Date 03.04.2023

Minutes of Evaluation Committee held on 31.03.2023 @ 1730 hrs at O/o Regional Officer, Vijayawada, for Evaluation of Financial Bids for the work of "Construction of FOB near Rampuram Village at Design Km.706+800 of Six Laning of Anandapuram - Pendurthi - Anakapalli section of NH-16 in the State of Andhra Pradesh"

The Committee after detailed evaluation of the bids for the above work vide Minutes dated 28.02.2023 & 23.03.2023, recommended to consider the bids of all the following 4 (four) firms as technically responsive as per Clause 23.4 of Section-II: ITB and to open the Financial Bids on 29.03.2023 at 1730 Hrs duly intimating the date to the bidders.

(i) M/s. Jaabilli Constructions Private Limited
(ii) M/s. SK Constructions
(iii) M/s. Vijaya Lakhsmi Constructions
(iv) M/s. VMS Nirman Pvt. Ltd.

2.1 Based on the recommendations of the Committee, RO, Vijayawada vide Note dated 29.03.2023 had accorded approval for the above.

2.2 Accordingly, the result of Technical evaluation with intimation for opening of financial bids on 29.03.2023 @ 1530 Hrs was uploaded in E-tender portal.

2.3 The financial bids of the Technically Responsive firms were opened online by the Committee Members for evaluation in compliance to Clause 28 of bid document.

2.4 The percentage [(%) +/-] quoted by Four bidders w.r.t. estimated cost of Rs.2,45,90,148/- of the work, mentioned in the NIT & Section IX, Bill of Quantities are given below:

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Estimated Cost (Excl. GST) (Rs.)	Percentage Quoted (+ / -)	Quoted Amount (Rs.)	Rank
(i)	M/s. Jaabilli Constructions Private Limited	2,45,90,148/-	(-)6.50	2,29,91,788/-	L3
(ii)	M/s. SK Constructions	2,45,90,148/-	(-)4.30	2,35,32,772/-	L4
(iii)	M/s. Vijaya Lakshmi Constructions	2,45,90,148/-	(-)6.90	2,28,93,428/-	L2
(iv)	M/s. VMS Nirman Pvt. Ltd	2,45,90,148/-	(-)17.67	2,02,45,069/-	**L1**

2.5 The deliberation of the Committee are as follows:

2.5.1 It has been observed that the bidders M/s. Jaabilli Constructions & M/s. VMS Nirman Private Limited have not submitted the Financial Bid form, whereas the other two firms M/s. Vijaya Lakshmi Constructions & M/s. SK Constructions uploaded Financial Bid form duly signed by the Authorized Signatory holding the Power of Attorney as prescribed in the RFP. However, it was observed that the bidder M/s. Jaabilli Constructions has submitted the financial bid form along with the technical bid documents duly signed by the Authorized signatory without filling any details as prescribed in the RFP and the bidder M/s. VMS Nirman Private Limited has submitted the 2nd page of financial bid form duly filling the details as prescribed in RFP.

2.5.2 As per Clause 25.1 of Section - II - Instruction to Bidders (ITB), "To assist in the examination, evaluation, and comparison of Bids, the Employer may, at his discretion, ask any Bidder for clarification of his-Bid, including breakdowns of unit rates. The request for clarification and the response shall be in writing or by cable, but no change in the price or substance of the Bid shall be sought, offered, or permitted except as required to confirm the correction of arithmetic errors discovered by the Employer in the evaluation of the Bids in accordance with Clause 27."

2.5.3 In this regard, M/s. VMS Nirman Private Limited being the lowest bidder (L1) the Evaluation Committee opined that the 1st page of Financial Bid form may be obtained physically by the bidder at the time to submission of original documents by the successful bidder as per Clause 12 of Section - II - Instruction to Bidders (ITB) before signing of the Contract Agreement.

2.5.4 From the quoted amounts it was observed by the Committee that M/s. VMS Nirman Private quoted an amount of Rs.2,02,45,069/- excluding GST, which is 17.67% below the estimated cost of Rs.2,45,90,148/- (Excluding GST) as indicated in the NIT and thus stood as L1.

2.6 However, as per the Policy Circular No.9.2.35/2022 dated 10.05.2022, the Committee appraises the following methodology to identify abnormally low bids which states that;

(i) Average Bid Price of maximum five (5) nos. of lowest bids may be worked out.

(ii) In case, this average bid price is less than the Authority's Estimated Project Cost (EPC) put to tender, the Bid Price quoted by the lowest bidder (L1) is to be compared with the average bid price and if the bid price quoted by L1 is lower by more than 10% from the average bid price, then the bid quoted by L1 shall be considered as an Abnormal Low Bid (ALB).

2.7 In the above case, the average bid price of the four (4) bidders is Rs.2,24,15,764/- and the Bid price quoted by the L1 bidder when compared to average Bid Price is 9.684% less which is less than 10% from the average bid price and hence, cannot be a abnormal low bid.

3. In view of the above, the Committee recommends the following for approval of the Competent Authority.

(i) To consider the L1 bidder M/s. **VMS Nirman Private Limited, Visakhapatnam** for quoted amount of Rs.2,02,45,069/- (@ 17.67% below estimated cost of Rs. 2,45,90,148/-).

(ii) To issue Letter of Acceptance to M/s. VMS Nirman Private Limited, Hyderabad in compliance to Cl. 32 of RFP.

(iii) Upon furnishing of the Performance Security by the successful bidder, the other bidders will be notified that their bids have been unsuccessful (As per cl.32.4 of Section-II - ITB of RFP).

M. Venkateswara Rao 3/4/23
M. Venkateswara Rao
Accounts Officer
RO, Vijayawada
Member

K.V.D. Ranjani 3/4/23
K.V.D. Ranjani
DGM (Tech.),
RO, Vijayawada
Member

Ch. Satish 3/4/23
Ch. Satish
DM (T), PIU,
Visakhapatnam
Member

T. Suresh Kumar 3/4/23
T. Suresh Kumar
DGM (Tech.),
RO, Vijayawada
Member Secretary

P. Siva Sankar
GM (T) & PD, PIU,
Visakhapatnam
Convener

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by VENKATA DEVA RANJANI KUNALA
Date: 2023.04.20 19:31:29 IST
Location: eProcurement System for Central PSUs