eligible for opening of Financial Bid.
15.	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited (Password Protected File)	Non Responsive and Not Eligible	The bidder has submitted the password protected files which are not accessible and also not submitted the required cost of document and EMD. Therefore, as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP, the bidder is considered as Non-Responsive and not eligible for opening of Financial Bid.
16.	M/s Chevrox Constructions Private Limited	Not Eligible	As per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP, the bidder shall have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project i.e 20m and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost i.e 56.13 crore (fifty six crore thirteen lakh only). One completed (as on 31.03.2023) similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover having Cost of Rs.56.13 cr or more having span equal to or greater than 20 m is not found in the submitted Bid. Bidder is required to provide the location/page no. of the submitted bid where it is mentioned. Further, it is found that the experience of the project claimed at page 8 to 13 (Project Code A) of pdf file named "technicalbid2" for completion requirement however, the following requirements are either not fulfilled or not available: a. Cost of one structure either as a standalone project or separately as a part of highway should be Rs. 56.13 cr. or more. b. Maximum span of such structure as mentioned in point a. above should be equal to or greater than 20m. Thus, the bidder is considered as Not Eligible as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.

17.	M/s RAC Developers Pvt Ltd (Password Protected File)	Non Responsive and Not Eligible	The bidder has submitted the password protected files which are not accessible and also not submitted the required cost of document and EMD. Therefore, as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP, the bidder is considered as Non-Responsive and not eligible for opening of Financial Bid.
18.	M/s M S Infraengineers (P) Ltd.	Not Eligible	In the submitted Bank Guarantee, the full point no. 7 and point no. 8 to 14 is missing. Hence, the bidder is considered as Not Eligible

