



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHA/PC/ Kona Expressway / 2022

Date: 24.03.2023

To,

All Bidders as per list below

Subject: Construction of Six Lane Elevated Kona Expressway from Km. 0.145 to Km. 7.337 of NH-117 in the state of West Bengal on EPC mode" [Tender ID: 2023_NHA1_143743_1] - **Result of Technical Responsiveness and Opening of Financial Bid regd.**

Please refer to RFP invitation for the above project. RFP applications of the respective bidders have been evaluated as per eligibility and qualifications requirements mentioned in the RFP document. The result of the technical evaluation as approved by the Competent Authority in NHA is as under:

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Result
1/6	M/s PNC Infratech Limited	Non-Responsive
2/6	M/s Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Private Limited in JV with M/s OJSC Euro -Asian Construction Corporation 'EVRASCON'	Technically Responsive (Conditionally Qualified for Next Stage subject to submission of the required document as per table mentioned under para 2 below)
3/6	M/s Kalpataru Power Transmission Limited	Technically Responsive (Conditionally Qualified for Next Stage subject to submission of the required document as per table mentioned under para 2 below)
4/6	M/s Larsen & Toubro Limited	Technically Responsive (Conditionally Qualified for Next Stage subject to submission of the required document as per table mentioned under para 2 below)
5/6	M/s GPT Infraprojects Limited	Non-Responsive
6/6	M/s Rail Vikas Nigam Limited	Technically Responsive (Conditionally Qualified for Next Stage subject to submission of the required document as per table mentioned under para 2 below)

2. The bidders who have been conditionally qualified for next stage subject to submission of the required documents/details before opening of the financial bid as under:

S.No.	Clauses/References	Detail
		M/s Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Private Limited in JV with M/s OJSC Euro -Asian Construction Corporation 'EVRASCON'
1	Annex-VI Bid Capacity EVRASCON	The Statutory Auditors' certificate certifying the "Value of A" is not apostilled. The bidder is required to submit apostilled "Value of A" along with updated Bid capacity to be submitted one day prior to bid due date.

Agarwal

2	Self-certification local Supplier EVRASCON	Statutory Auditors' certificate certifying the local supplier is not apostilled. The bidder is required to submit Apostilled certificate along with updated Bid capacity to be submitted one day prior to bid due date
M/s Kalpataru Power Transmission Limited		
1	Annex-I	In the table of 7b column no. 3, the bidder has given detail of 3 projects only the status of rest of the projects may also be provided.
2	Annex-III	Statutory Auditor's certificate w.r.t turnover has not been found in the submission made by the bidder. Bidder is required to submit the Statutory Auditor's certificate in support of turnover figures claimed in Annex-III already submitted in the Bid.
3	JMC Projects (India) Ltd	In Annex VI, the bidder has claimed the turnover of M/s JMC Projects (India) Ltd also. However, no Financial Statement of M/s JMC Projects (India) Ltd has been found in the submission made by the bidder.
4	Annex - VI	Value of A & B has been signed by Mr. Pramod Kumar Ostwal. However, as per Power of Attorney, the attorney holder is Mr. Afzal Hossain Khan. Bidder to clarify in what capacity Mr. Pramod Kumar Ostwal has signed the document.
5		In Note no. 6 mentioned below the table of Value of B seems contradictory to the para mentioned below the table of value of B <i>"The statement showing ongoing/awarded projects does not include the projects for which the LOA has been received but notice to proceed is not issued/no work has been commenced."</i> Bidder is required to submit the updated list of its ongoing projects exactly as per the format prescribed in the RFP upto one day prior to the date of financial opening.
6	Annex-VIII Detail of Ongoing Work	The bidder has claimed 1 project which has missed its Scheduled Completion Date however, the same is not supported with valid certificate issued from Independent Engineer / Authority's Engineer/ Supervision Consultant / Engineer-in-charge". Bidder is required to provide the same in support of Annex VIII already submitted in the Bid.
7	Appendix-II	As per point 13 of the Bank Guarantee, "the bank shall be liable to pay the amount or any part thereof only if the Authority serves a written claim on the bank" whereas as per sub point (c) of submitted bank guarantee, it is mentioned "we are liable to pay you the guarantee amount or any part thereof under this Bank Guarantee upon receipt of written claim". Both point seems contradictory to each other. Bidder to provide the Amendment to the Bank Guarantee w.r.t the abovesaid observation.
M/s Larsen & Toubro Limited		
1	Annex VIII Detail of Ongoing Work	The bidder has claimed 3 projects which have missed their Scheduled completion date however, the same are not supported with valid certificates issued from Independent Engineer / Authority's Engineer/ Supervision Consultant / Engineer-in-charge". Bidder is required to provide the same in support of Annex VIII already submitted in the Bid.
M/s Rail Vikas Nigam Limited		
1	Financial Statement	The bidder has submitted the Independent Auditor's report for financial year 2021-2022 in which the Audit report have qualified opinion. Bidder to clarify whether this qualification affects the Net Worth of the bidder through Statutory Auditors' Certificate.
2	Annex-VI Bid Capacity	Annex-VI Value of B submitted by the bidder has the following discrepancies: 1. Bidder has submitted the Value of B in which in the line mentioned above the table that "2.5 years is as follows: (As on 31.01. 2023)". The bidder is required to provide the updated Bid capacity from 31.01.2023 to one day prior to the date of Financial Bid opening.

