



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/KNT/TN/KA Border-Hoskote/2021/129587

Date: 24.03.2022

To,
All Bidders,
(As per list attached)

Sub: Construction of 4 lane Green Field Highway from TN/KA Border (Chainage 0+000) to Hoskote (Chainage 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state of Karnataka on EPC mode - **Result of Preliminary Technical Evaluation - reg.**

Ref: NIT No. NHAI/KNT/TN/KA Border-Hoskote/2021 dated 17.12.2021.

This has reference to the above mentioned subject project for which the bid was invited vide NIT No. NHAI/KNT/TN/KA Border-Hoskote/2021 dated 17.12.2021. The Online Technical bids for the subject project were opened on 25.02.2022 at 11:30 AM at NHAI HQ. This is for all Concerned bidders that the bids submitted by their firms for the subject project have been evaluated and the results of preliminary technical evaluation are as under:-

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(i)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
		Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
1.	M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited	1741.32	808.92 Cr. [Project code "h"]	3767.19	8680.97	5591.18	Yes
2.	M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	553.59	181.20 Cr. [Project code "a"]	57.88	161.35	717.76	Yes

(Signature)

3.	M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.	984.02	393.29 Cr. [Project code "c"]	141.14	526.26	1328.84	Yes
4.	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. - M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)	LM =338.04 OM =250.42 Total =588.46	131.19 Cr. [Project code "c" of CM]	LM=102.85 OM=139.09 Total=241.94	LM=207.04 OM=485.19 Total=692.23	LM=732.04 OM=539.43 Total=1271.47	Yes
5.	M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited	530.24	380.59 Cr. [Project code "c"]	325.75	781.73	664.78	Yes
6.	M/s VDB Projects (P) Ltd.	626.11	495.05 Cr. [Project code "a"]	123.72	143.21	668.49	Yes
7.	M/s Ramalingam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	1121.93	300.81 Cr. [Project code "a"]	495.21	913.98	1237.70	Yes
8.	*M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited - M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%)	*Technically Non Responsive					
9.	M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.	564.04	244.20 Cr. [Project code "h"]	70.42	150.02	546.45	Yes
10.	M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)	LM =355.64 OM =191.78 Total =547.42	199.20 Cr. [Project code "a" of CM]	LM=88.11 OM=125.08 Total =213.19	LM - 193.74 OM - 263.29 Total =457.03	LM=601.92 OM=923.88 Total =1525.80	Yes
11.	M/s D.P. Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	609.52	300.81 Cr. [Project code "d"]	279.08	518.99	1239.19	Yes
12.	M/s Varindera Constructions Limited - M/s Varaha Infra Limited (JV) (95%:5%)	LM =313.40 OM =379.79 Total =693.19	432.00 Cr. [Project code "b" of OM"]	LM =176.64 OM =163.92 Total =340.56	LM =408.94 OM =337.43 Total =746.37	LM=691.30 OM=554.70 Total=1246.00	Yes
13.	M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.	649.09	357.14 Cr. [Project code "a"]	142.58	379.91	576.17	Yes

Gurp

14.	M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.	530.77	106.92 Cr. [Project code "h"]	51.57	173.93	805.64	Yes
15.	M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Pvt. Ltd.	752.09	302.37 [Project code "c"]	259.51	640.49	3889.80	Yes
16.	M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Skylark Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (51%:49%)	LM = 738.79 OM = 180.89 Total = 919.68	626.75 Cr. [Project code "e" of CM]	LM = 93.64 OM = 137.88 Total = 231.52	LM = 179.56 OM = 54.09 Total = 233.65	LM = 780.62 OM = 126.48 Total = 907.10	Yes

2. Reason for Non-Responsiveness of M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited - M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%) is as under:

2.1 As per clause 3.1.6 of RFP document it is mentioned that:-

"As a first step towards evaluation of Technical BIDs, the Authority shall determine whether each Technical BID is responsive to the requirements of this RFP. A Technical BID shall be considered responsive only if:

- (a) *Technical BID is received online as per the format at Appendix-IA including Annexure I to VI (Bid Capacity format) and Annexure VIII;*
- (b) *Deleted;*
- (c) *Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Securing Declaration as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;*
- (d) *The Power of Attorney is uploaded on e-procurement portal as specified in Clauses 2.1.5;*
- (e) *Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture and the Joint Bidding Agreement is uploaded on e-procurement and BIMS portal as specified in Clause 2.1.5, if so required;*
- (f) *Technical Bid contains all the information (complete in all respects);*
- (g) *Technical Bid does not contain any condition or qualification; and*
- (h) *Copy of online receipt towards payment of cost of Bid document of Rs. 60,000/- (Rupees Sixty thousand only) in Authority's designated bank account is uploaded on e-procurement and BIMS portal; transmitted through SFMS gateway;*
- (i) *Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs), 2017, as per format given in Appendix-IX shall be submitted by the Bidder with the RFP Bid duly signed by Authorised signatory & shall be part of the Contract Agreement.*
- (j) *Proof of Registration with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order No. F.No. 6/18/2019 - PPD dated 23rd July 2020, if applicable (to be submitted by the "Bidder from a country which shares a land border with India")*

2.2 Lead member M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited has not submitted Annexure-VII, VIII, Board Resolution, Appendix-IX, Undertakings, Financial Statements of last 5 years, copy documents uploaded on BIMS, CA certificates for Net worth and Turnover. Also, no Information has been submitted

by the bidder for JV member M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited to qualify the RFP criteria set forth for JV member.

2.3 In the bid submitted by the Bidder, it has not submitted its documents/ information, for which clarifications have been sought. In its replies, Bidder has submitted all the documents afresh and which had not been submitted in the bid earlier.


2.4 As per Clause 2.14.3.1, "The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e- BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time." As per Clause 3.1.6.2, "The Authority reserves the right to reject any Technical BID which is non-responsive and no request for alteration, modification, substitution or withdrawal shall be entertained by the Authority in respect of such BID."

2.5 In view of all the foregoing clauses, as per the recommendations of Financial Consultant, the fresh submission/ alteration/ modification/ substitution of the bidding documents cannot be considered. Thus, Bidder is getting disqualified on the basis of non-submission of documents in its bid for the assessment of capabilities and responsiveness of Bidder/both the members of Joint Venture.

3. The detailed evaluation report are enclosed at Annexure-I. The detailed evaluation is being uploaded on NHAI website. You are requested to submit your comments till **28.03.2022 upto 05:00 PM**. If no comments are received by due date, financial bid of technically qualified bidders shall be opened.

4. In addition to this, pursuant to Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP, you are also requested to submit **Updated BID Capacity Status** as on **28.03.2022 upto 05:00 PM**.

Yours faithfully,


24/03/2022

(Sandeep Agrawal)
General Manager (T)-KNT

Sub: Construction of 4 lane Green Field Highway from TN/KA Border (Chainage 0+000) to Hoskote (Chainage 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state of Karnataka on EPC mode - **List of Bidders - reg.**

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders
1.	M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.
2.	M/s Arcons Infrastructures & Constructions (P) Ltd.
3.	M/s CDS Infra Projects Ltd.
4.	M/s Dilip Buildcon Ltd.
5.	M/s DP Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
6.	M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited
7.	M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.
8.	M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Pvt. Ltd.
9.	M/s LEKCON-RKIPL
10.	M/s PJB-AIPL Joint Venture
11.	M/s Ramalingam Construction Company Private Limited
12.	M/s S&P-SIEPL (JV)
13.	M/s Shri SM Autade Pvt. Ltd.
14.	M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.
15.	M/s VDB Projects Pvt. Ltd.
16.	M/s Varindera Constructions Limited - Varaha Infra Limited (Joint Venture)

Ref. No.: AGSL/ TN-KNT Border to Hoskote/2021-22/02

March 17, 2022

Mr. Sandeep Agrawal,
General Manager,
National Highways Authority of India,
G-5&6, Sector-10, Dwarka,
New Delhi-110075

Sub: Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode – **Submission of Final RFP Evaluation Report**

Ref: 1. Authority's email dated February 25, 2022
2. Our report dated March 7, 2022.
3. Authority's emails dated March 8, 2022
4. Authority's emails dated March 11, 2022
5. Authority's emails dated March 14, 2022
6. Authority's emails dated March 16, 2022
5. Authority's emails dated March 17, 2022

Dear Sir,

This is in continuation to our earlier report dated March 7, 2022 regarding submission of Preliminary Evaluation Report for the captioned project.

We are hereby submitting our Final RFP Report on evaluation of Technical Bids for the captioned project for your discussion and perusal.

For any further information/clarification, please advise us accordingly.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,
For Almondz Global Securities Limited




Authorised Signatory
Encl.: As above.

SEBI Registration : INM000000834

Registered Office : F-33/3, Okhla Industrial Area Phase - II, New Delhi - 110 020, India. Tel. : +91 11 4350 0700 Fax : +91 11 4350 0735

CIN : L74899DL1994PLC059839 • Email : info@almondz.com • Website : www.almondz.com, www.almondzglobal.com

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

On

Request for Proposal

For

"CONSTRUCTION OF 4 LANE REALIGNMENT OF OLD NH 207 (NEW NH 648) FROM TN/KA BORDER (CH 0+000) TO HOSKOTE (CH 20+900) UNDER BHARATMALA PARIYOJANA AS A PART OF BANGALORE RING ROAD IN THE STATE KARNATAKA ON EPC MODE"



F-33/3, Okhla Industrial Area Phase – II, New Delhi - 110 020.

March, 2022

Table of Contents

S. No.	Description of Document	Page No.
I	Evaluation Report	-
1.	Disclaimer	-
2.	Annexure- A: Summary of RFP Evaluation	4
3.	Annexure- B: Response to the replies received from the Bidders for clarifications sought from them	17
4.	Annexure- C: Technical Sheets	79

DISCLAIMER

We, namely, Almondz Global Securities Limited (AGSL) have carried out evaluation of the Bids received and are submitting the Preliminary RFP Evaluation Report for the project, **“Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode”**(Hereinafter referred to as the “Report”) based on information made available to AGSL and this report is exclusively meant for NHAI only.

AGSL has relied solely on information and data as shared by NHAI and soft copies as furnished by IT Consultant of NHAI for e-tendering namely, C1 India Ltd. AGSL has neither independently verified such information nor the information of any kind provided by the Applicants in their respective Bids. AGSL undertakes no responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such information and will not be held liable for it under any circumstances. AGSL’s total liability shall be limited to the amount of fee paid to us for a particular stage under the contract. This report has been well discussed with NHAI beforehand and has been finalized thereafter. This Report should not be disclosed to any person other than NHAI without the prior written consent of AGSL. The delivery of this Report at any time does not imply that the information in it is correct as of any time after the date set out on the cover page hereof. AGSL has no obligation to update any information contained in this Report. NHAI agrees to indemnify and hold AGSL harmless from time to time and at all times hereafter, from and against (i) all loss, damage, harm or injury suffered or incurred by AGSL and (ii) all notices, claims, demands, action, suits or proceedings given, made or initiated against AGSL on account of or arising out of (a) the performance by AGSL, or any of AGSL’s obligations hereunder, or (b) any default committed by NHAI in the performance of all or any of AGSL obligations hereunder, as also against all costs, charges and expenses suffered or incurred by AGSL on account of the aforesaid.

