

Name of the Project: - Rehabilitation /Upgradation of Karanja - Kherda - Murtizapur Section to 4Lane+Paved shoulder standards from Design km 74+351 to km 102+331 (Excluding overlapping portion with Samruddhi Mahamarg from Design Km 76+380 to 78+280) (Total length of project 26.080 km) section of NH 361C on EPC Mode in the state of Maharashtra.

With respect to the bid for the subject works, please find result of technical evaluation.

2. Financial bid for the above said work will be opened on 12.10.2023 at 11:30 AM.

Minutes of meeting of Evaluation Committee held on 11.10.2023 under the chairmanship of Chief Engineer (Zone-west) MORT&H for evaluation of Technical bids for the work of Rehabilitation /Upgradation of Karanja – Kherda -Murtizapur Section to 4Lane+Paved shoulder standards from Design km 74+351 to km 102+331 (Excluding overlapping portion with Samruddhi Mahamarg from Design Km 76+380 to 78+280) (Total length of project 26.080 km) section of NH 361C on EPC Mode in the state of Maharashtra.

A meeting of the Evaluation Committee was held on 11.10.2023 at 3:00 PM to discuss the representation dated 07.10.2023 of the bidder **M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited** who has given representation against technical evaluation results published on e-tender portal on 01.09.2023 & 05.10.2023.

2. The Evaluation Committee in its previous meetings dated 31.08.2023 & 05.10.2023 had declared the above bidder as technically non-responsive for not meeting the criteria of required bid capacity & technical threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP respectively.

3. The Bidder vide his letter dated 07-10-2023 has again represented that they are meeting the criteria of required bid capacity & technical threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP respectively.

Bid Capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP:

The bidder has submitted that it is a surprise for us that even after submission of our representation the committee is calculating our Bid Capacity by considering the total value of the balance work as Rs. 675.94 Cr. but the actual figure of this value is only 445.45 Cr. A copy of WIP list certified by SA has already been submitted to yourself earlier, which is once again submitted to your perusal please.

You are requested to calculate Bid Capacity based on this value as mentioned below:-

$$(217.8 \times 2.5 \times 2) - 445.45 = 643.55 \text{ cr.}$$

The Evaluation Committee deliberated on the submission of the bidder and observed that the bidder has again submitted the memorandum of payment regarding the project claimed for Bid capacity. However, the memorandum of payment is not signed by NHIDCL concerned officer / concerned Executive Engineer. Therefore, these memorandums of Payment cannot be considered for the calculation of Bid Capacity. Therefore, the total project cost has been considered for the purpose of calculation of bid capacity. The bid capacity of this bidder is again worked out to Rs. 413.06 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore minimum required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

Technical Threshold capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP:

The bidder has submitted that they have already brought to your kind notice the option available to the bidders regarding submission of data of last 5 audited financial years if the bid due date falls within 3 months from the closing of the latest financial year as per clause 2.1.13 at page 15 of RFP, which is being reproduced below for your ready reference.

“Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, in the event that the Bid Due Date falls within three months of the closing of the latest financial year of a Bidder, it shall ignore such financial year for the purposes of its Bid and furnish all its information and certification with reference to the 5 (five) years or 1 (one) year, as the case may be, preceding its latest financial year. For the avoidance of doubt, financial year shall, for the purposes of a Bid hereunder, mean the accounting year followed by the Bidder in the course of its normal business.”



The bidder has mentioned that since the last date of tender submission was 01.07.2023 hence they have submitted the bid by considering the last 5 audited financial years as 2017-18 to 2021-22 along with an undertaking certified by SA to this effect as per requirement of above clause, since the audit of their company for latest FY2022-23 was not done at that time, but in response to MOM of evaluation committee dated 31.08.2023, they have also submitted vide their letter dated 02.09.2023 the technical threshold capacity for latest 5 audited FY 2018-19 to 2022-23 which is Rs. 508.57 Cr, hence we are qualified in both cases. Copies of Annex-II (As per Bid) and Revised Annex-II (As per latest 5 FY) are once again being submitted herewith for your perusal please.

The bidder has submitted that in the light of above representation, it is once again requested to consider our presentation and declare us technically qualified for opening of the Financial Bid otherwise we will be compelled to take legal action as per rules & regulations laid down in Laws.

The Evaluation Committee deliberated on the submission of the bidder and observed that clause 2.1.13 would be applicable if the bid due date falls between 1st April 2023 to 30th June 2023. The Bid Due date of the project is 01.07.2023. Therefore, the clause 2.1.13 is not relevant in our project. Hence payment received in FY 2022-23 to FY 2018-19 shall be considered for the calculation of Technical Threshold Capacity. Further, as per clause 2.14.3.1 of RFP "*The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e- BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time.*" Therefore, the submission of receipts from FY 2022-23 to FY 2017-18 after bid due date has not been considered as no bid cannot be modify after the bid due date as per clause 2.14.3.1 of RFP. The technical threshold capacity of this bidder is again worked out to Rs. 322.56 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore minimum required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP.