Ajay

3. The detailed reasons for the Non-Responsive bidders is as under:

Sr.N O	Name of the Applicant	Remarks
1/6	M/s PNC Infratech Limited	Non-Responsive The Bidder has submitted the Client certificate for the project "Ghaziabad- Aligarh" for similar work experience however the said project has not been claimed in, Technical Capacity of the Bidder (Annex-II) and Detail of Eligible projects (Annex-IV). Further, No single completed project has been found from eligible projects. Accordingly, the bid of M/s PNC Infratech Limited has been considered as Non- Responsive as per RFP clause 2.2.2 2 (iii) (a) (a1) of the RFP document.
2/6	M/s GPT Infraprojects Limited	Non-Responsive The Bidder has claimed the Project Code 1d: " <i>Construction of important and Major Steel Girder Bridges, along with Foundation, Substructure and related protection works in connection with Jhansi-Bhimsen doubling in Jhansi division of North Central Railway in the State of Uttar Pradesh</i> " In the client certificated dated 30.03.2022 point no. 5, scope of work/ salient features of the project is given however, it is not clear in the said certificate whether the complete said scope of work is executed by GPT Infraprojects Ltd. No other client certificates of the claimed projects have been submitted by the bidder to clarify the required maximum span length and hence, the eligibility of the bidder cannot be assessed. Accordingly, the bid of M/s GPT Infraprojects Limited has been considered as Non- Responsive as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1) of the RFP document.

3.1 Apart from the above reason for disqualification, the following are other observations in the bid of above mentioned non-responsive bidders:

S.No.	Clauses/ References	Detail
M/s PNC Infratech Limited		
1.	Appendix-II Bank Guarantee	As per point 13 of the Bank Guarantee, "the bank shall be liable to pay the amount or any part thereof only if the Authority serves a written claim on the bank" whereas as per sub point (iii) of submitted bank guarantee, it is mentioned "we are liable to pay up to the guarantee amount if and only if, we receive from you ". Both point seems contradictory to each other.
M/s GPT Infraprojects Limited		
1	Annex-I	In the table of 7b column no. 3, detail of all the projects " as per Annex-VI " has been given instead of detail of all the projects.
2	Annex-VI	In the Last para of value of B, the bold line has not been mentioned "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works..... No awarded / ongoing works/ works for which the bidder has emerged as winner of the Bid has been left in the aforesaid statement which has:on bid due date of this RFP."
3	Annex-VIII	In the Annex VIII, the bidder has claimed 2 projects which have missed their Scheduled completion date however, neither reason of delay has been mentioned nor supporting valid certificate issued from Independent

Agai

		Engineer / Authority's Engineer/ Supervision Consultant / Engineer-in-charge" has been found in the submission made by the bidder.
4	Appendix-III	The bidder has submitted a certified true copy of resolution passed in the meeting of Executive Committee however, the charter documents w.r.t the power of Executive Committee has not been found and hence, the power of Executive Committee cannot be confirmed.
5	Appendix-II Bank Guarantee issued by PNB	As per point 13 of the Bank Guarantee, "the bank shall be liable to pay the amount or any part thereof only if the Authority serves a written claim on the bank" whereas as per sub point (iii) of submitted bank guarantee, it is mentioned that "we are liable to pay upto the guarantee amount if and only if, we receive from you". Both point seems contradictory to each other.
6	General	The Bidder has been debarred by NHIDCL vide its letter dated 07.11.2022 and a stay order on the debarment notice was granted by the Honourable High Court of Manipur on 22.11.2022. Annex- I of Appendix -IA of RFP table under para no. 5(d) requires the bidder to inform - (i) Has the Bidder/ constituent of the Joint Venture been barred by the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways or its implementing agencies for the works of Expressways, National Highways, ISC and EI works, from participating in bidding and (ii) If the answer to 1 is yes, does the bar subsist as on BID due date. Wherein the bidder has mentioned "NO" at both sr. nos. According to the above clause, the bidder should have disclosed the facts of debarment by NHIDCL and the interim stay order. Even if there is a stay order on the debarment notice by the Honorable High Court of Manipur, bidder required to submit the information.

4. In this regard, the above mentioned Technically Responsive Bidders are requested to kindly submit the Bid Capacity Status as on the day before opening the financial bids as per Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP.

5. The Financial Bids of the above-mentioned **four (04) technically responsive bidders (conditionally)** (subject to fulfillment of conditions for conditionally qualified bidders) shall be opened on 31.03.2023 at 11:00 hrs in the Training Room, Ground Floor, NHAI HQ, G 5&6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi. The Responsive bidders are, therefore, requested to depute their authorized representative to be present at the time of opening of Financial Bids.



(Asheesh Kumar Jain)
General Manager (Tech)-PC