



GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
HIGHWAY ZONE, PWD

Email:- cehwzone@gmail.com

No. CEAP(HW)/WTC-177/Namsai-Dirak/Tender/2023-24/

Dated:15-05-2023

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The Bid Evaluation Committee meeting held on 10-05-2023 for evaluation of technical bids for the work “Strengthening and road safety for Namsai-Dirak Road Section of NH-15 from Ch. 832.00 Km to Ch. 845.00 Km under Jairampur Highway Division in the State of Arunachal Pradesh for the year 2022-23 on EPC mode” has found the technical bids of the following bidders responsive and qualified.


Therefore, it is notified to all concerned that the Financial Bids of the responsive and qualified bidders shall be opened on **23-05-2023 at 11.30 am** in the office of the Chief Engineer, Highway Zone, Itanagar. Interested qualified bidders may attend the financial bid opening.

Sl.No.	Name of Bidders
1.	M/s GRRB Associates
2.	M/s Kaushal Sharma
3.	M/s Puna Hinda
4.	M/s Sanjay Kumar Singh
5.	M/s Sri Ram Enterprises
6.	M/s Zeliezhu & Sons
7.	M/s Purbanchal Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.
8.	M/s Tenzing Construction

Sd/-
Chief Engineer (HW)
PWD, AP, Itanagar.

No. CEAP(HW)/WTC-177/Namsai-Dirak/Tender/2023-24/ **339-51** Dated:15-05-2023
Copy to :-

1. The Regional Officer, MoRTH, Itanagar.
2. The Superintending Engineer, Highway Zone for information.
3. The Finance & Accounts Officer, Highway Zone for information.
4. The Executive Engineer, Jairampur Highway Division, Jairampur for information.
5. M/s GRRB Associates Holding No. 06/623, Gyan Villa, Manav Kalyan Road, Tinsukia, Assam, Pin-786125.
6. M/s Kaushal Sharma, AGRC Road, Hijuguri, PO & PS : Hijuguri, Dist : Tinsukia, Assam, Pin-786192.
7. M/s Puna Hinda, C/o Time Library, Akashdeep, Ganga Market, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, Pin-791111.
8. M/s Sanjay Kumar Singh, Chirwapatty Road, Tinsukia, PO & PS : Tinsukia, Dist : Tinsukia, Assam, Pin-786125.
9. M/s Sri Ram Enterprises, Sri Ram Tower, 2nd Floor, M.P.D Road, Near Bhagwan Pustakalaya, Naya Bazar, Bhagalpur-812002(Bihar).
10. M/s Zeliezhu & Sons, H.No. 207, Hengrabari Main Road, Near Hengrabari L.P. School, PO :- Hengrabari, P.S :- Dispur, Guwahati-781036, Assam.
11. M/s Purbanchal Buildtech Pvt. Ltd., House No. 2, Sewali Path, F.A. Ahmed Nagar, Sixmile, Guwahati-781022, Assam.
12. M/s Tenzing Construction, Dirang Bazar Line, PO & PS : Dirang, Dist : West Kameng, Arunachal Pradesh, Pin-790101(India).
13. Miss Aruna Deru, DBA, MoRTH, Itanagar. She is directed to upload immediately.


Superintending Engineer
For Chief Engineer (HW)
PWD, AP, Itanagar.

BY ROTATION

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Bid Evaluation held on 10th May, 2023 under the Chairmanship of Chief Engineer (Highway Zone), PWD Arunachal Pradesh (2nd Call)

Name of Work:	Strengthening and road safety for Namsai-Dirak road section of NH 15 from Ch. 832.00 Km to Ch. 845.00 Km under Jairampur Highway Division in the State of Arunachal Pradesh for the year 2022-23 on EPC Mode. [Job No. 15/Ar.P/2022-23/052]
Administrative Approval & Technical Sanction	RO/NH/-15/Namsai-Dirak/AP-2022-23/Ar.P C. No. 214371 dated 02.01.2023
Amount put to tender:	Rs. 27,24,00,000/- (Excl. GST)
Time and Date of Opening of Technical Bids:	1130 Hrs of 27.04.2023
List of Firms who participated in the online tender:	1) M/s Asean Agencies 2) M/s Ganpati Builders 3) M/s GRRB Associates 4) M/s KAUSHAL SHARMA 5) M/s B.A Enterprises 6) M/s Barapani Enterprises 7) M/s Buru Enterprises 8) M/s Puna Hinda 9) M/s Sanjay Kumar Singh 10) M/s Sri Ram Enterprises 11) M/s Tama Fabrication Work 12) M/s Zeligzhu & Sons 13) M/s Purbanchal Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. 14) M/s Tenzing Construction

1. The Administrative & Financial approval and Technical Sanction for the instant work has been accorded by Ministry for an amount of Rs. 34.37 Cr vide letter dated 02.01.2023.