Therefore, the bidder is not meeting the criteria of Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Technical Threshold Capacity of RFP and hence considered as non-responsive for not meeting the required technical threshold capacity.


4. In view of above, the Evaluation Committee considered the technical bid of above bidder as technically non responsive as per clause 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP respectively.

5. The Evaluation Committee deliberated and found no change in the technical evaluation results of the bidders as recommended by the Evaluation Committee in its previous meeting dated 05.10.2023.

6. The Evaluation Committee recommended to open the financial bids of the responsive bidders at an early date after approval from Competent Authority.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.


Purshottam Kumar,
SE (Zone –West),
MoRTH HQ
(Member Secretary)


Dheera],
SE (East-I)
(Member)


Santosh Shelar, CE(NH),
PWD, Maharashtra
(Member)


Sudhir Kumar Jaiswal
Advisor Cost, MoRTH
Representative of Finance
wing (Member)


Manoj Kumar,
CE(Zone-West), MoRTH
HQ
(Chairperson)

Minutes of meeting of Evaluation Committee held on 05.10.2023 under the chairmanship of Chief Engineer (Zone-west) MORT&H for evaluation of Technical bids for the work of Rehabilitation /Upgradation of Karanja – Kherda -Murtizapur Section to 4Lane+Paved shoulder standards from Design km 74+351 to km 102+331 (Excluding overlapping portion with Samruddhi Mahamarg from Design Km 76+380 to 78+280) (Total length of project 26.080 km) section of NH 361C on EPC Mode in the state of Maharashtra.

A meeting of the Evaluation Committee was held on 05.10.2023 at 3:00 PM to discuss the representations of the following bidders who have given their representations against technical evaluation results published on e-tender portal on 01.09.2023.

(i) M/s Ajaydeep Infracon Pvt Ltd:

The Evaluation Committee in its previous meeting dated 31.08.2023 had declared the above bidder as technically non-responsive for not meeting the criteria of one completed similar project having value more than 20% of Estimated Project Cost (Rs 86.17 Crores) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

The Bidder vide his letter dated 02-09-2023 has now submitted that their project code (D) i.e. A) Improvement of Ring Road in Amravati District (Amravati Mini Bypass) (SH 299 & SH 302) and (B) Improvement to roads District Place in Amravati District (Amravati Kathora Pusada Chandur Bazar) amounting to Rs. 187.14 Cr should be considered as similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP whose supporting documents were submitted at Page No. 61 to 77 at the time of submission of Tender. The said work has been allotted to M/s. Kalthia Engineering and Construction Ltd on Hybrid Annuity Mode and an SPV has been formed namely M/s Kalthia Priyheer Infrastructure Pvt Ltd for the execution of the said work. The approval letter of SPV is attached herewith and the work done certificate issued by EE is also attached with other related documents from Page no 28 to 44 which clearly states that work has been allotted to EPC Contractor M/s. Ajaydeep Infracon Pvt. Ltd.

The Evaluation Committee deliberated on the submission of the bidder and observed that the above project was officially awarded to EPC Contractor M/s Ajaydeep Infracon Pvt Ltd and completed on 29.10.2021 and hence meeting the criteria of one completed similar project having value more than 20% of Estimated Project Cost (Rs 86.17 Crores) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

Therefore, the Evaluation Committee considered the technical bid of above bidder as technically responsive.

(ii) M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited:

The Evaluation Committee in its previous meeting dated 31.08.2023 had declared the above bidder as technically non-responsive as per clauses 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2 (i) & 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

The bidder vide letter dated 02-09-2023 has submitted that their bid capacity is Rs.643.55 crore and more than the required bid capacity of Rs.430.87 crore as per RFP. The Evaluation Committee in its meeting dated 05.10.2023 has re-evaluated the bid capacity of the above bidder and found no change in the previous result dated 31-08-2023. The bid capacity of this bidder is again worked out to Rs. 413.06 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore minimum required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

The bidder has submitted that their technical threshold capacity is Rs. 508.57 crore and more than the required technical threshold capacity of Rs.430.87 crore as per RFP. The Evaluation Committee in its meeting dated 05.10.2023 has re-evaluated the technical threshold capacity of the above bidder and found no change in the previous result dated 31-08-2023. The technical threshold capacity of this bidder is again worked out to Rs. 322.56 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore minimum required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP.

