

Dated: 02.12.2022

To

All Bidders as per list below

Subject: Improvement works of Chittorgarh Bypass to Kota Section (from km 891.929 to km 1052.429) of NH-27 in the State of Rajasthan under EPC mode -Reg.

Please refer to RFP invitation for the above project. RFP applications of the respective bidders have been evaluated as per eligibility and qualifications requirements as per the RFP document. The result of technical evaluation as approved by the Competent Authority in NHIPMPL is as under -

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Result
1.	M/s Shivam Infra Tech Pvt Ltd	Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.
2.	M/s Apex Tarmac Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
3.	M/s Mehta Construction Co.	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s Gawar Construction Limited	Technically Responsive
5.	M/s KK Gupta Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
6.	M/s Jainco Enterprises Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s GURBACHAN SINGH CONTRACTOR	Technically Responsive

2. The reason for Technical Non-Responsiveness of the bidder is enclosed as Annexure-A. Representations, if any, on the technical evaluation shall be submitted to the Authority latest by 08.11.2022 (11:00AM).

3. The Technically Responsive bidders shall submit the updated status of Bid capacity as on 08.11.2022, in terms with Clause 2.2.2.1 (Note-4) of RFP. The format for submission of updated Bid Capacity is enclosed as Annexure-B.

4. The date of opening of Financial Bids of the Technically Responsive Bidders will be intimated later on by the Authority.

(R. K. Rathee)
Advisor (T)

Encl. As above.

1) Reason for Technical Non-Responsiveness of M/s Shivam Infra Tech Pvt. Ltd.

In project code 'A', Bidder had been awarded work amounting to Rs. 31.39 Crore (against the tender estimated value of Rs. 49.00 Crore) whereas Bidder had executed the work amounting to Rs. 35.21 Crore upto 23.06.2022. As per client certificate and Statutory Auditor Certificate, the value of work done upto 31.03.2022 is Rs. 30.70 Crore which is less than 90% of the value of work i.e. 35.21 Crore. Hence, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria as specified in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP.

In view of above, the bid of M/s Shivam Infra Tech Pvt. Ltd. has not been considered for further evaluation and declared as technically non-responsive.

Information required to evaluate the Updated BID Capacity under clause 2.2.2.1:

To calculate the value of “A” and “C”

1. A table containing value of Civil Engineering Works in respect of EPC Projects (Turnkey projects / Item rate contract/ Construction works) undertaken by the Bidder during the last 5 years is as follows (the amount of bonus received, if any, shall be indicated separately):

- 2.

Sl. No.	Year	Value of Civil Engg. Works undertaken w.r.t. EPC Projects including bonus, if any (Rs. in Crores)	Amount of bonus (Rs. in Crores)	Net Value excluding bonus (Rs. in Crores)
1	2021-22/2021			
2	2020-21/2020			
3	2019-20/2019			
4	2018-19/2018			
5	2017-18/2017			

3. Maximum value of projects that have been undertaken during the F.Y. _____ out of the last 5 years and value excluding amount of bonus thereof is Rs. _____ Crores (Rupees _____). Further, value updated to the price level of the year indicated in Appendix is as follows:

Rs. _____ Crores x _____ (Updation Factor as per Appendix) = Rs. _____ Crores (Rupees _____)

4. Amount of bonus received, if any, in EPC Projects during the last 5 years (updated to the price level of the year indicated in Appendix):

Sl. No.	F.Y. / Calendar Year	Amount of Bonus (Rs. in Crores)	Updation Factor	Updated Amount of Bonus (Rs. in Crores)
1	2021-22/2021		1.00	
2	2020-21/2020		1.05	
3	2019-20/2019		1.10	
4	2018-19/2018		1.15	
5	2017-18/2017		1.20	
			Total (C)=	

.....

 Name of the Statutory Auditor's firm:
 Seal of the audit firm: (Signature, name and designation and Membership No. of authorised signatory)

.....

 Signature, name and designation of Authorised Signatory

 For and on behalf of(Name of the Bidder)

Date:
 Place:

To calculate the value of “B”

A table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 1.0 years is as follows:

Sl. No.	Name of Project/ Work	Percentage of participation of Bidder in the project	Date of issue of LOA / Date of opening of financial bid / Date of start / appointed date of project	Construction period as per Agreement/ LOA	Value of contract as per Agreement /LOA ^B	Value of work completed	Balance value of work to be completed	Anticipated date of completion	Balance value of work at 2021-22/2021 price level
					Rs. in Crore	Rs. in Crore	Rs. in Crore		Rs. in Crore
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8= (6-7)	9	10(3x 8x #)
	Total								

Updation Factor as given below:

For Year	F.Y. / Calendar Year	Updation Factor
1	2021-22/2021	1.00
2	2020-21/2021	1.05
3	2019-20/2019	1.10
4	2018-19/2018	1.15
5	2017-18/2017	1.20

The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid and anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works/ works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually / and other member M/s and M/s, **as on 08.11.2022**

.....

 Signature, name and designation of
 Authorised Signatory
 For and on behalf of(Name of
 the Bidder)

.....

 Name of the Statutory Auditor's firm:
 Seal of the audit firm: (Signature,
 name and designation and Membership
 No. of authorised signatory and UDIN)

Date:

Place:

^B In case balance period of construction is less than the value of period of construction of the project for which bid is invited, then full value of contract as per Agreement/LOA to be mentioned, else, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited is to be mentioned. In the absence of the anticipated value of work to be completed, the proportionate value shall be considered while evaluating the Assessed Available Bid Capacity.