ANNEXURE-A

Summary of Evaluation

Result of Evaluation

The result of the RFP technical evaluation is as follows:

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Status
1.	M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited	Responsive
2.	M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3.	M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4.	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. - M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)	Responsive
5.	M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited	Responsive
6.	M/s VDB Projects (P) Ltd.	Responsive
7.	M/s Ramalingam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8.	M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited - M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%)	Technically Non Responsive*
9.	M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.	Responsive
10.	M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)	Responsive
11.	M/s D.P. Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
12.	M/s Varindera Constructions Limited - M/s Varaha Infra Limited (JV) (95%:5%)	Responsive
13.	M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
14.	M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
15.	M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
16.	M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Skylark Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (51%:49%)	Responsive

* M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited - M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%)

As per clause 3.1.6 of RFP document it is mentioned that:-

"As a first step towards evaluation of Technical BIDs, the Authority shall determine whether each Technical BID is responsive to the requirements of this RFP. A Technical BID shall be considered responsive only if:

(a) Technical BID is received online as per the format at Appendix-IA including Annexure I to VI (Bid Capacity format) and Annexure VIII;

- (b) Deleted;
- (c) Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Securing Declaration as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;
- (d) The Power of Attorney is uploaded on e-procurement portal as specified in Clauses 2.1.5;
- (e) Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture and the Joint Bidding Agreement is uploaded on e-procurement and BIMS portal as specified in Clause 2.1.5, if so required;
- (f) Technical Bid contains all the information (complete in all respects);
- (g) Technical Bid does not contain any condition or qualification; and
- (h) Copy of online receipt towards payment of cost of Bid document of Rs. 60,000/- (Rupees Sixty thousand only) in Authority's designated bank account is uploaded on e-procurement and BIMS portal.;transmitted through SFMS gateway.
- (i) Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs), 2017, as per format given in Appendix-IX shall be submitted by the Bidder with the RFP Bid duly signed by Authorised signatory & shall be part of the Contract Agreement.
- (j) Proof of Registration with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order No. F.No. 6/18/2019 – PPD dated 23rd July 2020, if applicable (to be submitted by the “Bidder from a country which shares a land border with India”)

Lead member M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited has not submitted Annexure-VII, VIII, Board Resolution, Appendix-IX, Undertakings, Financial Statements of last 5 years, copy documents uploaded on BIMS, CA certificates for Net worth and Turnover.

Also, no Information has been submitted by the bidder for JV member M/s Vozrozhdnie India Private Limited to qualify the RFP criteria set forth for JV member.

In the bid submitted by the Bidder, it has not submitted its documents/ information, for which clarifications have been sought. In its replies, Bidder has submitted all the documents afresh and which had not been submitted in the bid earlier.

As per Clause 2.14.3.1, it is mentioned as follows:-

“The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e- BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time.”

As per Clause 3.1.6.2, it is mentioned as follows:-

“The Authority reserves the right to reject any Technical BID which is non-responsive and no request for alteration, modification, substitution or withdrawal shall be entertained by the Authority in respect of such BID.”

In view of all the foregoing clauses, the fresh submission/ alteration/ modification/ substitution of the bidding documents cannot be considered. Thus, Bidder is getting disqualified on the basis of non-submission of documents in its bid for the assessment of capabilities and responsiveness of Bidder/both the members of Joint Venture.

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION		
		Rs. (in crores)
Estimated Project Cost (EPC)		483.91
Threshold Technical Capacity (TTC) = 1 times of EPC		483.91
In case of Joint Venture, Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity	For Lead Member (60% of TTC)	290.35
	For Other Member (20% of TTC)	96.78
Required Financial Capacity		
Minimum Net Worth		24.20
In case of Joint Venture, Minimum Required Financial Capacity	For Lead Member (60% of Financial Capacity)	14.52
	For Other Member (20% of Financial Capacity)	4.84
Minimum Average Annual Turnover		72.59
In case of Joint Venture, Minimum Required Financial Capacity	For Lead Member (60% of Financial Capacity)	43.55
	For Other Member (20% of Financial Capacity)	14.52
At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC		96.78
Bid Value (For Assessed Available Bid Capacity)		483.91
In case of Joint Venture, Minimum Required Assessed Available Bid Capacity	For Lead Member (60% of Assessed Available Bid Capacity)	290.35
	For Other Member (20% of Assessed Available Bid Capacity)	96.78

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Claimed Technical/ Experience Score (INR in crores)	Claimed Net Worth (INR in crores)	Claimed Average Annual Turnover in last five financial years (INR in crores)	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(i)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
					Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
1.	M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited	7307.97	3917.20	8680.97	1741.32	808.92 Cr. [Project code "h"]	3767.19	8680.97	5591.18	Yes
2.	M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	568.86	57.97	170.32	553.59	181.20 Cr. [Project code "a"]	57.88	161.35	717.76	Yes
3.	M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.	1349.78	141.14	549.54	984.02	393.29 Cr. [Project code "c"]	141.14	526.26	1328.84	Yes

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Claimed Technical/ Experience Score (INR in crores)	Claimed Net Worth (INR in crores)	Claimed Average Annual Turnover in last five financial years (INR in crores)	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(i)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
					Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
4.	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)	LM =402.19 OM =486.67	LM =102.85 OM =139.09	LM=207.04 OM=485.19	LM =338.04 OM =250.42 Total =588.46	131.19 Cr. [Project code “c” of OM]	LM=102.85 OM=139.09 Total=241.94	LM=207.04 OM=485.19 Total= 692.23	LM=732.04 OM=539.43 Total=1271.47	Yes
5.	M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited	3175.92	325.76	3135.34	530.24	380.59 Cr. [Project code “c”]	325.75	781.73	664.78	Yes

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Claimed Technical/ Experience Score (INR in crores)	Claimed Net Worth (INR in crores)	Claimed Average Annual Turnover in last five financial years (INR in crores)	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(i)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
					Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
	Infra Limited – M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%)									
9.	M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.	661.66	70.43	150.02	564.04	244.20 Cr. [Project code “h”]	70.42	150.02	546.45	Yes
10.	M/s PJB Engineers Pvt.	LM =439.98	LM =89.10	LM =195.81	LM =355.64	199.20 Cr. [Project code	LM=88.11 OM=125.08	LM – 193.74 OM – 263.29	LM=601.92 OM=923.88	Yes

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Claimed Technical/ Experience Score (INR in crores)	Claimed Net Worth (INR in crores)	Claimed Average Annual Turnover in last five financial years (INR in crores)	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
					Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
	Ltd. – M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)	OM = 853.22	OM =125.08	OM =290.54	OM =191.78 Total =547.42	“a” of OM]	Total =213.19	Total =457.03	Total =1525.80	
11.	M/s D.P. Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	2235.22	279.08	523.08	609.52	300.81 Cr. [Project code “d”]	279.08	518.99	1239.19	Yes
12.	M/s Varindera Constructions Limited – M/s	LM =1309.42	LM =176.64	LM =408.94	LM =313.40	432.00 Cr. [Project	LM =176.64	LM =408.94	LM=691.30 OM=554.70	Yes

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Claimed Technical/ Experience Score (INR in crores)	Claimed Net Worth (INR in crores)	Claimed Average Annual Turnover in last five financial years (INR in crores)	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(i)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
					Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
	Varaha Infra Limited (JV) (95%:5%)	OM =1103.38	OM =176.64	OM =349.57	OM =379.79 Total =693.19	code "b" of OM"]	OM =163.92 Total =340.56	OM =337.43 Total =746.37	Total=1246.00	
13.	M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.	1445.14	148.17	396.35	649.09	357.14 Cr. [Project code "a"]	142.58	379.91	576.17	Yes
14.	M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.	636.26	51.57	209.87	530.77	106.92 Cr. [Project	51.57	173.93	805.64	Yes

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Claimed Technical/ Experience Score (INR in crores)	Claimed Net Worth (INR in crores)	Claimed Average Annual Turnover in last five financial years (INR in crores)	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
					Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
						code "h"]				
15.	M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Pvt. Ltd.	1786.88	259.51	640.59	752.09	302.37 [Project code "c"]	259.51	640.49	3889.80	Yes
16.	M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Skylark Infra	LM =1137.67 OM =251.51	LM =93.64 OM =137.90	LM =351.03 OM =54.09	LM = 738.79 OM =180.89 Total	626.75 Cr. [Project code "e" of OM]	LM =93.64 OM =137.88 Total	LM =179.56 OM =54.09 Total	LM =780.62 OM =126.48 Total	Yes

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Claimed Technical/ Experience Score (INR in crores)	Claimed Net Worth (INR in crores)	Claimed Average Annual Turnover in last five financial years (INR in crores)	Evaluated Threshold Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2(i)) (INR in crores)	Whether meeting the criteria of At least one completed Project from Category 1 or 3 of more than 20% of the EPC (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)) (Yes/No)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) (IN R in crores)	Evaluated Financial Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) (INR in crores)	Whether the Assessed Available Bid Capacity is more than the Bid Value (Clause 2.2.2.1)	Whether Responsive or Not (Clause 3.1.6) (Yes/No)
					Min. Threshold Technical Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.		Min. Financial Capacity req. = 24.20 cr.	Min. Average Annual Turnover req. = 72.59 cr.	Min. Bid Capacity req. = 483.91 cr.	
	Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (51%:49%)				=919.68		=231.52	=233.65	=907.10	

ANNEXURE-B

Response to the replies received from the Bidders for clarifications sought from them

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Clause 2.11.1 (l)	NA	Bidder has not submitted copy of Memorandum and Articles of Association of the company. Bidder to clarify.	The copy of Memorandum and Articles of Association were submitted earlier. However we are once again submitting the copies of the said documents along with this letter (Annexure A)	Bidder has now submitted the Memorandum and Articles of Association. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Appendix – IA Letter Comprising the Technical Bid	NA	As per point no. 13 of Appendix-IA, it is mentioned that:- <i>"I/ We further certify that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either against us/any member of Joint Venture or against our CEO or any of our directors/ managers/ employees."</i> As informed by the Authority that a CBI investigation is pending against the bidder. However, information regarding the same has not been submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify how they are	The information sought in reference to point 2 is annexed. (Annexure B) Additionally, apropos of captioned bid with reference to Para 13 of Appendix IA (Letter comprising the Technical Bid) and Para 7 of Appendix IA, Annex-I (Details of Bidder) of the RFP Document we would like to humbly submit and reaffirm that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either against DBL or its Associates or its CEO or any of its Directors/Managers/Employees.	As per point no. 13 of Appendix-IA, it is mentioned that:- <i>"I/ We further certify that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either against us/any member of Joint Venture or against our CEO or any of our directors/ managers/ employees."</i> Further, Bidder has submitted and reaffirmed that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			complying with the condition mentioned in point no. 13 of Appendix-IA, Annex-I and Annexure VII of RFP document. Further, Bidder is required to furnish the complete details and current status of the investigation. Also, Bidder is required to provide clarification that the abovementioned information regarding investigation by a regulatory authority is not attracting any clause of RFP regarding disqualification of Bidder.	Therefore, DBL duly stands by its declaration and certification against Para 13 of Appendix IA. However, DBL also wants to clarify that Regulatory Authority and Investigating Authority are two different Authority and has no inter se connection and both needs to be understood in its literal meaning. However, DBL further wants to state that one CBI FIR No. RC2182021A0007 dated 30.12.2021 is pending adjudication and is sub-judice. The prime accused in this FIR is an Officer of NHAI and some of the officers of DBL has also been impleaded as alleged accused. It is DBL's stand that DBL and its officers has falsely been implicated in the said FIR as DBL or none of its officer were part of the alleged transaction, which became the basis of the registration of the said FIR.	against DBL or its Associates or its CEO or any of its Directors/Managers/Employees. Therefore, DBL duly stands by its declaration and certification against Para 13 of Appendix IA. Further, DBL has also clarified that Regulatory Authority and Investigating Authority are two different Authority and has no inter se connection and both needs to be understood in its literal meaning. It has also stated that one CBI FIR No. RC2182021A0007 dated 30.12.2021 is pending adjudication and is sub-judice. The prime accused in this FIR is an Officer of NHAI and some of the officers of DBL has also been impleaded as alleged

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
				Further, this FIR is within the knowledge of NHAI, which is reflected in the said FIR itself. A copy of the same is annex as Annexure C.	accused. It is DBL's stand that DBL and its officers has falsely been implicated in the said FIR as DBL or none of its officer were part of the alleged transaction, which became the basis of the registration of the said FIR. Further, this FIR is within the knowledge of NHAI, which is reflected in the said FIR itself. As per Clause 2.6 of RFP document, it is mentioned as follows:- <i>"2.6.1 The Authority reserves the right to verify all statements, information and documents submitted by the Bidder in response to the RFP and the Bidder shall, when so required by the Authority, make available all such information, evidence and documents as may be necessary</i>

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
					for such verification. Any such verification, or lack of such verification, by the Authority shall not relieve the Bidder of its obligations or liabilities hereunder nor will it affect any rights of the Authority thereunder. 2.6.2 The Authority reserves the right to reject any BID and to suspend the bidder for participation in the tendering process for the works of MoRTH/NHAI/NHIDCL and works under other Centrally Sponsored Schemes for a period of One Year from the bid due date of this work if: (a) at any time, a material misrepresentation is made or uncovered, or (b) the Bidder does not provide, within the time specified by the Authority, the supplemental information sought by the Authority for evaluation of the BID."

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
					<i>Such misrepresentation/ improper response shall lead to the disqualification of the Bidder. If the Bidder is a Joint Venture, then the entire Joint Venture and each Member of the Joint Venture may be disqualified/ rejected. If such disqualification/rejection occurs after the BIDs have been opened and the lowest Bidder gets disqualified / rejected, then the Authority reserves the right to annul the Bidding Process and invites fresh BIDs."</i> In the present case, Bidder was required to submit the information regarding CBI FIR No. RC2182021A0007 dated 30.12.2021 (which is pending adjudication and is sub-judice) in the Technical proposal (i.e. Bidding Documents submitted on e-tendering portal) itself.

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
					However, Bidder has failed to submit this information on e-tendering portal. Further, Bidder has submitted this information subsequently, before finalization of the evaluation of Technical Proposals. CBI FIR No. RC2182021A0007 dated 30.12.2021 has no. of accused in the matter comprising various officials of M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited (Bidder) and NHAI as well. Thus, on the view of the foregoing, Authority may take a view. Outcome: Authority to take a view.

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
3.	Annex-I - Details of Bidder	NA	As per point no. 7(a&b), of Annex-I, it is mentioned that:- <i>"7(a) I/ We further certify that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either against us/any member of Joint Venture or our sister concern or against our CEO or any of our directors/managers/employees.</i> <i>(b) I/ We further certify that no investigation by any investigating agency in India or outside is pending either against us/ any member of Joint Venture or our sister concern or against our CEO or any of our directors/managers/employees."</i> As informed by the Authority that a CBI investigation is pending against the bidder. However, information regarding the same has not been submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify how they are	Additionally, apropos of captioned bid with reference to Para 13 of Appendix IA (Letter comprising the Technical Bid) and Para 7 of Appendix IA, Annex-I (Details of Bidder) of the RFP Document we would like to humbly submit and reaffirm that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either against DBL or its Associates or its CEO or any of its Directors/Managers/Employees. Therefore, DBL duly stands by its declaration and certification against Para 13 of Appendix IA. However, DBL also wants to clarify that Regulatory Authority and Investigating Authority are two different Authority and has no inter se connection and both needs to be understood in its literal meaning. However, DBL further wants to state that one CBI FIR No. RC2182021A0007 dated 30.12.2021 is pending	Bidder has submitted the copy of FIR. Also, Bidder has submitted and reaffirmed that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either against DBL or its Associates or its CEO or any of its Directors/Managers/Employees. Therefore, DBL duly stands by its declaration and certification against Para 13 of Appendix IA. Further, DBL has also clarified that Regulatory Authority and Investigating Authority are two different Authority and has no inter se connection and both needs to be understood in its literal meaning. It has also stated that one CBI FIR No.

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			complying with the condition mentioned in Annex-I. Further, Bidder is required to furnish the complete details and current status of the investigation. Also, Bidder is required to provide clarification that the abovementioned information regarding investigation by a regulatory authority is not attracting any clause of RFP regarding disqualification of Bidder.	adjudication and is sub-judice. The prime accused in this FIR is an Officer of NHAI and some of the officers of DBL has also been impleaded as alleged accused. It is DBL's stand that DBL and its officers has falsely been implicated in the said FIR as DBL or none of its officer were part of the alleged transaction, which became the basis of the registration of the said FIR. Further, this FIR is within the knowledge of NHAI, which is reflected in the said FIR itself. A copy of the same is annex as Annexure C.	RC2182021A0007 dated 30.12.2021 is pending adjudication and is sub-judice. The prime accused in this FIR is an Officer of NHAI and some of the officers of DBL has also been impleaded as alleged accused. It is DBL's stand that DBL and its officers has falsely been implicated in the said FIR as DBL or none of its officer were part of the alleged transaction, which became the basis of the registration of the said FIR. Further, this FIR is within the knowledge of NHAI, which is reflected in the said FIR itself. In view of the foregoing, Authority may take a view. Outcome: Authority to take a view.

1. Name of Bidder: M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
4.	Appendix – IX Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs), 2017	NA	From the second para “or, if from such a country, has been registered with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no.6/18/2019-PPD dated 23rd July 2020” has deleted. Bidder to clarify.	Document Attached	Bidder has now submitted the revised Appendix-IX. In view of the clarification received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

2. Name of Bidder: M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Annexure-II	NA	The experience score mentioned for Project code “e” and “f” mentioned in Annexure-II have not matched with Annexure-IV. Bidder to clarify.	Attached corrected copy.	Bidder has now submitted the corrected copy. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Annexure - VI – To Calculate the value of “B”	NA	i. In table for “To calculate the value of “B” bidder has submitted: <i>The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in</i>	Attached modified copy as required by you.	Bidder has now submitted the updated certificate from its Statutory Auditor dated 11.03.2022 regarding bid capacity. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

2. Name of Bidder: M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			<i>the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd. as on bid due date of this RFP</i> Instead of: <i>The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually as on bid due date of this RFP.</i> ii. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate		

2. Name of Bidder: M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned as 03.02.2022 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works up to Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in		

2. Name of Bidder: M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity. Bidder to clarify and rectify the abovementioned discrepancies.		
3.	Clause 1.2.10	NA	Bidder has not submitted a Self-certification for Local Content requirement for 'Class - I local Supplier' / 'Class - II local Supplier', as the case may be. Bidder to clarify by way of furnishing Self-certificate.	Attached as required	Bidder has now submitted the Self-certification for Local Content requirement for 'Class - I local Supplier' / 'Class - II local Supplier'. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
4.	Annexure-VII	NA	Bidder has not submitted Annexure-VII 'Guidelines of the Department of Disinvestment'. Bidder to clarify by way of furnishing Annexure-VII.	Attached as required.	Bidder has now submitted Annexure-VII 'Guidelines of the Department of Disinvestment'. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

2. Name of Bidder: M/s Arcons Infrastructure & Constructions Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
5.	Clause 2.14.1.1 of RFP	NA	It is mandatory for all the Bidders to have class-III Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) (in the name of Authorized Signatory / Firm or Organisation / Owner of the Firm or Organisation). However, as per Power of Attorney for signing of BID, Mr. Akhil Batavia has authorized as true and lawful attorney. Further, bid has submitted by signing digital signature by using DSC issued in the name of Mr. Ritesh Agrawal. Bidder to clarify.	Mr. Ritesh Agrawal is one of the Directors of the Company. The Organization DSC is registered in his name. He has appointed Mr. Akhil Batavia as his authorised to sign various documents for Bid Submission.	Bidder has clarified that Mr. Ritesh Agrawal is one of the Directors of the Company and the Organization DSC is registered in his name. Further, Bidder has submitted that Mr. Ritesh Agrawal has appointed Mr. Akhil Batavia as his authorised to sign various documents for Bid Submission. Also, Ritesh Agrawal is executant of POA. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

3. Name of Bidder: M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Clause 2.11.1 (l)	NA	Bidder has not submitted copy of Memorandum and Articles of Association of the company. Bidder to clarify.	Please refer page no. 29 to 45 of PDF file (file name : SRCInfradetails), submitted in the e-Bid, where we have already provided the Memorandum and Articles of Association of the company MOA is attached.	Bidder has now submitted the Memorandum and Articles of Association. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Annexure - VI - To Calculate the value of "B"	NA	In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of B", have following discrepancies- i. In column no. 4 it has mentioned- "Date of start / appointed Date of Project" has mentioned instead of "Date of issue of LOA/Date of opening of financial bid/ Date of start / appointed Date of Project" Bidder is required to rectify the same. ii. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has	i. Please find attached updated column no.4 issued by the Statutory Auditor dated 24.02.2022. ii. Statutory Auditor statement dated 24.02.2022 is enclosed herewith	Bidder has now submitted the revised certificate issued by its Statutory Auditor dated 24.02.2022. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

3. Name of Bidder: M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			been mentioned as 18.02.2022 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works up to Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the	for your perusal and consideration	

3. Name of Bidder: M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
3.	Clause 1.2.10 of RFP	NA	Bidder has submitted Self-certification for Local Content requirement for 'Class - I local Supplier'. As per the Clause 1.2.10 of RFP, if the bidder mentions as 'Class - I local Supplier', then the bidder is required to supplier or service for the works minimum 50%. However, the percentage has not mentioned in the certificate submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	Please find the updated Self Certification for Local Content.	Bidder has now submitted the revised self certification. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)										
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought		Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks				
1.	Annexure-I of M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	NA	In the Para no. 5 (c), bidder has submitted the table given below		The relative narration has since been included and the revised Annexure-1 is enclosed.	Bidder has now submitted the revised Annex – I. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.				
							Sl.	Name of Member	Role* {Refer Clause 2.1.11(d)}	Share of work in the Project{Refer Clauses 2.1.11(a), (f) & (g)}
							1.			
							2.			
			3.							
			Instead of							
							Sl.	Name of Member	Role* {Refer Clause 2.1.11(d)}	Share of work in the Project{Refer Clauses 2.1.11(a), (f) & (g)}
							1.			
							2.			
			3.							
			* The role of each Member, as may be							

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			determined by the Applicant, should be indicated in accordance with instruction 4 at Annex-IV. Bidder to clarify.		
2.	Annexure -V	NA	In the submitted Annex-V, bidder has mentioned “We have agreed that Sri. L. Jeevan Siva Krishna will act as our representative of the company has been duly authorized to submit the RFP. Further, the authorised signatory is vested with requisite powers to furnish such letter and authenticate the same” Instead of “We have agreed that (insert individual’s name) will act as our representative/will act as the representative of the Joint Venture on its behalf* and has been duly authorized to submit the RFP. Further, the authorised signatory is vested with requisite powers to furnish such letter and authenticate the same.” Bidder to clarify	The relative narration has since been included and the revised Annexure-V is enclosed.	Bidder has now submitted the revised Annex – V. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
3.	Annexure -VI – To Calculate the value of	NA	i. Bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor certificate for “Existing Commitments and on-going works to be completed	i) Number two (2) has been incorporated in relative statement. ii) UDIN number has been	Bidder has now submitted a revised certificate issued from its Statutory Auditor

