



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय/REGIONAL OFFICE

ई-2/167, अरेरा कॉलोनी, रानी कमलापती रेलवे स्टेशन के पास, भोपाल-462 016 (म.प्र.)

E-2/167, Aare Colony, Near Rani Kamlapati Railway Station, Bhopal-462 016 (M.P.)

दूरभाष/Phone : 0755-2426638, फैक्स/Fax : 0755-2426698, ई-मेल/E-mail : robhopal@nhai.org

NHAI/RO-Bhopal/Gwalior-Jhansi/IE/2022/46833 Date: 30.05.2022



To,

**All participated bidders
(As per table below)**

Sub: Independent Engineer Services during O&M Period for the project of Design, Construction, Development, Finance, Operation and Maintenance of Km. 1189 to Km. 1271.455 (Old Km. 16+000 to Km. 98+455) on National Highway No. 44 in the states of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh on (BOT) (Annuity) Basis- **Final Results of Technical Evaluation & Opening of Financial Bids - Reg.**

Ref.: Application for RFP with due date 06.01.2022

Sir,

The final result of technical evaluation pertaining to the subject project after consideration of representation of bidders are as under:

S. No.	Name of Firm	Final Technical Score	Responsiveness	Rank
1	M/s MSV International Inc. in Association with M/s Manglam Associates	89.83	Technically responsive	3 rd
2	M/s L. N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant*	93.22	Technically responsive	1 st
3	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in association with (i) Mahamarg Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and (ii) M/s TTL Engineering Pvt Ltd	92.15	Technically responsive	2 nd

2. The financial bids of above mentioned technical responsive bidders (if having minimum technical capacity) will be opened on 06.06.2022 at 17:00 hrs.

3. The above mentioned technical responsive bidders are requested to furnish updated details with respect to awarded & ongoing projects as per Appendix B-12 of RFP regarding technical capacity on the date of financial opening 06.06.2022 by 10:30 hrs, failure to provide requested information may result in rejection of proposal as per clause 3.2 of section-2 of RFP and bidder will be considered Non responsive.

4. This issues with approval of competent authority.

(Abdulla Javed Azmi)
Dy. General Manager(T)

Enclosures: Reply to the representation of the Bidder.

Reply to the representation of Bidders:

M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in association with (i) Mahamarg Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and (ii) M/s TTL Engineering Pvt. Ltd.: -

Sr. No.	Reference of Relevant Evaluation Criteria from the Evaluation sheet	Query/Objection of the bidder	Reply of the committee
1	<u>Section-4-Annexure-A-1(Evaluation Sheet (Relevant Experience of Firm for the Assignment)-Sr. No 7</u> Experience as Independent Engineer/Supervision Consultants one O&M project under DBFOT/OMT/O&M of four/six laning** of 50% of project length in last 7 years 1 project - 6 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2 marks.	Bidder has reference certificates at Page-304, 307-308, 310, 315 for consideration and has claimed 7.5 marks out of 8 marks, against 6.5 marks already awarded in the evaluation dated 01.04.2022.	As per Section 3-viii Only those projects have been considered in the evaluation that has been awarded in the last 7 years i.e 2014-2015 or later. Detailed justification for each certificate is as under: 1. Page no.: 304- work awarded in 2010. i.e before 7 years.0 Thus, not considered. 2 Page no.:307-308-now considered in evaluation. 3 Page no.: 310, 315: - Already considered in evaluation dated 01.04.2022. Thus, the revised score in the category stands at 7 marks out of maximum 8 marks.
2	<u>Section-4-Appendix-A-2 Evaluation Sheet (Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology)</u> Site Appreciation (i) Average0.50 (ii) Good0.75 (iii) Very Good1.00 <u>Section-4-Appendix-A-2 Evaluation Sheet (Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology)</u> Quality of Approach and (i) Average0.75 (ii) Good1.50 (iii) Very Good2.00	Bidder has again referenced the submission made in the technical bids and has requested to considered 5 marks out of maximum 5 marks against 3.75 marks originally awarded in evaluation dated 01.04.2022.	The marks has been awarded by the evaluation committee duly considering the submission made by the bidder and thus no claim in this regard can be considered.

	Section-4-Appendix-A-2 Evaluation Sheet (Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology)		
	Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan (i) Average 0.75 (ii) Good 1.50 (iii) Very Good 2.00		
3	Section-4-Appendix-A-4-1 Evaluation Sheet -Engineer In Charge -Sr. No.2.(iii)	Bidder has reference Project at Sr. No. 8,8,11,12 for the instant category and has claimed 5 marks out of maximum 5 marks, against the 4 marks awarded earlier in the evaluation dated 01.04.2022	Claim has been considered. Revised score in the category stands at 5 Marks out of maximum 5 marks.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by MANOJ KUMAR JAIN
Date: 2022.06.06 17:45:11 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs