



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

श्रेणीय कार्यालय : 41-29-45A, सर्वे नं: 373/2A, कोदंडरामालयम्, चलासानी नगर,

रानीगारीतोटा, कृष्णालंका, विजयावाडा - 520 013, आन्ध्र प्रदेश

Regional Office : D.No. 41-29-45A, RS.No. : 373/2A, Kodandaramalayam, Chalasani Nagar,

Ranigari Thota, Krishna Lanka, Vijayawada - 520 013, Andhra Pradesh.

फोन / Tel : 0866-2483910, ई-मेल/e-mail: rovijayawada@nhai.org, nhairovja@gmail.com

वेब/ web: www.nhai.gov.in



Ref : NHAI/RO-VJA/Faci-Tend/2022/ 55

Date : 05-01-2023

To

All Bidders (e-mail)

Sub: Facility Services in NHAI's Regional Office and its Project Implementation Units. (Providing manpower viz. Site Engineers, Young Professionals, Young Horticulture Experts, Datalake Experts, Stenographers, Accountants, Computer Operators, Office Assistants, Peon, Chowkidar / Security Guards, Sweepers) for two years (on e-Tendering mode) - Technical Evaluation of Bids - Revised Result - Reg.

- Ref : (i) Tender ID No.2022_NHAI_118976_1
(ii) Result of Technical Evaluation dated 13.12.2022.
(iii) This office letter of even No.4171 dated 22.12.2022.
(iii) M/s. Quess Corp Ltd. Lr. No.Nil dated 22.12.2022.
(iv) M/s. Seva Supreme Detective and Security Services Private Ltd. Lr. dated 23.12.2022.
(v) M/s. Firstman Management Services Pvt. Ltd. mail dated 22.12.2022.
(vi) M/s. Singhu Solutions mail dated 22.12.2022.

* * * * *

Based on the representations received on the technical evaluation for the subject bid and after examination of the same by the constituted committee, the committee finalized the revised technical scores of the eleven bidders as detailed below:

S. No.	Agency	Technical Evaluation Score obtained out of 100	Result of Technical Evaluation	Remarks
1.	M/s. Seva Supreme Detective and Security Services Private Limited, Guntur	55	Not Eligible	The bidder is not eligible as per clause 4.2.1(d) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP as marks obtained by bidder in its technical bid is less than minimum 70 marks.
2.	M/s. Firstman Management Services India (P) Ltd., Chennai	95	Responsive	--
3.	M/s. Scientific Security Services Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi	100	Responsive	--
4.	M/s. Quess Corp Limited, New Delhi	100	Responsive	--
5.	M/s. Volson & Co., Bhopal	50	Not Eligible / Rejected	The bidder is not eligible as per clause 4.2.1(d) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP as marks obtained by bidder in its technical bid is less than minimum 70 marks and also the bid is rejected as per clause 8.2 of Section-II due to non-submission of documents required as per clause 4(g)(a) & (b) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP.

[Signature]

S. No.	Agency	Technical Evaluation Score obtained out of 100	Result of Technical Evaluation	Remarks
6	M/s. Sigma Infotech, Bhopal	69	Not Eligible / Rejected	The bidder is not eligible as per clause 4.2.1(d) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP as marks obtained by bidder in its technical bid is less than minimum 70 marks and also the bid is rejected as per clause 8.2 of Section-II due to non-submission of documents required as per clause 4(g)(a), (b) & (g) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP.
7.	M/s. Eagle Security & Personnel Service, Mumbai	--	Rejected	The bid is rejected as per clause 4(v) of Section-III as bidder has not submitted the bid security and original documents viz. Annexures-I, II, III & IV required as per clause 2(ii) of Section-III (ITB) of RFP.
8.	M/s. Corporate Housekeeping Services (India) Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi	89	Rejected	The bid is rejected as per clause 8.2 of Section-II due to non-submission of documents required as per clause 4(g)(a) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP.
9.	M/s. Singhu Solutions, Vijayawada	73	Responsive	--
10.	M/s. Indian Security Force, Rajahmundry	83	Responsive	--
11.	M/s. BSA Corporation Limited, Pune	77	Rejected	The bid is rejected as per clause 8.2 of Section-II due to non-submission of documents required as per clause 4(g)(a) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP.
12.	M/s. Balachandika Security Force India Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad	75	Rejected	The bid is rejected as per clause 8.2 of Section-II due to non-submission of documents required as per clause 4(g)(a) & (g) of Section-II (ITB) of RFP.
13.	M/s. Surya Teja Facilities Management Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad	--	Rejected	The bid is rejected as per clause 4(v) of Section-III as bidder has not submitted the bid security and original documents viz. Annexures-I, II, III & IV required as per clause 2(ii) of Section-III (ITB) of RFP.

2. The detailed technical evaluation sheet comprising scores obtained by eleven (11) bidders is enclosed herewith as Annexure.

3. The financial bids of the responsive bidders will be opened on 06.01.2023 at 3.00 PM.

Encl : As above.


(R.K. Singh)
Regional officer
RO-Vijayawada

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by VENKATA LAKSHMI VULLEM
Date: 2023.01.06 12:51:19 IST
Location: eProcurement System for Central PSUs