2. The work was bid out for the first time on 18.01.2023 and the technical bids were opened on 28.02.2023. However, the tender could not be concluded on account of multiple repeated complaints against all the qualified bidders and no reply being received from the concerned authorities for verification of genuineness of the complaints, accordingly recommended for cancellation on 29.03.2023. Thereafter, the work was bid out for the second time in two cover bid system through e-procurement on 06.04.2023 and the technical bids were opened on 27.04.2023.

3. The evaluation committee examined the document placed before the committee. Evaluation sheet is enclosed at Annex-I. Based on the evaluation; the committee observed the following:

3.1. **M/s Asean Agencies:** The Committee observed the following:

- a) Bidder has submitted Bid security having validity less than 180 days from bid due date which is required as per Clause 2.20 of RFP.

- b) Bidder has not furnished any similar work required as per **Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)** of the RFP.

Hence, the committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** as per **Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & Clause 2.20** of RFP.

3.2. M/s Ganpati Builders: The committee observed that the bidder has not mentioned the project work of “Surfacing on road Anini-Mipi km 24.063 to km 37.600 of 62 RCC under 752 BRTF Project Udayak”, under existing commitments as per **Clause 2.2.2.1** of RFP. As verified by BRO in 1st call, the work was awarded to the bidder on 24.11.2022 and it is yet to be completed, as such, the work has to be mentioned under existing commitments. Hence, committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** for materially misrepresenting the information as per **Clause 2.6.2** of RFP.

3.3. M/s GRRB Associates: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP.

3.4. M/s Kaushal Sharma: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP

3.5. M/s B.A Enterprises.: The Committee observed the following:

- a) Bidder has not furnished any similar work required as per **Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)** of the RFP.
- b) Bidder has submitted the work “Construction Road from Pakke to Khenewa under CRF scheme” for calculating threshold technical capacity, which is found to be not genuine, and hence not considered for calculating threshold technical capacity, therefore Threshold Technical Capacity of the bidder is less than the requirement as per **Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)** of RFP. However, committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** for materially misrepresenting the information as per **Clause 2.6.2, Section-2 (ITB)** of RFP

Hence, the committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** as per **Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & Clause 2.6.2** of the RFP.

3.6. M/s Barapani Enterprises: The Committee observed the following:

- a) Bidder has not submitted Bid Security in the form of Bank Guarantee as required under **Clause 2.20** of RFP.
- b) Bidder has not furnished information related to bid capacity as per format at Annexure-VI to calculate the value of ‘A’ & ‘B’ which is required as per **Clause 2.2.2.1** of RFP.
- c) Bid capacity of the bidder is less than the minimum requirement as per **Clause 2.2.2.1** of RFP.
- d) Threshold Technical Capacity of the bidder is less than the requirement as per **Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)** of RFP.
- e) Bidder has not furnished auditor certificate specifying financial capacity as required under **Clause 2.2.2.3** of RFP.

Hence, the committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** as per **Clause 2.2 & Clause 2.20** of the RFP.

3.7. M/s Buru Enterprises: The committee observed that the project details submitted by the bidder doesn't qualify for similar capacity work under category 1 & 3 which is required as per **Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)** of RFP. Hence, committee found the bidder as **technically non-responsive** as per **Clause 2.2** of RFP.

3.8. M/s Puna Hinda: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP.

3.9. M/s Sanjay Kumar Singh: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP.

3.10. M/s Sri Ram Enterprises: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP.

3.11. M/s Tama Fabrication Work: The committee observed that the bidder has not mentioned the project "C/o Road from Yoglu to Yapap Geko (Ph-II, L = 17.00 km) Pistana Circle in Lower Subansiri District under CRF." under existing commitments as per **Clause 2.2.2.1** of RFP. However, the work was started by the bidder on 13.12.2018 and it is yet to be completed, as such, the work has to be mentioned under existing commitments. Hence, committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** for materially misrepresenting the information as per **Clause 2.6.2** of RFP.

3.12. M/s Zeliezhu & Sons: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP.

3.13. M/s Purbanchal Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP.

3.14. M/s Tenzing Construction: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive** as per **Clause 3.1.6** of RFP.

4. In view of the above, the committee found following 8 (Eight) bidders to be technically responsive and recommended for opening their financial proposals for the work "Strengthening and road safety for Namsai-Dirak road section of NH 15 from Ch. 832.00 Km to Ch. 845.00 Km under Jairampur Highway Division in the State of Arunachal Pradesh for the year 2022-23 on EPC Mode (2nd Call)".

- | | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| (i) M/s GRRB Associates | (v) M/s Sri Ram Enterprises |
| (ii) M/s Kaushal Sharma | (vi) M/s Zeliezhu & Sons |
| (iii) M/s Puna Hinda | (vii) M/s Purbanchal Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. |
| (iv) M/s Sanjay Kumar Singh | (viii) M/s Tenzing Construction |




Executive Engineer
Jairampur Highway Division,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh




Regional Officer, Itanagar
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways



Chief Engineer
Highway Zone,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh



Superintending Engineer,
Highway Zone,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh



Sr. Finance & Accounts Officer
Highway Zone,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh