

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of Six lane Elevated Corridor from Km. 508.000 to Km. 513.150 including approaches on NH-66 in the State of Goa on EPC mode".

SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION

Name of Firm	M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. In Association with M/s MAV Associates LLP	M/s G-ENG Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. In Association with M/s Civil Mantra Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s NPEC Consultancy (P) Ltd.	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd. Joint Venture with M/s Technogem Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Designilla Pvt. Ltd.	M/s LN Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	M/s MSV International INC. in association with M/s Real Strength Infrastructure	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Abhishira Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
Marks Obtained	M/s TPF Gentisa Eurustudios S.L. has been barred by NHAI vide letter dated 05.06.2023. Hence, bidder was considered non-responsive as per circular No. RW/NH-33044/76/2021-S&R(P&B) dated 04.01.2022. However, bidder has submitted that in similar cases of NHAI, Delhi High Court vide order dated 06.09.2023 has directed to open financial bid. Accordingly, bid is evaluated and considered conditional responsive subject to final outcome of Court case. Marks scored - 92.60	Bidder is not fulfilling eligibility criteria for Aggregate Length preparation of DPR / Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report & aggregate length of Project Supervision / IE / AE as per Clause 14(A) (1(a)) Section-1 "Information to Consultants" of RFP. Bidder has not submitted bid security which is required as per Clause 4.1 B(V), "Information to Consultants" of RFP	93.43	Team Leader has claimed work on "Mohali Expressway" with M/s Louis Berger Group, Inc. during 06/05/2002 to 31/03/2004. However, as per INFRACON profile of M/s Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. "Mohali Expressway" has been executed during 01/05/2008 to 01/09/2008. Experience claimed by Team Leader is found to be incorrect and assigned 'zero' marks as per Clause 3.4 (x) (a) & (b), Section-2 (LOI) of RFP. Hence, Technical Proposal is considered non-responsive as per Clause 3.4(x) (e) & (f), Section-2 (LOI) of RFP.	Bidder is not fulfilling eligibility criteria for Aggregate Length of Project Supervision / IE / AE as per the projects uploaded on INFRACON portal as required under Clause 14(A) (1(a)) Section-1 "Information to Consultants" of RFP.	Bidder is not fulfilling eligibility criteria for Aggregate Length of preparation of DPR / Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report as per the projects uploaded on INFRACON portal as required under Clause 14(A) (1(a)) Section-1 "Information to Consultants" of RFP.	97.86
Remarks	Conditionally Responsive & Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Non-Responsive	Responsive & Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	Responsive & Eligible for opening of financial proposal

Vishal