

Date 20.05.2022

Minutes of Meeting of Tender Evaluation Committee held on 20.05.2022 @ 15:00 hrs at O/o Regional Officer, Vijayawada, for Opening and Evaluation of Financial Bids for the work of Appointment of Safety consultant Services for Operation & Maintenance of TOT packages of NH-16 in the State of Andhra Pradesh for

(i) Development of Six Lane Aluru - Jakkuva Section of NH-130-CD road from Km. 365+033 to Km. 396+800 (Package - 1) under Raipur - Visakhapatnam Economics Corridor,

(ii) Development of Six Lane Jakkuva - Korlam Section of NH-130-CD road from Km. 396+800 to Km. 421+000 (Package - 2) under Raipur - Visakhapatnam Economics Corridor,

(iii) Development of Six Lane Korlam - Kantakapalle Section of NH-130-CD road from Km. 421+000 to Km. 445+100 (Package - 3) under Raipur - Visakhapatnam Economics Corridor &

(iv) Development of Six Lane Kantakapalle - Sabbavaram Section of NH-130-CD road from Km. 445+100 to Km. 464+662 (Package - 4) under Raipur - Visakhapatnam Economics Corridor.

Competent Authority vide Office Note dated 19.05.2022 accorded approval for the following:

- (i) To intimate all the four bidders that the Financial Bids shall be opened on 20.05.2022 @ 15:00 Hrs at RO, Vijayawada.
- (ii) For opening of Financial Proposals of following four technically responsive firms:

S. No	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s. Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Private Limited
2	M/s. Credence Imngineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd
3	M/s. InfoTrans Engineers Pvt. Ltd (Team-3)
4	M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services

2. Evaluation Committee met on 20.05.2022 @ 15:00 Hrs at RO, Vijayawada to open the Financial Bids and observed the following:

- (i) No authorized representative from any of the four firms was present.
- (ii) As per Clause 2.15.1 of Section-2 : Instructions to Applicants, "Applicants shall submit the financial proposal in the formats at Annexure-II of Form 7 (the "Financial Proposal") clearly indicating the total cost of the Consultancy in both figures and words, in Indian Rupees, and signed by the Applicant's Authorized Representative."
- (iii) As per Clause 3.2.1 of Section-2: Instructions to Applicants, "The financial evaluation will be carried out for all the responsive proposals as specified in RFP."
- (iv) The amounts quoted by the technically responsive bidders are as under:

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Quoted Amount in Rs.
1	M/s. Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Private Limited	91,65,000/-
2	M/s. Credence Imngineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd	1,29,00,000/-
3	M/s. InfoTrans Engineers Pvt. Ltd (Team-3)	1,71,15,750/-
4	M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services	88,90,000/-

- (v) During evaluation of the firm M/s. Info Trans Engineers Pvt. Ltd, it has been observed that in S. No. 2: Submission of GAP Report of Item 3: Reports and Documents Cost under Annexure-V of Financial bid the No. of Copies were proposed as 25 and accordingly the amount has been calculated for 5 copies as given in RFP against the item. The total has been accordingly corrected and considered for comparison of



quoted amount. Hence, it was decided by the evaluation committee to modify the No. of Copies to 5 and finalize the amount accordingly.

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Quoted Amount in Rs.	Modified Amount in Rs.
1	M/s. Chaltanya Projects Consultancy Private Limited	91,65,000/-	91,65,000/-
2	M/s. Credence Imngineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd	1,29,00,000/-	1,29,00,000/-
3	M/s. InfoTrans Engineers Pvt. Ltd (Team-3)	1,71,15,750/-	1,68,95,750/-
4	M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services	88,90,000/-	88,90,000/-

- (vi) As per Clause 3.2.2 of Section - 2: Instructions to Applicants, "For financial evaluation, the total cost indicated in the Financial Proposal, excluding Additional Costs, will be considered. Additional costs shall include items specified as such in Form-2 of Appendix-II." However, no additional costs were proposed by the bidders during submission of financial proposals.
- (vii) As per Clause 2.25.1 of Section - 2: Instructions to Applicants, "Selected bidder may, if necessary, be invited for negotiations. The negotiations shall generally not be for reducing the price of the Proposal, but will be for re-confirming the obligations of the Consultant under this RFP. Issues such as availability/ deployment of Key Personnel as per empanelment, understanding of the RFP, methodology and quality of the work plan shall be discussed during negotiations. In case the Selected Applicant fails to reconfirm its commitment, the Authority reserves the right to designate the next ranked Applicant as the Selected Applicant and invite it for negotiations."
- (viii) As per Clause 2.25.2 of Section - 2: Instructions to Applicants, "The Authority will ensure presence of all key Professional Personnel in person and those not found available shall be dealt as per Clause 2.26.1."
- (ix) As per Clause 2.26.1 of Section - 2: Instructions to Applicants, "During negotiation, Firm will not be allowed to change the key personnel as proposed at the time of empanelment; bids of those firms which propose change of Key Personnel during negotiation shall be liable to be cancelled and the negotiation will be carried out with the next ranked applicant."
- (x) As per Clause 3.2.3 of Section - 2: Instructions to Applicants, "The Authority will determine whether the Financial Proposals are complete, unqualified and unconditional. The cost indicated in the Financial Proposal shall be deemed as final and reflecting the total cost of services. Omissions, if any, in costing any item shall not entitle the firm to be compensated and the liability to fulfill its obligations as per the TOR within the total quoted price shall be that of the Consultant."
- (xi) As per Clause 3.2.4 of Section - 2: Instructions to Applicants, "The Selected Applicant shall be the first ranked Applicant (having the lowest quote). The second ranked Applicant shall be kept in reserve and may be invited in case the first ranked Applicant withdraws, or fails to comply with the requirements specified in Clauses of RFP."

10

12

13

3. The following are the rankings of the bidders based on the amounts quoted by them:

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Quoted Amount in Rs.	Rank of the Bidder
1	M/s. Chaltanya Projects Consultancy Private Limited	91,65,000/-	Rank-2
2	M/s. Credence Imengineering Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd	1,29,00,000/-	Rank-3
3	M/s. IrifoTrans Engineers Pvt. Ltd (Team-3)	1,68,95,750/-	Rank-4
4	M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services	88,90,000/-	Rank-1


4. The Committee opines that M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services has quoted the lowest amount of Rs.88,90,000/- and therefore may be declared as 1st rank applicant as per Clause 3.2.4 of Instructions to Applicants of the RFP.

5. In view of the above, the Committee recommends the following subject to approval of the Competent Authority:


5.1 To negotiate with the M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services to re-confirm the obligations of the Consultant as per RFP and to ensure presence of all key Professional Personnel as per Clause 2.25.1 & 2.25.2 of RFP.


5.2 After successful re-confirmation of its obligations from M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services, to award the Consultancy work to M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services being the selected applicant (1st Rank Applicant) for an amount of Rs.88,90,000/- as per Clause 2.28 of Instructions to Applicants of RFP.


5.3 To obtain CV of Sub-Key Personnel proposed by M/s. Road Link Consultancy Services as per Clause 2.1.4 of RFP.


GMRV. Prasad
Accounts Officer
RO, Vijayawada
Member

— On leave —
Saurabh Chaurasiya
Manager (T), Vizianagaram
Member


Rohit Kumar
PD, PIU, Vizianagaram
Member


K. V. D. Ranjani 20/5/22
DGM (Tech.),
RO, Vijayawada
Member Secretary


T. Suresh Kumar 20/5/22
DGM (Tech.),
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Not Ve
by VENK
ALA
15 16:53:4
urement \$

eme