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
	"B" of M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.		during the next years" In the submitted Table "B", bidder should mention the next 2 year. However, 2 has not mentioned. ii. In Annexure -VI "To Calculate the value of "B", UDIN has not mentioned iii. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has not been mentioned. Bidder to clarify. iv. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works up to Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022.	incorporated. iii) The auditor certificate incorporating the date of issuance is enclosed. iv) The details of the existing commitments and ongoing works upto 24-02-2022 duly certified by the statutory auditor is enclosed. v) M/s. Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt Ltd., giving self undertaking that there are no new commitments upto bid due date i.e. 24-02-2022 is now enclosed.	dated 11.03.2022, rectifying the discrepancies. Further, it has also submitted an undertaking that there are no additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto bid due date i.e. 24-02-2022. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
4.	Annexure -VI – To Calculate the value of "B" of M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd.		i. Bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor certificate for "Existing Commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 2 years" In the submitted Table "B", bidder has omitted to mention "<i>to be completed during the next 2 years is as follows:</i>". Bidder to clarify ii. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned 26.01.2022.	i. The auditors certificate giving the details of the existing commitments and ongoing works upto 26-01-2022 was already enclosed. ii. M/s. RK Infracorp Pvt Ltd, undertaking that there are no new commitments upto bid due date i.e. 24-02-2022 is now enclosed.	i. Bidder has submitted revised Statutory Auditor certificate for "Existing Commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 2 years", mentioning the words "to be completed during the next 2 years is as follows:" ii. Bidder has submitted an undertaking that there are no additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto bid

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works up to Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		due date i.e. 24-02-2022. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
5.	Appendix-II of RFP	NA	Bidder has mentioned "I hereby submit a declaration that the bid submitted by the undersigned, on behalf of the bidder, LEKCON-RKPIL (JV), either sole or in JV, shall not be	The relative narration has since been included and the revised Annexure-II is enclosed.	Bidder has now submitted the revised Annexure-II. In view of the clarifications

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			<i>withdrawn or modified during the period of validity.</i> <i>I, on behalf of the bidder, LEKCON-RKPIL, also accept the fact that in case the bid is withdrawn or modified during the period of its validity or if we fail to sign the contract in case the work is awarded to us or we fail to submit a performance security before the deadline defined in clause 2.21 of the Request for Proposal (RFP), then [Name of the bidder] will be suspended for participation in the tendering process for the works of MoRTH/NHAI/NHIDCL and works under other Centrally Sponsored Schemes, for a period of 1 (one) year from the bid due date of this work."</i> <i>Instead of I hereby submit a declaration that the bid submitted by the undersigned, on behalf of the bidder, [Name of the bidder], either sole or in JV, shall not be withdrawn or modified during the period of validity i.e. not less than 180 (one hundred eighty) days from the bid due date.</i> <i>I, on behalf of the bidder, [Name of the bidder], also accept the fact that in case the bid is withdrawn or modified during the period of its validity or if we fail to sign the contract in case the work is awarded to us or we fail to submit a performance security before the</i>		received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			deadline defined in clause 1.3 of the Request for Proposal (RFP), then [Name of the bidder] will be suspended for participation in the tendering process for the works of MoRTH/NHAI/NHIDCL and works under other Centrally Sponsored Schemes, for a period of 1 (one) year from the bid due date of this work." Bidder to clarify.		
6.	Appendix-V	NA	Bidder has mentioned in Para no. 6 <i>"The Parties agree that the proportion of construction in the EPC Contract to be allocated among the members shall be as follows:</i> <i>First Party: 70%</i> <i>Second Party: 30% "</i> Instead of <i>"The Parties agree that the proportion of construction in the EPC Contract to be allocated among the members shall be as follows:</i> <i>First Party:</i> <i>Second Party:</i> <i>{Third Party:}</i> <i>Further, the Lead Member shall itself undertake and perform at least 51 (fifty one) per cent of the total length of the project highway if the Contract is allocated to the Joint Venture.</i>	The relative narration has since been included and the revised Annexure-V is enclosed.	Bidder has now submitted the revised Annexure – V. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			Bidder to clarify.		
7.	Clause 1.2.10	NA	A Bidder is required to submit, along with its technical BID, a self- certification that the item offered meets the local content requirement for ‘Class - I local Supplier’ / ‘Class - II local Supplier’, as the case may be. However, same has not submitted by the lead member M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. Bidder to clarify by way of furnishing Self-certificate mentioning the details of location where local value addition will be made.	We have already submitted the relative certificate issued by the Statutory auditors. We are enclosing the said certificate once again for your use. (Upload File Name: Lekcon-1, Page No. 147). However, we are also enclosing a self-certification by the company in this regard.	Bidder has now submitted undertaking mentioning the details of location where local value addition will be made. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
8.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP		As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, bidder should have at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 96.78 Crore (Rupees Ninety Six Crore and Seventy Eight Lakh only). Bidder to clarify which project has claimed to qualify Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.	We have successfully completed “Extension of Runway and Strengthening / Resurfacing for existing runways along with construction of Isolation bay, pavement against blast erosion & RESA and other allied works at Rajahmundry Airport” valued at Rs. 110.90 Crores from 04-08-2016 to 31-01-2019. (upload File Name : RKIPL, Project code : C, Page No. 72-77)	Bidder has replied that it has Extension of Runway and Strengthening / Resurfacing for existing runways along with construction of Isolation bay, pavement against blast erosion & RESA and other allied works at Rajahmundry Airport” valued at Rs. 110.90 Crores from 04-08-2016 to 31-01-2019.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (70%:30%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
					In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Clause 1.2.10	NA	Bidder has submitted certificate for local content signed on 08.02.2022 before the date of signing the App-IA 22.02.2022. Bidder to clarify.	The date as 08.02.2022 was inadvertently mentioned by us in the “Certificate of Local Content” submitted with the Bid Documents submitted by us. The inconvenience caused to the Authority is regretted. The “Local Content Certificate” duly signed by the Authorised Person, mentioning the date as 22.02.2022, is being re-submitted herewith for your kind perusal at Attachment-A.	Bidder has clarified that the date as 08.02.2022 was inadvertently mentioned by them in the “Certificate of Local Content” submitted with the Bid Documents. Further, it has submitted the “Local Content Certificate” duly signed by the Authorised Person, dated 14.03.2022. Thus, in view intent of the Bidder to rectify the error and to provide the information, the clarifications received may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Annexure - V, Annexure - VIII and Appendix- IX	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure - V, Annexure - VIII and Appendix-IX, signed on 08.02.2022 i.e. before the date of signing the Appendix-IA on 22.02.2022. Bidder to clarify	The date as 08.02.2022 was inadvertently mentioned by us in the “Annexure - V, Annexure - VIII and Appendix- IX” submitted with the Bid Documents. The inconvenience caused to the Authority is regretted. Annexure - V, Annexure - VIII and Appendix- IX”, duly signed by the Authorised Person, mentioning the	Bidder has clarified that the date as 08.02.2022 was inadvertently mentioned by them in the “Annexure - V, Annexure - VIII and Appendix- IX” submitted with the Bid Documents. Further, it has re-submitted Annexure - V, Annexure - VIII and Appendix- IX”, duly signed by the Authorised Person, mentioning the date as 14.03.2022.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
				date as 22.02.2022, are being re-submitted herewith for your kind perusal at Attachment-B1, Attachment-B2 & Attachment-B3 respectively.	Thus, in view of intent of the Bidder to rectify the error and to provide the information, the clarifications received may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
3.	Annexure - VI - To Calculate the value of "B"	NA	i. In column no. 4 - "Date of start / appointed Date of Project" is mentioned instead of "Date of issue of LOA/Date of opening of financial bid/ Date of start / appointed Date of Project" Bidder to clarify. ii. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned as 29.12.2021 instead of bid due date.	i. The following revised provision of Value of "B" was inadvertently missed out from the Bid Documents submitted by us - "Value of "B" (Ongoing Project) in column no. 4 has been amended and shall be read as under: <i>"Date of issue of LOA I Date of opening of financial bid / Date of start / appointed Date of Project"</i> The inconvenience caused to the Authority is regretted. ii. We hereby undertake that from 29.12.2021 till Date i.e. 10.03.2022, we have not received any new Letter of	i. Bidder has The following revised provision of Value of "B" was inadvertently missed out from the Bid Documents submitted by us - "Value of "B" (Ongoing Project) in column no. 4 has been amended and shall be read as under: "Date of issue of LOA I Date of opening of financial bid / Date of start / appointed Date of Project" ii. Bidder has submitted an undertaking that from 29.12.2021 till Bid Due Date i.e. 14.03.2022, we have not received any new Letter of Award (LOA) and not declared Lowest Bidder for any New Project.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of	Award (LOA) and not declared Lowest Bidder for any New Project. We are enclosing herewith Self-Undertaking as Attachment-C1. iii. The calculation for arriving at Rs. 905.86 Cr. is already part of the Bid Documents. Kindly refer to Annexure - VI at Page No. 21 Point No. - 3. The same is attached herewith again at Attachment-C2. Calculation of Value of "A" <i>"Maximum value of projects that have been undertaken during the F.Y. 2019-20 out of the last 5 years and value excluding amount of bonus thereof is Rs.862.72 Crores (Rupees Eight Hundred Sixty Two Crore and Seventy Two Lakh Only). Further, value updated to the price level of the year indicated in Appendix is as follows:</i> <i>Rs. 862.72 Crores x 1.05 (Updation Factor as per Appendix) = Rs. 905.86</i>	In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered. iii. Bidder has replied that it has calculated the value of Rs. 905.86 Cr. as follows:- <i>Rs. 862.72 Crores x 1.05 (Updation Factor as per Appendix) = Rs. 905.86 Crores (Rupees Nine Hundred Five Crore and Eighty Six Lakh Only).</i> In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity. iii. Bidder has taken Rs. 905.86 Cr. as value of "A" to calculate the Bid Capacity. Bidder to clarify from where the above mentioned value has been taken as value of "A".	<i>Crores (Rupees Nine Hundred Five Crore and Eighty Six Lakh Only)"</i>	
4.	Appendix-VI	NA	Bidder has not submitted Appendix-VI, "Integrity Pact" by filling the blanks of format. Bidder to clarify.	The filling-up of blanks were inadvertently missed out in the format for "Integrity Pact" in the Bid Documents submitted by us. The inconvenience caused to the Authority is regretted. The Integrity Pact, with blanks duly filled-in & signed by the Authorised Person, is attached herewith for your kind perusal at Attachment-D.	Bidder has clarified that the filling-up of blanks were inadvertently missed out in the format for "Integrity Pact" in the Bid Documents submitted by them. Further, it has submitted the Integrity Pact, with blanks duly filled-in & signed by the Authorised Person. Thus, in view of intent of the Bidder to rectify the error and to provide the information, the clarifications received may be considered.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
					Outcome: May be considered.
5.	Appendix-IX	NA	Bidder has in mentioned 3rd para of Appendix-IX, "I certify that this Bidder is not from such a country as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no.6/18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020 and will not sub-contract any work to a contractor from such countries unless such contractor is registered with the Competent Authority." Instead of "I certify that this Bidder is not from such a country or, if from such a country, has been registered with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no.6/18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020 and will not sub-contract any work to a contractor from such countries unless such contractor is registered with the Competent Authority.	The revised provision of Appendix-IX (Certificate regarding Compliance) was inadvertently missed out from the Bid Documents submitted by us. Appendix-IX (Certificate regarding Compliance) in 3rd Para of Appendix-IX has been amended and shall be read as under: <i>"I certify that this Bidder is not from such a country or, if from such a country, has been registered with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no .6/ 18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020 and will not subcontract any work to a contractor from such countries unless such contractor is registered with the Competent Authority"</i> The inconvenience caused to the Authority is regretted. The Appendix-IX (Certificate	Bidder has clarified that the revised provision of Appendix-IX (Certificate regarding Compliance) was inadvertently missed out from the Bid Documents submitted by them. Also, it has replied that Appendix-IX (Certificate regarding Compliance) in 3rd Para of Appendix- IX has been amended and shall be read as under: <i>"I certify that this Bidder is not from such a country or, if from such a country, has been registered with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no .6/ 18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020 and will not subcontract any work to a contractor from such countries unless such contractor is registered with the Competent Authority"</i> Further, Bidder has submitted the Appendix-IX (Certificate regarding Compliance), duly comprising of revised provision of Appendix-IX.

4. Name of Bidder: M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			Bidder to clarify.	regarding Compliance), duly comprising of revised provision of Appendix-IX, is attached herewith for your kind perusal at Attachment-E .	Thus, in view of intent of the Bidder to rectify the error and to provide the information, the clarifications received may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

5. Name of Bidder: M/s VDB Projects (P) Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Annex-VI	NA	In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned as 05.02.2022 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.	With reference to the subject cited above, we have attached latest certificate issued by statutory auditor for the subject work.	Bidder has submitted certificate issued by statutory auditor dated 10.03.2022, regarding Bid Capacity. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

6. Name of Bidder: M/s Ramalingam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Post Replies
1.	Annexure-IV	NA	In Statutory Auditor's certificate of project code "a" and "b" it has mentioned that project likely to be commissioned. Bidder to clarify that whether the above project has been completed or not.	Project Code "a": The Project has been provisionally completed on 19.09.2018 and started the toll collection and 99% of the road has been completed. The completion certificate from client has been already enclosed in file name "3SIMILARWORK" page no. 11 (Description : TECHNICAL DOCUMENT 1). As per the certificate draft provided in RFP document sl. no. 13, page no 65. The Auditor certificate has been prepared and the same has been uploaded For your reference, once again we are enclosing the certificates in "Annexure - 1" Project Code "b": The project has been successfully completed on 28.08.2020. The completed certificate from client has been already enclosed in file name: "3SIMILARWORK" page no .3 (Description : TECHNICAL	Bidder has clarified that the projects have been 99% completed/ completed respectively. Further, it has re-submitted client certificates substantiating the same. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

6. Name of Bidder: M/s Ramalingam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Post Replies
				DOCUMENT 1). As per the certificate draft provided in RFP document sl. no. 13 page no. 65, The Auditor certificate has been uploaded. For your reference, once again we are enclosing the certificates in "Annexure - 2"	
2.	Annex-VI	NA	In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned as 22.02.2022 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works up to Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works up to Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects	We have already submitted a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works up to Bid Due date i.e.24.02.2022 in the file name "4 FORMS" page no 54 (Description : TECHNICAL DOCUMENT II). For your reference, once again we are enclosing the self-undertaking certificate in "Annexure-3".	Bidder has clarified that it has submitted a self-undertaking dated 24.02.2022, mentioning that it has submitted on going work statement dated 21.02.2022 and there is no change in value of 'B' as on the tender submission date. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered

6. Name of Bidder: M/s Ramalingam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Post Replies
			in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		

7. Name of Bidder: M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited – M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%)

As per clause 3.1.6 of RFP document it is mentioned that:-

“As a first step towards evaluation of Technical BIDs, the Authority shall determine whether each Technical BID is responsive to the requirements of this RFP. A Technical BID shall be considered responsive only if:

- (a) Technical BID is received online as per the format at Appendix-IA including Annexure I to VI (Bid Capacity format) and Annexure VIII;*
- (b) Deleted;*
- (c) Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Securing Declaration as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;*
- (d) The Power of Attorney is uploaded on e-procurement portal as specified in Clauses 2.1.5;*
- (e) Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture and the Joint Bidding Agreement is uploaded on e-procurement and BIMS portal as specified in Clause 2.1.5, if so required;*
- (f) Technical Bid contains all the information (complete in all respects);*
- (g) Technical Bid does not contain any condition or qualification; and*
- (h) Copy of online receipt towards payment of cost of Bid document of Rs. 60,000/- (Rupees Sixty thousand only) in Authority's designated bank account is uploaded on e-procurement and BIMS portal.;transmitted through SFMS gateway.;*
- (i) Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs), 2017, as per format given in Appendix-IX shall be submitted by the Bidder with the RFP Bid duly signed by Authorised signatory & shall be part of the Contract Agreement.*
- (j) Proof of Registration with the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order No. F.No. 6/18/2019 – PPD dated 23rd July 2020, if applicable (to be submitted by the “Bidder from a country which shares a land border with India”)*

Lead member M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited has not submitted Annexure-VII, VIII, Board Resolution, Appendix-IX, Undertakings, Financial Statements of last 5 years, copy documents uploaded on BIMS, CA certificates for Net worth and Turnover.

Also, no Information has been submitted by the bidder for JV member M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited to qualify the RFP criteria set forth for JV member.

In the bid submitted by the Bidder, it has not submitted its documents/ information, for which clarifications have been sought. In its replies, Bidder has submitted all the documents afresh and which had not been submitted in the bid earlier.

As per Clause 2.14.3.1, it is mentioned as follows:-

"The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e- BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time."

As per Clause 3.1.6.2, it is mentioned as follows:-

"The Authority reserves the right to reject any Technical BID which is non-responsive and no request for alteration, modification, substitution or withdrawal shall be entertained by the Authority in respect of such BID."

In view of all the foregoing clauses, the fresh submission/ alteration/ modification/ substitution of the bidding documents cannot be considered. Thus, Bidder is getting disqualified on the basis of non-submission of documents in its bid for the assessment of capabilities and responsiveness of Bidder/both the members of Joint Venture.

5. Name of Bidder: M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited – M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Annexure-I of M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited	NA	Bidder has not submitted Annexure-I in the format. Bidder to clarify.		
2.	Annexure-III of M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited	NA	Bankers details has not mentioned in Annexure-III. Bidder to clarify.		
3.	Annexure-IV of M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited	NA	Statutory Auditor's certificate has not submitted in the format. Bidder to clarify.		
4.	Appendix-VI	NA	Bidder has not submitted Appendix-VI, "Integrity Pact" by filling the		

5. Name of Bidder: M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited – M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited (JV) (76%:24%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of Bidder	Our Remarks
			blanks of format. Further, same has not signed by the JV member M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited. Bidder to clarify.		
5.	General	NA	Lead member M/s GVR Asiatrade Consortium Infra Limited has not submitted Annexure-VII, VIII, Board Resolution, Appendix-IX, Undertakings, Financial Statements of last 5 years, copy documents uploaded on BIMS, CA certificates for Net worth and Turnover. Bidder to clarify.		
6.	General	NA	No Information has been submitted by the bidder for JV member M/s Vozrozhdenie India Private Limited to qualify the RFP criteria set forth for JV member. Bidder to clarify.		

9. Name of Bidder: M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Clause 1.2.10 of RFP	NA	Bidder has submitted Self-certification for Local Content requirement for 'Class - I local Supplier'. As per the Clause 1.2.10 of RFP, if the bidder mentions as 'Class - I local Supplier', then the bidder is required to supplier or service for the works minimum 50%. However, the percentage has not mentioned in the certificate submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	We are submitting CA Certificate with minimum 50% local supplier and also submitting self - certification of local supplier with minimum 50% CA copy As per Clause 1.2.10 of RFP	Bidder has submitted certificate from its Statutory Auditor as well self undertaking mentioning the percentage of local supplies and location. In view of clarification received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Annex-VI	NA	i. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned as 04.02.2022 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing	i. At first Bid Due date was on 04.02.2022 on this date we have prepared our all ongoing work certificates along with certification by our Statutory Auditor, but later on dated have changed to 24.02.2022. So, there is no any such change upto 24.02.2022. Hence consider our certificate submitted as Technical Bid Document - 2.	i. Bidder has replied that at first Bid Due date was on 04.02.2022 on this date we have prepared our all ongoing work certificates along with certification by our Statutory Auditor, but later on dated have changed to 24.02.2022. So, there is no any such change upto 24.02.2022. Hence consider our certificate submitted as Technical Bid Document - 2. In view of clarification

9. Name of Bidder: M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of Bidder	Our Remarks
			commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity. ii. In table for "To calculate the value of "B" bidder has submitted: <i>The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised</i>	ii. The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the	received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered. ii. Bidder has clarified that the para of statement B pertains to M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd. individually In view of clarification received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

9. Name of Bidder: M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of Bidder	Our Remarks
			<i>Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd. Individually, and other member M/s AIL-DRA (Joint member) Durg as on bid due date of this RFP</i> Instead of: <i>The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually as on bid due date of this RFP.</i> Bidder to clarify.	certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd. individually, as on bid due date of this RFP.	

9. Name of Bidder: M/s Amar Infrastructure Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of Bidder	Our Remarks
3.	Annexure-VI	9, 10, 12 and 17	Work done supporting certificates/ documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity for the Sl. no. 9, 10, 12 and 17 in table for "To calculate the value of "B" have not located in the submitted technical bid. Bidder to clarify by furnishing above-mentioned documents.		We are submitting work completion certificate signed by the client or its Engineer - in- charge Bidder has now submitted the work completion certificate signed by the client or its Engineer - in- charge In view of clarification received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

10. Name of Bidder: M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought		
1.	Annexure-III of M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	NA	Banker's details in Annex-III of lead member M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. has not given. Bidder to clarify.	We have enclosed the Bankers' details in Annexure I (Bidder Details) We are attaching the same again with this reply.	Bidder has now submitted the Banker's details. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Annexure-IV of M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	a, b, c, d, e, g, h and i (Total 8 projects)	i. In the all Certificates regarding construction works year wise payments have not been mentioned. Bidder to clarify. ii. Nature and scope of the project code b, e, g and i are not clear, as per the SA/Client certificates. Thus, it cannot be ascertained that how the	i. Year wise Receipts certified by Statutory Auditor has been uploaded as Appendix IA Annexure IV. We are attaching the same again with this reply and highlighted in yellow colour. ii. We have already submitted the Appendix-IA Annexure IV along with work done certificates for project code b, e, g and i. In the attached work done certificate it is clearly	i. Bidder has re-submitted the year wise payment duly certified by the Statutory Auditor along with the certificates for eligible projects. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered. ii. Project code b, e, g and I - We have reviewed the certificates submitted by the Bidder. However, on the basis of the certificates submitted by the

10. Name of Bidder: M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought		
			subject project is being getting covered under category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP. Bidder to clarify how the project is covered under category 3 as per Clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) by way of furnishing substantial documentation/proof.	mentioned the nature and scope of the work which comes under category 3 and which is also certified by our chartered accountant. Further, the itemwise quantities are also mentioned for your reference and to reinforce the inclusion under the highway sector as per clause 2.2.2.5 (iii), (iv). We are attaching the documents once again with this reply.	Bidder, nature and scope of project mentioned in project code b is not clear, so it has not been considered. Further, on the basis of the certificates submitted by the Bidder it cannot be ascertained that the projects mentioned in project code e, g and i, pertains to category 3. Thus, the projects have been considered under category 4. Outcome: Project mentioned in project code b has not been considered. Projects mentioned in project code e, g and i, pertains to category 3. Thus, the projects have been considered under category 4.
3.	Annexure-VI of M/s PJB Engineers	NA	In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been	There are no additional commitments and have no new Ongoing works other than	Bidder has now submitted self undertaking mentioning that there is no additional commitments and

10. Name of Bidder: M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought		
	Pvt. Ltd.		mentioned as 22.02.2022 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.	reported works upto Bid Due Date. Self undertaking letter to this effect is enclosed.	have no new ongoing works other than reported works upto Bid Due Date. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

10. Name of Bidder: M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought		
4.	Annexure-VI of M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	NA	In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date has not mentioned. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory	We M/s. Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. hereby confirm that there are no new works awarded to us. Thus, our existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 are same. Further, our Bid Capacity duly certified by Chartered Accountant as on 07.03.2022 is INR 1419.37 Crores and the certificate is attached herewith. The self-Undertaking in regards to above is attached separately.	Bidder has now submitted self undertaking mentioning that as there is no work awarded to us. Thus our existing commitment and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 are same. Further, Bidder has mentioned that its Bid Capacity certified by Chartered Accountant as on 07.03.2022 is INR 1419.37 Crores. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

10. Name of Bidder: M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought		
			of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		

11. Name of Bidder: M/s D.P. Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Annexure-VI of RFP	NA	In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned as 14.02.2022 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.	We would like to inform you that we have considered all the existing commitments and on - going works as on bid due date. We hereby confirm that no any additional ongoing projects left as on bid date. However your clarification(understanding), we are enclosing herewith updated bid capacity certificate dated 24th February, 2022 issued by statutory auditor of M/s D.P. Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. As per certificate, you find that no additional ongoing projects were left as on bid due date. Hence we request you to kindly consider all the existing commitments and on- going works as on bid due date (including the date of certificate by Auditor to 14.02.2.22) as per documents submitted by us	Bidder has now submitted updated bid capacity certificate dated 24th February, 2022 issued by statutory auditor of M/s D.P. Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

12. Name of Bidder: M/s Varindera Constructions Limited – M/s Varaha Infra Limited (JV) (95%:5%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Annexure-VI of M/s Varaha Infra Limited	NA	i. In column no. 4 – “Date of start / appointed Date of Project” is mentioned instead of “Date of issue of LOA/Date of opening of financial bid/ Date of start / appointed Date of Project” Bidder to clarify. ii. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 21.02.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor.	Respected Sir, it is for your kind information that, this has happened due to a typographical error. We regret for this mistake. We request you to please read "Date of issue of LOA/Date of opening of financial bid/ Date of start /appointed Date of Project" instead of "Date of start/ appointed Date of Project" in Annexure-VI, submitted by us. We undertake and confirm that there was no change in bid Capacity as on bid submission date i.e. 24.02.2022 from the date of issuance of certificate, by Statutory Auditor i.e. 21.02 .2022. Self-undertaking in this regard is also enclosed for your kind consideration.	Bidder has requested to read "Date of issue of LOA/Date of opening of financial bid/ Date of start /appointed Date of Project" instead of "Date of start/ appointed Date of Project" in Annexure-VI, submitted by us. Further, it has undertaken and confirmed that there was no change in bid Capacity as on bid submission date i.e. 24.02.2022 from the date of issuance of certificate, by Statutory Auditor i.e. 21.02 .2022 and has also submitted a Self-undertaking in this regard. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

12. Name of Bidder: M/s Varindera Constructions Limited – M/s Varaha Infra Limited (JV) (95%:5%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies by the Bidder	Our Remarks
			Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		

13. Name of Bidder: M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Clause 2.11.1 of RFP	NA	Details of submission of bid on BIMS Portal are not found in the submitted technical bid. Bidder to clarify.	BIMS receipt submitted	Bidder has now submitted the BIMS receipt. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Annexure-VI of RFP	NA	i. In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date has not mentioned. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022.	i. Updated work in Hand up to date 24.02.2022 signed by Statutory Auditor is attached herewith.	Bidder has now submitted Updated work in Hand up to date 24.02.2022 signed by Statutory Auditor. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

13. Name of Bidder: M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
			In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity. ii. In column no. 4 it has mentioned- "Date of start / appointed Date of Project" has mentioned instead of "Date of issue of LOA/Date of opening of financial bid/ Date of start / appointed Date of Project" Bidder is required to rectify the same. iii. Bidder has not submitted the certificate of value of work done for the each of the works mentioned in Table "To calculate	ii. Noted and submitted herewith. iii. Updated work in Hand up to date 24.02.2022 signed by Statutory Auditor is attached herewith	

13. Name of Bidder: M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
			the value of "B". Bidder to clarify by furnishing the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects.		

14. Name of Bidder: M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Clause 1.2.10	NA	Bidder has not submitted a Self-certification for Local Content requirement for 'Class - I local Supplier' / 'Class - II local Supplier', as the case may be. Bidder to clarify by way of furnishing Self-certificate.	Noted. We have already submitted the Self- certification for Local Content requirement for 'Class - 1 local Supplier' in the uploaded documents at Page No. 129 of uploaded file namely 1 Technical The same is being enclosed herewith again for your kind perusal and consideration as Enclosure - 1	Bidder has submitted the Self-certification for Local Content requirement for 'Class -1 local Supplier'. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Financial Statements for the last 5 years	NA	Bidder has submitted Tax Audit Report for all the financial years. Bidder has not submitted complete Audited Annual Reports for all the years. Bidder to clarify.	Noted. Independent Auditors Report & Directors Report are prepared in the case of Pvt. Ltd Company In the case of Partnership Firm, only Income Tax is applicable and ROC is not applicable. Independent Auditors Report & Directors Report are need not to be prepared. Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd, a company under Companies Act 2013 earlier known as 'Jandu Construction	Bidder has clarified that the Independent Auditors Report & Directors Report were prepared and the same has already been submitted. Further, it has re-submitted the same. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

14. Name of Bidder: M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
				Company' a partnership firm, no Independent Auditors Report & Directors Report were prepared. Accordingly, the submitted Tax Audit Report for FY 2016 - 17 , 2017 - 18 , 2018 - 19 & 2019 - 20 is complete. Thereafter since FY 2020 - 21 after formation of Pvt. Ltd. Company, The Independent Auditors Report & Directors Report were prepared and the same has already been submitted. The same is being enclosed herewith again for your kind perusal and consideration as Enclosure- 2	

15. Name of Bidder: M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Annexure-IV	A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H	In the all Certificates regarding construction works year wise payments have not been mentioned. Bidder to clarify.	Constructions works year wise payments are here with mentioned in the certificates and enclosed.	Bidder has submitted the revised certificates mentioning the Constructions works year wise payments are here with mentioned in the certificates and enclosed. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Annexure-VII and Annexure-VIII	NA	Bidder has not submitted the Annexure-VII and Annexure-VIII in the technical bid. Bidder to clarify.	Annexure-XII & Annexure- VIII were submitted in the bid. Please refer page nos. 254 to 257.	Bidder has replied that the documents were submitted in the bid. Further, it has also submitted Annexure-VII and Annexure-VIII. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

15. Name of Bidder: M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
3.	Appendix-III	NA	In the Power of Attorney Mr. Ravi Kiran has authorized as true and lawful attorney. However, the name, signature and other details of executant has not mentioned. Also, Bidder has submitted Board Resolution dated 15.02.2022 in the favour of Mr. Ravi Kiran. However, Board Resolution in the favour of executant cannot be located. Bidder to clarify.	i. Name of Executant of POA is Mr. Ravi Kiran, Managing Director, M/s. LIDIPL. ii. Board Resolution of M/s LIDIPL signed by Mr. V. Ravi Kiran, MD and Mr. V. Suresh, Director, authorised Mr. V. Ravi Kiran, MD to do the acts as mentioned therein. As per delegation given by Board, Mr V. Ravi Kiran became the Executant to execute POA. iii. It is to clarify further that Mr. V. Ravi Kiran is MD of M/s LIDIPL, Executant for POA and POA holder who signed the Bid are one and the same. Hence copies of Board resolution and POA enclosed with the bid are in order	Bidder has clarified that Mr. V. Ravi Kiran is MD of M/s LIDIPL, Executant for POA and POA holder who signed the Bid. Further, as per the Board Resolution dated 15.02.2022, submitted by the Bidder in its bid, Mr. V. Ravi Kiran has been authorized to submit the tender. Thus, in view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

16. Name of Bidder: M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Skylark Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (51%:49%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
1.	Annexure-VI of M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd.	NA	In the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B", date of issuance has been mentioned as 11.09.2021 instead of bid due date. Further undertaking for the same has submitted from the period 09.02.2022 to 23.02.2022. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 24.02.2022. In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement "To calculate the value of "B", Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed	It is submitted that, the Lead member of Bidder have submitted certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B" with issuance date of 09.02.2022. The same document has been submitted by the Bidder in pdf file named "TechnicalBanglorePart2" page no. 82 of 150 to 85 of 150 of the Bid document.. We hereby re-submit the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B" with issuance date of 09.02.2022 as Annexure-A for your kind consideration and perusal please.	Bidder has mentioned that it has submitted certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, for statement "To calculate the value of "B" with issuance date of 09.02.2022. It has re-submitted the certificate issued by Statutory Auditor, dated 09.02.2022, for statement "To calculate the value of "B". Further, Bidder has submitted undertaking from the period 09.02.2022 to 23.02.2022. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered.

16. Name of Bidder: M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Skylark Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (51%:49%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
			by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		Outcome: May be considered.
2.	Financial Statements of last five years of M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd.	NA	Bidder has not submitted the legible copy of financial statements of FY 2017-18 and 2018-19. Bidder to clarify by furnishing the legible copy of financial statements.	It is submitted that, during the process of compression / reduction of pdf file size, Audited financial statement may have become illegible. We are sorry for the same. Now we hereby provide the legible copy of Audited financial statements of S&P Infrastructure Developers Private Limited for FY2018-19 and FY2017 -18 as Annexure-B for your kind consideration and perusal please.	Bidder has submitted legible copy of Audited financial statements of S&P Infrastructure Developers Private Limited for FY 2018-19 and FY 2017 -18. In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.
3.	Financial Statements of last five years of M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers	NA	Bidder has not submitted the legible copy of financial statements of FY 2016-17 and 2020-21. Bidder to clarify by furnishing the legible copy of financial statements.	It is submitted that, during the process of compression / reduction of pdf file size, Audited financial statement may have become illegible. We are sorry for the same. Now we	Bidder has submitted legible copy of Audited financial statements of S&P Infrastructure Developers Private Limited for FY 2016-17 and 2020-21

16. Name of Bidder: M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Skylark Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (51%:49%)					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annexure No.	Project Code	Description of clarifications sought	Replies of the Bidder	Our Remarks
	Pvt. Ltd.			hereby provide the legible copy of Audited financial statements of S&P Infrastructure Developers Private Limited for FY 2016-17 and 2020-21 as Annexure-B for your kind consideration and perusal please.	In view of the clarifications received, the same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.

