

-:2:-

3. As per clause 8 of section 1- information to consultants of RFP, Financial proposal of the top following consulting firms shall be open on 27.02.2023 at 3.30 PM at Regional Office Jaipur.

Sl. No.	Name of the Firms
1.	M/s Artefact projects Limited in association with Renaissance IOT LLP
2.	M/s K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Jaiman Construction and Consultants LLP
3.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in association with M/s SNS Infra & Associates.
4.	M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s SRK Engineers and Consultants.
5.	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd in association with M/s Intratech Civil Solution & Consultant.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority

Encl: As above


(Pradeep Mudgal)
General Manager (Tech.)

To,

1. Web Admin, NHAI HQ, to upload on NHAI web portal.
2. M/s Artefact projects Limited in association with Renaissance IOT LLP
3. M/s K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Jaiman Construction and Consultants LLP
4. M/s Bloom Companies LLC in association with M/s SNS Infra & Associates.
5. M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s SRK Engineers and Consultants.
6. M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd in association with M/s Intratech Civil Solution & Consultant.

Supervision Consultancy (SC) services during O&M stage of Two laning with paved shoulder of Bhilwara-Ladpura section of NH-758 from 87.250 to 155.000 (Length Km. 67.250 Km) in the state of Rajasthan

S. No.	Description	Marks	Artefact Projects Limited in association with Renaissance IOT LLP		K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with Jainman Construction and Consultants LLP		Bloom Companies LLC in association with SNS INPRA & ASSOCIATES		MSV International Inc. in association with M/s SIKK Engineers and Consultants		U.N. MALVIYA INPRA PROJECTS PVT. LTD. in association with Intratech civil Solution & Consultant	
			As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	33.50	35.00	35.00	35.00	30.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	3.75	5.00	3.75	5.00	3.75	5.00	3.75	5.00	3.75	5.00
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	35.93	36.56	36.75	37.01	34.86	36.56	37.22	37.36	36.48	37.60
Total		100	93.18	96.56	95.50	97.01	88.61	96.56	95.97	97.36	95.23	97.60

S. No. (4 above)	Staff Position	Marks per Staff	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder
1	Team Leader cum Highway Engineer	100	91.00	91.00	91.00	91.00	91.00	91.00	95.00	95.00	94.00	96.00
		wt. 19	17.29	17.29	17.29	17.29	17.29	17.29	18.05	18.05	17.86	18.24
2	Highway maintenance cum Resident Engineer	100	87.50	91.00	91.00	91.00	86.50	91.00	91.00	91.00	85.50	91.00
		wt. 0.12	10.50	10.92	10.92	10.92	10.38	10.92	10.92	10.92	10.26	10.92
4	Bridge/Structural Engineer	100	91.00	95.00	91.00	95.00	91.00	95.00	90.00	91.00	89.00	91.00
		wt. 4	3.64	3.80	3.64	3.80	3.64	3.80	3.60	3.64	3.56	3.64
5	Road Safety Expert	100	90.00	91.00	98.00	100.00	71.00	91.00	93.00	95.00	96.00	96.00
		wt. 5	4.50	4.55	4.90	5.00	3.55	4.55	4.65	4.75	4.80	4.80
	Total	40	35.93	36.56	36.75	37.01	34.86	36.56	37.22	37.36	36.48	37.60