

**Dated: 13.10.2022**

**Result of Technical Evaluation of “Operation & Maintenance of Bidhipur to Dhilwan section of NH-1 (New NH- 03) from km 387.100 to km. 407.100 in the state of Punjab”**

| S. No. | Name of the Bidder                | Technical Evaluation | Remarks                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.     | Aggarwal Construction Co.         | Non-Responsive       | The bidder confirming in its response that the annual account was audited on September 8, 2022. However, the bid due date of this project was 06.08.2022. accordingly, the audited report for FY 2021-22 cannot be considered for evaluation (as it was audited after the bid due date). Hence, we have considered the turnover of Rs. 46.51 crore for FY 2019-20, which has been updated according to the RFP to Rs. 61.56 crore. However, after considering the above facts, the bidder fails to meet the minimum required bid capacity as specified in RFP clause 4.6, <b>and thus the bid Considered as non-responsive.</b> |
| 2.     | Satish Aggarwal & Co.             | Non-Responsive       | Reply Not Received from the bidder. Hence, in absence of the clarification from the bidder, the bidder may be considered Non-responsive, as per the bid submitted the bidder is not meeting the required criteria as per RFP. Further, Bidder has insufficient Bid Capacity. Accordingly, the bidder may be <b>considered as Non-Responsive.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 3.     | Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. | Responsive           | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 4.     | MYC Infra Pvt. Ltd.               | Non-Responsive       | 1. The bidder does not have experience of work related to construction/ maintenance of Expressway/ National Highways/ State Highways. <b>Hence bidder does not meet the minimum required qualification criteria as</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

| S. No. | Name of the Bidder         | Technical Evaluation | Remarks                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|        |                            |                      | <p>per RFP clause 4.4 (b). Hence considered as <b>Non- Responsive</b>.</p> <p>2. The bidder has not submitted the Integrity pact in its original bid. The submission requirement of the Integrity Pact is clearly stated in RFP clause 12.2. therefore, the reason for non-submission of the integrity pact mentioned by the bidder may not be considered. as per RFP clause 23.4 (iv) “<i>no additional documents in support of clarification will be entertained</i>”. Hence submission made by bidder not considered for evaluation and bid considered as <b>Non-Responsive</b>.</p> |
| 5.     | Shivam Construction Co.    | Non-Responsive       | CV (Project Manager) provided by the bidder is not as per the requirement of the RFP (please refer clause 4.4 B (b) (ii) Technical Personnel). Hence, the bidder may be considered <b>Non- Responsive</b> .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 6.     | Gurbachan Singh Contractor | Non-Responsive       | As the bidder has not provided the one more CV of “Quantity Surveyor cum Materials Eng.” as per the requirement of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered <b>Non-Responsive</b> as per the RFP (please refer clause 4.4 B (b) (ii) Technical Personnel).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

Note: Any Clarification/correspondence may be submitted to Sh. D. Srinivasulu-GM(T) ([dnaidu@nhai.org](mailto:dnaidu@nhai.org)) , Sh. Kamal Kant Mishra-GM(T)( [kamalkant@nhai.org](mailto:kamalkant@nhai.org)) before 1300 hrs on 17.10.2022.

2. Financial opening of Bids shall be done on 1400 hrs at 17.10.2022 in the E tender Room, Old Building, NHAI-HQ.