

- 2.2 Subsequent to the opening of the technical bid on 14/10/2022, the case was forwarded to the indenting dept. for TQS. As per TQS, technical queries were identified in all offers:

As per CQS placed opposite on the file page no. 64-67, wherein commercial queries were identified in all 9 offers.

Accordingly, as per TQ CQ was raised to the following all 09 bidders through E-Mail on 09/11/2022:

- 1) M/S. ECOSI ENERGY PVT LTD
- 2) M/S. FCG POWER INDUSTRIES PVT LTD
- 3) M/S. FLAMEPROOF EQUIPMENTS PVT. LTD
- 4) M/S. FLEXPLO ELECTRICALS PVT. LTD
- 5) M/S. GAURAV HEAVY ENGINEERING (I) PVT. LTD
- 6) M/S. Hind Electrical Co
- 7) M/S. Oorja On Move Infra Private Limited
- 8) M/S. Shakti Fixtures Pvt. Ltd
- 9) M/S. Vijayshree Steel Industries

Later on the scheduled date and time, responses from 5 bidders were received within the stipulated due date and forwarded to the indenter for technical evaluation.

Accordingly, TBA has been received no bid was found technically acceptable. Hence tender committee recommends for the second time TQ, CQ.

- Second time technical & commercial clarifications were sought by email for submission of reply latest by 26.11.2021. Responses were received from 07 bidders within the due date and time. M/s. GAURAV HEAVY ENGINEERING (I) PVT. LTD submitted 1 day (Holiday) late response. M/s. Vijayshree Steel Industries has not responded to our TQ/CQ.

Accordingly, TBA has been received and CBA is prepared & vetted in the F&A dept. the conclusion is as below:

S.N.	Name of Bidders	Technical Comments	Commercial Comments
1	ECOSI ENERGY PVT LTD	<i>Technically Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>
2	FCG POWER INDUSTRIES PVT LTD	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
3	FLAMEPROOF EQUIPMENTS PVT. LTD	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
4	FLEXPLO ELECTRICALS PVT. LTD	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
5	GAURAV HEAVY ENGINEERING (I) PVT. LTD	<i>Technically Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>
6	Hind Electrical Co	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
7	Oorja On Move Infra Private Limited	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>
8	Shakti Fixtures Pvt. Ltd	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>

- 2.3 On deliberations, bidder-wise observation is as under:

The following bidders have submitted all the required documents pertaining to techno-commercial criteria (refer TBA and CBA placed on page no. 381-384 and 385-387 respectively) and have confirmed acceptance of all the terms and conditions of the tender and have not taken any deviations to tender:

- 1) M/S. ECOSI ENERGY PVT LTD
- 2) M/S. GAURAV HEAVY ENGINEERING (I) PVT. LTD

Further, the offer of the following bidder is techno-commercially rejected and the brief details are as below:

- 1) M/s. FCG POWER INDUSTRIES PVT LTD → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Power of Attorney not submitted. Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 2) M/s. FLAMEPROOF EQUIPMENTS PVT. LTD → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Power of Attorney not submitted and F-2 not submitted on letterhead, share land border with India format not submitted. Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 3) M/s. FLEXPPO ELECTRICALS PVT. LTD → Offer is technically not acceptable as the Vendor is offering the fittings with only 02 nos. of cable entry holes but as per tender requirement, the fitting shall have 3 nos. of cable entry holes. Power of Attorney not submitted and F-2 not submitted on letterhead. The contract period/ completion schedule was not accepted by the bidder, Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 4) M/s. Hind Electrical Co → Offer is technically not acceptable as the Vendor is offering the fittings with only 02 nos. of cable entry holes but as per tender requirement, the fitting shall have 3 nos. of cable entry holes. The vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Share land border with India format not submitted. Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 5) M/s. Oorja On Move Infra Private Limited → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Details catalogue of the offered product not submitted. Hence bid is technically not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 6) M/s. Shakti Fixtures Pvt. Ltd → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Hence bid is technically not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 7) M/s. Vijayshree Steel Industries has not responded to our TQ/CQ

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by PRADEEP KUMAR SHUKLA
Date: 2022.12.20 11:47:19 IST
Location: eProcurement System for Central PSUs