

Accordingly, TBA has been received and CBA is prepared & vetted in the F&A dept. the conclusion is as below:

S.N.	Name of Bidders	Technical Comments	Commercial Comments
1	ECOSI ENERGY PVT LTD	<i>Technically Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>
2	FCG POWER INDUSTRIES PVT LTD	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
3	FLAMEPROOF EQUIPMENTS PVT. LTD	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
4	FLEXPRO ELECTRICALS PVT. LTD	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
5	GAURAV HEAVY ENGINEERING (I) PVT. LTD	<i>Technically Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>
6	Hind Electrical Co	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Not Acceptable</i>
7	Oorja On Move Infra Private Limited	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>
8	Shakti Fixtures Pvt. Ltd	<i>Technically Not Acceptable</i>	<i>Commercially Acceptable</i>

2.3 On deliberations, bidder-wise observation is as under:

The following bidders have submitted all the required documents pertaining to techno-commercial criteria (refer TBA and CBA placed on page no. 381-384 and 385-387 respectively) and have confirmed acceptance of all the terms and conditions of the tender and have not taken any deviations to tender:

- 1) M/S. ECOSI ENERGY PVT LTD
- 2) M/S. GAURAV HEAVY ENGINEERING (I) PVT. LTD

Further, the offer of the following bidder is techno-commercially rejected and the brief details are as below:

- 1) M/s. FCG POWER INDUSTRIES PVT LTD → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Power of Attorney not submitted. Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 2) M/s. FLAMEPROOF EQUIPMENTS PVT. LTD → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Power of Attorney not submitted and F-2 not submitted on letterhead, share land border with India format not submitted. Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 3) M/s. FLEXPRO ELECTRICALS PVT. LTD → Offer is technically not acceptable as the Vendor is offering the fittings with only 02 nos. of cable entry holes but as per tender requirement, the fitting shall have 3 nos. of cable entry holes. Power of Attorney not submitted and F-2 not submitted on letterhead. The contract period/ completion schedule was not accepted by the bidder, Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 4) M/s. Hind Electrical Co → Offer is technically not acceptable as the Vendor is offering the fittings with only 02 nos. of cable entry holes but as per tender requirement, the fitting shall have 3 nos. of cable entry holes. The vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Share land border with India format not submitted. Hence bid is technically & commercially not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.
- 5) M/s. Oorja On Move Infra Private Limited → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Details catalogue of the offered product not submitted. Hence bid is technically not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.

- 6) M/s. Shakti Fixtures Pvt. Ltd → Offer is technically not acceptable as the vendor has not submitted the required test reports as mentioned in the technical query. Hence bid is technically not acceptable and overall the bid is techno-commercially not acceptable.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by PRADEEP KUMAR
SHUKLA

Date: 2023.01.02 14:51:41 IST

Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs