

Result of Technical Bids

Tender ID: 2022_NHAI_124510_1

Dated: 23.11.2022

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub.: Construction of Four Lane Highway from Gazipur - Ballia - UP/Bihar State Border (Greenfield) section of NH-31: **Package IV** [Buxar Spur of length 17.270 km] on EPC Mode in the state of Uttar Pradesh (Tender ID: 2022_NHAI_124510_1).

Sir,

This has reference to RFP submitted for above subject project. The result of technical evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
1.	MCCMahadeo Private Limited - Vidya Infrabuild Private Limited (Joint Venture)	Technically Disqualified	Both the Members of the Joint Venture of the Bidder claimed Net Worth as on 31.03.2021 in its Technical Bid and Lead Member submitted an Undertaking dated 14.07.2022 w.r.t. the fact that the audit of the latest financial year was not completed. The Lead Member has submitted a Certificate from its Statutory Auditor wherein it is mentioned that the balance sheet of FY 2021-22 were audited before Bid due date: 20.10.2022, however, the Bidder has not submitted the audited financial statement for FY 2021-22. In view of the above and in the absence of complete audited financial statements for the FY 2021-22, the Net Worth as on 31.03.2022 of both the JV Members cannot be assessed and also the Net Worth as on 31.03.2021 may not be considered, since, the audit of the latest financial year was completed before Bid Due Date. Therefore, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP.
2.	ATLAS- ATCON JV	Qualified	Technically Responsive
3.	BCPL-PRL (JV)	Technically Disqualified	Lead member along with its replies has submitted the copies of Tax Invoice, LOAs etc. instead of submitting the certificates issued by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of

			Executive Engineer and also, the Tax invoices are not countersigned by the Engineer in charge. Therefore, In the absence of duly signed copies of RA Bill Statements/certificates in support of the value of work done for ongoing projects, countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in RFP.
4.	Lall Construction Co.	Technically Disqualified	(i) Bidder has not submitted the certificates w.r.t. value of B which is countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent. In the absence of duly signed copies of RA Bill Statements/Certificates in support of the value of work done for ongoing projects, countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in RFP. (ii) Bidder along with its reply has not clarified through some supporting documents that M/s Lall Construction Co., has executed the Bridge work having span of more than 38.00 meter in the project claimed, which was specifically asked for from the Bidder in the clarification sought. Further, as contended by the Bidder; ➤ In Project Code 'a', the length of Span is 30.50 meter. Hence, the same may not be considered. ➤ Further, in case of Project Code 'b', no supporting document has been submitted by the Bidder, hence, the same has not been considered. ➤ Further, in case of Project Code "c", we have duly perused the certificate and as per said certificate the maximum span length of the Bridge is 72 m (1x72.0 m as mentioned in the certificate). Further, no supporting document has been submitted by the Bidder substantiating the fact that the complete work of ROB has been executed by the Bidder itself. Therefore, in the absence of any supporting documents and conservatively, after applying the share of applicant in the project i.e. 51% in the bridge span length, the span length executed by the Bidder in proportion to its share in the JV is

			coming out to be less than 38m required as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. In view of the above, the Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than 38 meter, which is required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP
5.	KCC Builcon (P) Ltd.- HRY Kundu Buildtech (P) Ltd. (Joint Venture)	Qualified	Technically Responsive
6.	Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Qualified	Technically Responsive
7.	RAC Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	The files submitted by the Bidder on e-tender portal of NHAI in its Technical Bid are password protected and the password of the same has not been furnished by the Bidder. Therefore, we are unable to open the said files and consequently, we are unable to evaluate the Bid submitted by the said Bidders.
8.	T and T Infra Limited	Non-Responsive	The files submitted by the Bidder on e-tender portal of NHAI in its Technical Bid are password protected and the password of the same has not been furnished by the Bidder. Therefore, we are unable to open the said files and consequently, we are unable to evaluate the Bid submitted by the said Bidders.

2. Financial Bid of the above-mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of Technical Evaluation on the web portal for 7 days (i.e., till **29.11.2022**) as per Clause 2.19 of RFP. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.