Annexure- C:
Technical Sheets

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode																					
M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited																					
As per RFP			Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not															
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)			483.91	1741.32	7307.97	Yes															
Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project			96.78	808.92		Yes															
Minimum Net worth			24.20	3767.19	3917.20	Yes															
Minimum Financial Capability required			72.59	8680.97	8680.97	Yes															
Bid Capacity			483.91	5591.18	16918.00	Yes															
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project	
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21									
a	Jalpa Devi Tollways Limited	2016	18-Jun-18	1	51% (22.07.2016 to 31.03.2021)	1	1	343.01	430.09	14.04	-	-	921.66	Yes	No	921.66	921.66	921.66	715.43	858.52	
h	NHAI	2016	5-Jul-19	3	-	1	1	68.56	394.05	224.29	32.48	3.41	819.66	No	Yes	819.66	819.99	819.66	674.10	808.92	
													1741.32			1741.32	1741.65	1741.32		808.92	
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	1741.32					Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes					
		Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				808.92								

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																				
M/s SRC Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.																				
As per RFP				Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not													
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)				483.91	984.02	1349.78	Yes													
Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				96.78	393.29		Yes													
Minimum Net worth				24.20	141.14	141.14	Yes													
Minimum Financial Capability required				72.59	526.26	549.54	Yes													
Bid Capacity				483.91	1328.84	1922.11	Yes													
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21								
A	CE, R&B-NH&CRF	2018	17-Oct-19	3	-	1	1	-	-	75.38	99.51	-	187.40	No	Yes	187.40	187.41	187.40	189.57	208.52
B	CE, R&B-NH&CRF	2015	29-Jun-18	3	-	1	1	76.48	35.83	10.77	-	-	144.83	No	Yes	144.83	144.82	144.82	235.63	294.53
C	CE, R&B-NH&CRF	2018	23-Jun-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	48.64	140.11	181.26	381.88	No	Yes	381.88	381.88	381.88	357.54	393.29
D	TBP, HLC	2017	9-Jul-19	4	-	0.75	1	-	-	75.69	34.20	15.99	135.16	No	Yes	101.37	101.37	101.37	116.95	134.49
E	HNSS Circle SE	2015	26-Apr-19	4	-	0.75	1	29.18	38.17	31.42	6.51	-	120.32	No	Yes	90.24	90.24	90.24	127.33	0.00
F	CE, NH Div	2017	31-Jul-17	3	-	1	1	-	30.00	17.01	16.04	8.26	78.31	No	Yes	78.31	78.33	78.31	190.92	219.56
													1047.89			984.02	984.05	984.02		393.29
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	984.02						Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes			
		Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				393.29							

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																						
M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. – M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd. (JV)																						
Lead Member: M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.													Other Member: M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd.									
As per RFP		Required	Required as per RFP (60%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not	As per RFP						Required	Required as per RFP (20%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not					
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)		483.91	290.35	338.04	402.16	Yes	Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)						483.91	96.78	250.42	486.67	Yes					
Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project		96.78	96.78	0.00		No	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project						96.78	96.78	131.19		Yes					
Minimum Net worth		24.20	14.52	102.85	102.85	Yes	Minimum Net worth						24.20	4.84	139.09	139.09	Yes					
Minimum Financial Capability required		72.59	43.55	207.04	207.04	Yes	Minimum Financial Capability required						72.59	14.52	485.19	485.19	Yes					
Bid Capacity		483.91	290.35	732.04	937.85	Yes	Bid Capacity						483.91	96.78	539.43	1680.20	Yes					
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project		
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21										
M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.																						
1a	(R&B) NH Div, Anantapur	2018	25-Mar-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	31.79	36.26	5.02	78.06	No	Yes	78.06	78.06	78.06	71.93	0.00		
1b	NH Div, Jeypore	2015	18-Jan-20	3	-	1	1	-	28.58	27.50	3.08	6.19	72.54	No	Yes	72.54	72.54	72.54	59.73	0.00		
1c	NH CRF & Blds, Hyderabad	2017	15-Oct-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	-	47.50	2.79	52.67	No	Yes	52.67	52.67	52.67	50.28	0.00		
1d	NH Div, Ananthapur amu	2018	6-Nov-21	3	-	1	1	-	-	13.24	32.48	32.65	81.32	No	Yes	81.32	81.32	81.32	95.05	0.00		
1e	NH Div, Vishakhapatnam	2018	6-Dec-21	3	-	1	1	-	-	11.92	29.85	9.01	53.46	No	Yes	53.46	53.46	53.46	69.19	0.00		
													338.05			338.05	338.05	338.04		0.00		
M/s RK Infracorp Pvt. Ltd.																						
1a	NH Circle, Kochi	2018	30-Nov-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	4.29	20.48	46.57	72.79	No	Yes	72.79	72.79	72.79	41.43	0.00		
1b	SE, Multi Zone, Kurnool	2017	16-Dec-18	4	-	0.75	1	-	21.11	41.37	-	-	69.78	No	Yes	52.34	66.65	52.34	65.04	0.00		
1c	AAI	2016	31-Jan-19	3	-	1	1	50.63	54.32	5.95	-	-	129.77	No	Yes	129.77	125.29	125.29	104.95	131.19		
													272.35			254.90	337.02	250.42		131.19		
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 crore					TOTAL	588.46					Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes						
		Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				131.19									

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																				
M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited																				
As per RFP			Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not														
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)			483.91	530.24	3175.92	Yes														
Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project			96.78	380.59		Yes														
Minimum Net worth			24.20	325.75	225.76	Yes														
Minimum Financial Capability required			72.59	781.73	3135.34	Yes														
Bid Capacity			483.91	664.78	745.07	Yes														
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21								
a	PWD	2018	27-Aug-19	3		1	1	-	8.09	86.13	56.85	2.07	165.81	No	Yes	165.81	165.81	165.81	161.88	178.07
b	PWD, UP	2018	7-Mar-20	3		1	1	-	-	34.72	67.60	52.24	161.41	No	Yes	161.41	161.41	161.41	139.88	153.87
c	Constructor a Sanjose, SA	2014	11-Jan-19	3	-	1	1	86.75	65.21	15.88	0.09	6.37	203.02	No	Yes	203.02	203.02	203.02	292.76	380.59
r													530.25			530.25	530.24	530.24		380.59
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	530.24					Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes				
		Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				380.59							

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																					
M/s VDB Projects (P) Ltd.																					
As per RFP				Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not														
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)				483.91	626.11	743.72	Yes														
Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				96.78	495.06		Yes														
Minimum Net worth				24.20	123.72	124.29	Yes														
Minimum Financial Capability required				72.59	143.21	146.70	Yes														
Bid Capacity				483.91	668.49	671.41	Yes														
Project Code	Name of Project	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project
									2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21								
a		KMC Construction Lintied	2011	31-Jul-18	3	-	1	1	36.84	18.46	-	-	-	65.44	No	Yes	65.44	65.44	65.44	341.42	495.06
b		NHAI	2018	Ongoing	3	-	1	1	0	0	0	74.79	12.56	91.09	No	Yes	91.09	91.09	91.09	254.43	0.00
c		CE ,Errmanzil	2018	Ongoing	3	-	1	1	0	0	18.73	39.25	38.94	100.76	No	Yes	100.76	100.75	100.75	232.00	0.00
d		Engineer in Chief (R&B)	2018	31-Jan-22	3	-	1	1	0	0	30.4521	67.44	2.1675	106.48	No	Yes	106.48	106.48	106.48	99.24	109.16
f		TNRSP	2015	30-Jun-18	4	-	0.75	1	26.75	18.73	0	0	0	53.64	No	Yes	40.23	53.52	40.23	57.50	0.00
g		CE , Storm Water Drain	2017	31-Mar-20	4	-	0.75	1	-	64.42	71.28	44.3		199.01	No	Yes	149.25	149.26	149.25	191.32	0.00
h		CE , Storm Water Drain	2017	30-Jun-19	4	-	0.75	1	0	0	52.84	5.39	0	63.78	No	Yes	47.84	72.86	47.84	57.46	0.00
i		CE , Storm Water Drain	2018	28-Feb-19	4	-	0.75	1			30.34			33.37	No	Yes	25.03		25.03	30.34	0.00
														713.56			626.11	639.40	626.11		495.06
			Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.				TOTAL		626.11					Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes				
			Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				TOTAL			Yes				495.06							

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																				
M/s Ramalingam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.																				
As per RFP				Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not													
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)				483.91	1121.93	2631.30	Yes													
Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				96.78	300.81		Yes													
Minimum Net worth				24.20	495.21	495.21	Yes													
Minimum Financial Capability required				72.59	913.98	913.98	Yes													
Bid Capacity				483.91	1237.70	2488.42	Yes													
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21								
a	M/s Yelahanka-AP Border Tollways Pvt. Ltd.	2015	19-Sep-18	1	74.00	1	1	88.26	134.95	24.83	23.12	29.35	342.04	Yes	No	342.04	342.04	342.04	240.65	300.81
b	M/s RCCL Expressway Pvt. Ltd.	2017	28-Aug-20	1	74.00	1	1	0	0	94.75	617.82	26.95	779.89	Yes	No	779.89	779.89	779.89	182.96	210.40
													1121.93			1121.93	1121.93	1121.93		300.81
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	1121.93					Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes				
		Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				300.81							

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																						
M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (60%:40%)																						
Lead Member: M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd.													Other Member: M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.									
As per RFP			Required	Required as per RFP (60%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not						As per RFP			Required	Required as per RFP (20%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not		
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)			483.91	290.35	355.64	439.98	Yes						Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)			483.91	96.78	191.78	853.22	Yes		
Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project			96.78	96.78	0.00		No						Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project			96.78	96.78	199.20		Yes		
Minimum Net worth			24.20	14.52	88.11	89.10	Yes						Minimum Net worth			24.20	4.84	125.08	125.08	Yes		
Minimum Financial Capability required			72.59	43.55	193.74	195.81	Yes						Minimum Financial Capability required			72.59	14.52	263.29	290.54	Yes		
Bid Capacity			483.91	290.35	601.92		Yes	Bid Capacity			483.91	96.78	923.88	1419.37	Yes							
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project		
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21										
M/s PJB Engineers Pvt. Ltd.																						
1a	BBMP	2017	15-Sep-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	21.53	11.23	1.25	36.72	No	Yes	36.72	34.01	34.01	34.01	0.00		
1c	BDA	2025	18-Dec-18	3	-	1	1	24.47	17.89	3.79	5.42	-	59.80	No	Yes	59.80	30.63	51.58	47.05	0.00		
1d	BDA	2018	4-Jan-22	3	-	1	1	-	-	-	58.07	57.99	118.96	No	Yes	118.96	116.07	116.07	172.80	0.00		
1e	BDA	2017	10-Jun-19	4	-	0.75	1	-	-	37.32	22.32	-	64.49	No	Yes	48.37	59.64	48.37	58.17	0.00		
1h	BDA	2016	301-10-2018	4	-	0.75	1	3.13	44.61	39.57	8.26	-	107.26	No	Yes	80.44	71.68	71.68	137.50	0.00		
1i	BDA	2016	30-Apr-19	4	-	0.75	1	-	23.40	11.89	5.00	-	45.24	No	Yes	33.93	40.30	33.93	40.49	0.00		
													432.47			378.22	352.33	355.64		0.00		
M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.																						
1a	Morth	2016	30-Dec-19	3	-	1	1	-	24.84	79.80	-	-	116.35	No	Yes	116.35	211.45	116.35	166.00	199.20		
1c	MMRDA	2017	30-Jun-22	4	-	0.75	1	-	6.46	9.12	25.40	56.45	100.58	No	Yes	75.44	120.89	75.44	100.95	0.00		
													216.93			191.78	332.34	191.78		199.20		
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 crore					TOTAL	547.42					Whether Bidder is Qualified				Yes					
		Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				199.20									