The bidder has submitted that their Project code (A) i.e. Up-gradation of Kusumba to Malegaon section of principally approved NH from Ch:55+000 Km to Ch: 96+410 Km (Design Ch: 54+155 Km to Ch:95+500, total length 41.345 Km) to two lane with paved shoulder configuration (Package No. Nashik-II Dondaichik - Kusumba – Dongrale – Malegaon Road approx.. 96.00 Km) in the state of



A.K. Desai

ml

Maharashtra-Package 2 (Nashik Division) of NH-160H with project cost of Rs. 166.00 crore was awarded to M/s B.R.Goyal Infrastructure Pvt Ltd (70% share) in JV with M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd(30% share) which was completed on 31.05.2021 by them and they have officially executed this work amounting to Rs 116.48 crore and this work should be considered under similar work criteria. In support of his claim, the bidder has now submitted completion certificate dated 30.05.2023 from Executive Engineer PWD NH Division Nashik for completion of aforesaid work.

The Evaluation Committee deliberated on the submission of the bidder and now considered the above project under the criteria of one completed similar project having value more than 20% of Estimated Project Cost (Rs 86.17 Crores) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

Therefore, the Evaluation Committee considered the technical bid of above bidder as technically non-responsive as per clauses 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP.

(iii) M/s R B Ghodke Infrastructure Private Limited:

The Evaluation Committee in its previous meeting dated 31.08.2023 had declared the above bidder as technically non-responsive for not meeting the criteria of technical threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP. The Evaluation Committee had earlier evaluated the technical threshold capacity of this bidder as Rs. 412.21 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore required as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP.

The Bidder vide e-mail dated 01-09-2023 has again sent their previous letter dated 08-08-2023 claiming their technical threshold capacity as Rs. 435.64 crore. The Evaluation Committee in its meeting dated 05.10.2023 has re-evaluated the technical threshold capacity of the above bidder and found no change in the previous result dated 31-08-2023. The technical threshold capacity of this bidder is again worked out to Rs. 412.21 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore minimum required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP

Therefore, the Evaluation Committee considered the technical bid of above bidder as technically non-responsive.

(iv) M/s T And T Infra Limited:

The Evaluation Committee in its previous meeting dated 31.08.2023 had declared the above bidder as technically non-responsive for not meeting the criteria of bid capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. The Evaluation Committee had earlier evaluated the bid capacity of this bidder as (-) Rs. 54.02 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

The Bidder vide his letter dated 08-09-2023 has now submitted that their bid capacity is Rs. 431.01 crore and submitted Work orders in support of RA bills for calculation of their bid capacity. The bidder has not submitted documents/information required for bid capacity verification as specified in RFP. Accordingly, it is not possible to verify the claim of the bidder. Accordingly, the bid capacity of this bidder is worked out to (-) Rs. 54.02 crore as against Rs. 430.87 crore required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

Therefore, the Evaluation Committee considered the technical bid of above bidder as technically non-responsive.

2.CE(NH) PWD Maharashtra informed during the meeting on 05-10-2023 that no representation has been received from M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd against the technical results published on 01.09.2023. CE(NH) PWD Maharashtra further informed that all the responsive bidders are still meeting the requirement of bid capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP considering their updated bid capacity as on date.

3.In view of the above, the Evaluation Committee finalized the responsiveness of technical bids based on the previous meetings and the instant meeting and considered the technical bids of following bidders as **Technically Responsive**:

9  A.K. Dey 

Sl.No.	Name of the bidder
1	M/s A G Construction
2	M/s Arcons Infrastructures and Constructions Pvt. Ltd.
3	M/s H.G. Infra Engineering Limited
4	M/s Iron Triangle Limited
5	M/s M.S.Khurana Engineering Limited
6	M/s Nikhil Constructiongroup Private Limited
7	M/s P D Agrawal Infrastructure Ltd.
8	M/s Rajendra Singh Bhamboo Infra Pvt. Ltd
9	M/s R R Constructions and Infrastructure India Pvt Ltd
10	M/s Sarthi Construction And Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt Ltd
11	M/s S A Sawant Constructions Pvt Ltd
12	M/s Shinde Developers Pvt. Ltd. in JV with SGPIPL Chandrapur Pombhurna Projects Private Limited
13	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers Limited
14	M/s Ajaydeep Infracon Pvt Ltd


4.The Evaluation Committee has considered the following bidders as **Technically Non-responsive**:


SI No.	Name of bidder	Technical Responsiveness
1	M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd	Non- Responsive
2	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Non- Responsive
3	M/s R B Ghodke Infrastructure Private Limited	Non- Responsive
4	M/s T And T Infra Limited	Non- Responsive


5. The Evaluation Committee recommended to open the financial bids of the responsive bidders at an early date after approval from Competent Authority.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.


Purshottam Kumar,
SE (Zone –West),
MoRTH HQ
(Member Secretary)


Dheeraj,
SE (East-I)
(Member)


Santosh Shear,
CE(NH),
PWD,Maharashtra
(Member)


Anup Kumar dey
AFA, MoRTH
Representative of Finance wing
(Member)


Manoj Kumar,
CE (Zone-West), MoRTH HQ
(Chairperson)