

1.0 **MAIN BODY:**

2.1 As on bid due date & time, following 03 offers were received in GePNIC portal:

- 1) M/s Namrata Engineering
- 2) M/s Ravindra Construction Co.
- 3) M/s Suryakant Earthmoving Equipment Co.

2.2 Subsequent to opening of technical bid on 01/04/2023, case was forwarded to indenting dept. for TBA. As per TBA dtd 03/04/2023, offers of M/s Namrata Engineering and M/s Suryakant Earthmoving Equipment Co. were found technically acceptable and offer of M/s Ravindra Construction Co. was found technically not acceptable. (Refer TBA placed opposite in file at page no. 172)

As per CBA placed opposite in file at page no. 173-174, wherein commercial queries were identified in all the 03 offers mentioned above.

Accordingly, techno-commercial clarification were sought vide email/letter dated 04/04/2023 for submission of reply latest by 07/04/2023 from the following 02 bidders. However, TQ/CQ was not sent to M/s Ravindra Construction Co. as their offer was technically not acceptable. (Refer TQ/CQ placed opposite in file at page no. 175-178):

- 1) M/s Namrata Engineering
- 2) M/s Suryakant Earthmoving Equipment Co.

Later, on schedule date and time, responses from all 02 bidders were received within stipulated due date.

Accordingly, CBA is prepared & vetted in F&A dept. and the conclusion as below:

S.N.	Name of Bidders	Technical Comments	Commercial Comments
1	Namrata Engineering	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable
2	Ravindra Construction Co.	Technically not Acceptable	Commercially not Acceptable
3	Suryakant Earthmoving Equipment Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable

2.3 On deliberations, bidder-wise observation is as under:

The following bidder have submitted all the requisite documents pertaining to techno-commercial criteria (refer CBA placed at page no. 203-204) and have confirmed acceptance to all the terms and conditions of the tender and not taken any deviations to tender:

- 1) M/s Namrata Engineering
- 2) M/s Suryakant Earthmoving Equipment Co.

Further, the offer of the following bidder is techno-commercially not acceptable and the brief details are as below:

- 1) M/s RAVINDRA CONSTRUCTION CO. → Bidder has not submitted documents for evaluation of technical BEC criteria. Hence, the bid is technically not acceptable and over all the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.

3.0 **BIDDER'S STATUS UNDER MSMED ACT:**

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1) M/s Namrata Engineering | : Yes, Micro |
| 2) M/s Suryakant Earthmoving Equipment Co. | : Yes, Micro |