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																				
M/s D.P. Jain & Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.																				
As per RFP				Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not													
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)				483.91	609.52	2235.22	Yes													
Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				96.78	300.81		Yes													
Minimum Net worth				24.20	279.08	279.08	Yes													
Minimum Financial Capability required				72.59	518.99	523.08	Yes													
Bid Capacity				483.91	1239.19		Yes													
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project
								2016-17 1.2	2017-18 1.15	2018-19 1.1	2019-20 1.05	2020-21 1								
d	KSHIP	2015	31-Oct-18	3		1	1	80.70	98.01	30.16	-	-	242.73	No	Yes	242.73	242.73	242.73	240.65	300.81
e	KSHIP	2015	31-May-18	3		1	1	69.43	72.03	19.43	-	-	187.52	No	Yes	187.52	187.52	187.52	182.96	228.70
f	KSHIP	2015	31-May-18	3	-	1	1	45.11	63.83	39.41	7.99	-	179.28	No	Yes	179.28	179.27	179.27	174.00	217.50
													609.53			609.53	609.52	609.52		300.81
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	609.52						Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes			
		Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				300.81							

Four laning of existing Beas – Baba Bakala - Dera Baba Nanak Section (NH-503D) starting from Beas NH-3 (Km. 417+700) and terminating at Kartarpur Sahib Corridor (Km. 0+000 of NH-354B & Km. 153+800 of NH-354) at Dera Baba Nanak under Bharatmala Pariyojana – Package-I – from intersection with Jalandhar-Amritsar Highway (NH 3) near Beas till Start of Batala Bypass near Village Acchhal (Km 0+000 to Km 31+300) on EPC mode in the State of Punjab.																						
M/s Varindera Constructions Limited – M/s Varaha Infra Limited (JV) (95%:5%)																						
Lead Member: M/s Varindera Constructions Limited													Other Member: M/s Varaha Infra Limited (JV)									
As per RFP		Required	Required as per RFP (60%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not	As per RFP						Required	Required as per RFP (20%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not					
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)		483.00	289.80	313.40	1309.42	Yes	Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)						483.00	96.60	379.79	1103.38	Yes					
Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project		96.60	96.60	0.00		No	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project						96.60	96.60	432.00		Yes					
Minimum Net worth		24.15	14.49	176.64	176.64	Yes	Minimum Net worth						24.15	4.83	163.92	176.64	Yes					
Minimum Financial Capability required		72.45	43.47	408.94	408.94	Yes	Minimum Financial Capability required						72.45	14.49	337.43	349.57	Yes					
Bid Capacity		483.00	289.80	691.30	2228.32	Yes	Bid Capacity		483.00	96.60	554.70	1842.80	Yes									
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project		
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21										
M/s Varindera Constructions Limited																						
a	MES	2013	10-Jan-17	4	-	0.75	1	54.57	6.59	-	-	-	73.06	No	Yes	54.80	54.80	54.80	231.94	0.00		
b	MES	2014	31-Jul-19	4	-	0.75	1	63.63	39.22	11.15	-	-	133.72	No	Yes	100.29	100.29	100.29	227.20	0.00		
c	MES	2014	10-Mar-17	4	-	0.75	1	41.89	3.12	-	-	-	53.86	No	Yes	40.39	40.39	40.39	138.95	0.00		
d	DDA	2015	22-May-19	4	-	0.75	1	31.71	65.01	37.65	2.77	0.09	157.23	No	Yes	117.92	118.53	117.92	108.89	0.00		
													417.87			313.40	314.01	313.40		0.00		
M/s Varaha Infra Limited																						
a	NHAI	2015	6-Apr-19	3	-	1	1	53.00	50.34	86.00	19.94	-	237.03	No	Yes	237.03	239.76	237.03	229.34	286.68		
b	DRAIPL	2013	30-Jul-18	3	-	1	1	93.20	21.42	5.72	-	-	142.77	No	Yes	142.77	310.75	142.77	320.00	432.00		
													379.79			379.79	550.51	379.79		718.68		
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 crore					TOTAL	693.19						Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes					
		Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				432.00									

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																				
M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.																				
As per RFP				Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not													
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)				483.91	649.09	1445.14	Yes													
Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				96.78	357.14		Yes													
Minimum Net worth				24.20	142.58	148.17	Yes													
Minimum Financial Capability required				72.59	379.91	396.35	Yes													
Bid Capacity				483.91	576.17	1166.62	Yes													
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21								
a	National Highway	2018	25-Sep-20	3		1	1	-	-	70.53	254.14	-	344.43	No	Yes	344.43	344.43	344.43	324.67	357.14
c	J. M. Mhatre - S. M. Autade	2017	30-Dec-20	3		1	1	-	13.60	98.98	27.81	-	153.72	No	Yes	153.72	153.72	153.72	140.39	161.45
d	National Highway	2016	31-Mar-19	3	-	1	1	29.00	94.14	7.16	-	-	150.94	No	Yes	150.94	150.94	150.94	130.31	156.37
r													649.09			649.09	649.09	649.09		357.14
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	649.09					Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes				
		Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				357.14							

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																					
M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.																					
As per RFP				Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not														
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)				483.91	503.77	636.26	Yes														
Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				96.78	106.92		Yes														
Minimum Net worth				24.20	51.57	51.57	Yes														
Minimum Financial Capability required				72.59	173.93	209.87	Yes														
Bid Capacity				483.91	805.64	906.47	Yes														
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project	
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21									
a	PWD, Hamirpur	2016	11-Dec-20	3		1	1	5.28	37.52	7.15	8.53	3.91	70.22	No	Yes	70.22	69.44	69.44	64.00	0.00	
b	PWD, Hamirpur	2016	31-Mar-21	3		1	1	5.31	21.80	23.58	4.43	8.22	70.25	No	Yes	70.25	70.24	70.24	51.95	0.00	
d	PWD B&R Karnal	2018	10-Dec-19	3	-	1	1	-	-	18.33	25.77	2.41	49.63	No	Yes	49.63	48.70	48.70	47.67	0.00	
e	NHIDCL	2017	31-Mar-21	3	-	1	1	-	-	21.89	22.62	-	47.83	No	Yes	47.83	47.82	47.82	53.73	0.00	
g	NHAI	2018	Ongoing	3	-	1	1	-	-	-	57.05	53.09	112.99	No	Yes	112.99	112.99	112.99	131.18	0.00	
h	PWD. B&R	2018	30-Nov-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	25.57	45.74	25.89	102.04	No	Yes	102.04	102.03	102.03	97.20	106.92	
i	NHAI	2018	31-Oct-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	5.52	35.77	8.93	52.56	No	Yes	52.56	52.55	52.55	52.25	0.00	
r													505.53			505.53	503.77	503.77		106.92	
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	503.77						Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes				
		Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				106.92								

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode.																					
M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Pvt. Ltd.																					
As per RFP				Required	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not														
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)				483.91	752.09	1786.88	Yes														
Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project				96.78	302.37		Yes														
Minimum Net worth				24.20	259.51	259.51	Yes														
Minimum Financial Capability required				72.59	640.49	640.59	Yes														
Bid Capacity				483.91	3889.80	3972.46	Yes														
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project	
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21									
b	NHAI	2018	31-Jan-22	3	-	1	1	-	-	-	348.23	148.59	514.23	No	Yes	514.23	496.83	496.83	512.43	0.00	
c	NHAI	2017	24-Mar-21	3	-	1	1				170.73	75.99	255.26	No	Yes	255.26	259.07	255.26	262.93	302.37	
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 cr.					TOTAL	752.09						Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes				
		Minimum threshhold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				302.37								

Construction of 4 lane Realignment of Old NH 207 (New NH 648) from TN/KA Border (CH 0+000) to Hoskote (CH 20+900) under Bharatmala Pariyojana as a part of Bangalore Ring Road in the state Karnataka on EPC Mode																							
M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Skylark Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (JV) (51%:49%)																							
Lead Member: M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd.																Other Member: M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Private Limited							
As per RFP			Required	Required as per RFP (60%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not									As per RFP			Required	Required as per RFP (20%)	Assessed	Claimed	Qualified or not
Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)			483.91	290.35	738.79	1137.67	Yes									Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.)			483.91	96.78	180.89	251.51	Yes
Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project			96.78	96.78	181.40		Yes									Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project			96.78	96.78	626.75		Yes
Minimum Net worth			24.20	14.52	93.64	93.64	Yes									Minimum Net worth			24.20	4.84	137.88	137.90	Yes
Minimum Financial Capability required			72.59	43.55	179.56	351.03	Yes									Minimum Financial Capability required			72.59	14.52	54.09	54.09	Yes
Bid Capacity			483.91	290.35	780.62	4303.49	Yes									Bid Capacity			483.91	96.78	126.48	232.49	Yes
Project Code	Authority Name	Date of Commencement/ Contract	Date of commissioning / completion	Category	% and period of Equity Holding in the Project in case of category 1 & 2	Factor	Factor for OECD Countries	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1&2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4					Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2 or Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Whether the project is eligible under Category 1 & 2	Whether the project is eligible under Category 3 & 4	Experience Score calculated (Assessed)	Experience Score Claimed	Total Qualified Experience Score	Estimated Project Cost	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project			
								2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21											
M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd.																							
a	PWD, National highway Sector Lucknow	2018	30-Jun-20	3	-	1	1	-	-	29.95	130.27	26.19	195.92	No	Yes	195.92	195.92	195.92	164.91	181.40			
b	PWD, National highway Sector Lucknow	2018	8-Jul-21	3	-	1	1	-	-	-	73.71	71.55	148.95	No	Yes	148.95	148.95	148.95	179.28	0.00			
c	NHAI	2020	Ongoing	3	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	126.83	126.83	No	Yes	126.83	126.83	126.83	508.93	0.00			
d	NHAI	2017	Ongoing	3	-	1	1	-	-	40.10	44.13	40.01	130.46	No	Yes	130.46	130.46	130.46	142.36	0.00			
e	UPPWD, Lucknow	2016	18-Nov-17	3	-	1	1	82.28	30.40	2.68	-	-	136.64	No	Yes	136.64	136.65	136.64	116.13	121.94			
													738.79			738.79	738.81	738.79		181.40			
M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Private Limited																							
d	MPPWD	2015	31-Mar-20	3	-	1	1	7.25	9.25	13.22	9.02	2.02	45.37	No	Yes	45.37	45.45	45.37	41.10	0.00			
e	IL&FS	2017	14-Jul-18	3	-	1	1	-	46.34	22.37	-	-	77.90	No	Yes	77.90	77.89	77.89	545.00	626.75			
f	Kurushetraa Expressway Pvt. Ltd.	2017	Ongoing	3	-	1	1	-	-	5.95	26.37	27.34	61.57	No	Yes	61.57	57.63	57.63	59.28	0.00			
													184.84			184.84	230.49	180.89		626.75			
		Minimum Threshold Technical Value (INR Crs.) Min. 483.91 crore					TOTAL	919.69					Whether Bidder is Qualified			Yes							
		Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 in a single completed project					TOTAL		Yes				626.75										