

NHAI/11013/Najibabad Bypass/UP/10165

Sub: - Development of Section of Four Laning of Najibabad Bypass of NH-119 from Km. 105.423 to Km. 118.373 (excluding 2.45 Km. of NH-74 bypass), (Total Project Length = 10.764 Km.) on EPC Mode in the State of Uttar Pradesh - **(Tender ID: 2021_NHAI_98156_1).**

02.03.2022**Result of Technical Evaluation**

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Evaluation Status of the Bidders
1.	M/s Pawan Kumar	Technically Non-Responsive in terms of Clause 2.2.2.2(i) of the RFP.
2.	M/s Manaranjan Brahma	Technically Responsive and Qualified
3.	M/s Progressive Constructions Ltd. - M/s RVM Constructions India Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive in terms of Clause 2.2.2.3 and Clause 3.1.5 of the RFP.
4.	M/s M G Contractors Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive and Qualified
5.	M/s Himalayan Construction - M/s Doon Associates	Technically Non-Responsive in terms of Clause 2.2.2.2(i) and Clause 3.1.5 of the RFP.
6.	M/s Hillways Construction Company Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive and Qualified
7.	M/s Himalayan Infracorp Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive and Qualified
8.	M/s SRSC Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive and Qualified
9.	M/s Prabhu Nath Prasad Thekedar – M/s PBC Infrastructure India Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive and Qualified

Reasons for Non-responsive

1. M/s Pawan Kumar

S. No.	Reference/ Clause	Observation/Query	Replies by the Bidder	Remarks
1.	Annex - IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	The Bidder has claimed project code a: - a. "Construction of Road & Civil works for "IMT Rohtak Phase III project of HSIIDC (Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation)" under category 3. Bidder was required for furnishing duly certified valid documentary evidence, as to why this project should be considered under category 3 as per Clause 2.2.2.5 of the RFP document.	Project Code – A cover under category-3, As per clause 2.2.2.5 this is state highway projects connected to national highway NH-10 (Delhi/Haryana Border to Rohtak Section, NH-10) (Serial of work order attached for your ready reference. (work detail and quantity mentioned)	The documentary evidence provided by the Bidder is insufficient to establish that the project claimed by the Bidder is a State Highway. In view of this, the same does not fall under any of the categories mentioned in Clause 2.2.2.5 of the RFP. Therefore, the same cannot be considered for further evaluation.

2. M/s Progressive Constructions Ltd. - M/s RVM Constructions India Pvt. Ltd.

S.No.	Reference/ Clause	Observation/ Query	Replies by the Bidder	Remarks
1.	Annual Reports	The Financial Statements submitted by the Bidder for the FY 2020-21, 2019-20, 2018-19 and 2017-18 contain a qualified opinion by the Statutory Auditor of the Bidder. The Bidder was required to clarify by way of furnishing documentary evidence from its current Statutory Auditor showcasing the impact of the qualified opinion on the Bidder's Net worth and Annual Turnover w.r.t to Financial years	We would like to submit undertaking (Attachment-I) for the Financial Statements submitted by us for FY 2020-21, 2019-20, 2018-19 and 2017-18 contain a qualified opinion of the Statutory Auditor.	The Bidder has provided a self-explanation and not provided the documentary evidence sought from its Statutory Auditor stating that it's SA is travelling abroad. Therefore, in this instance, the impact of such qualified opinion on the Bidder's Net

		containing the said qualified opinion.		worth cannot be ascertained and the same cannot be considered for further evaluation.
2.	Annexure – VI (Bid Capacity)	In case of the other member “M/s RVM Constructions India Pvt. Ltd.”, the Bidder has provided a certificate issued by the Statutory Auditor, for statement “To calculate the value of “B” dated 05.02.2022. However, the certificate does not carry a UDIN. Please clarify.	We would like to submit the other member M/s. RVM Constructions India Pvt. Ltd. details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works (Value of B) is duly certified by the Statutory Auditor with UDIN.	The Bidder has provided a revised certificate “To calculate the value of “B”, issued by the Statutory Auditor dated 17.02.2022. However, the same is not as per format provided in the RFP wherein, the Bidder has not mentioned the no. of years in the line above the table. Further, the para below the table “to calculate the value of B” has also not been mentioned. Therefore, the same cannot be considered for further evaluation.

3. Name of Bidder: M/s Himalayan Construction - M/s Doon Associates (JV)

S.No.	Reference/ Clause	Observation/ Query	Replies by the Bidder	Remarks
1.	Annex – IV (Details of Eligible projects)	In case of all the projects claimed by both the JV members, the project cost certified by the Statutory Auditor is inclusive of GST. Therefore, the Bidder is required to clarify whether the year wise payments received by the Bidder as certified by the Statutory Auditor of the Bidder are inclusive of GST or exclusive of GST.	-	We have not received any reply regarding the query sought. Therefore, the Bidder does not meet the criteria of Clause 2.2.2.2(i) and Clause 3.1.5 of the RFP.

		Please clarify.		
2.	Annex – IV (Details of Eligible projects)	In case of project code ‘d’ claimed by M/s Himalayan Construction and project code ‘b’ claimed by M/s Doon Associates, the name of the project is same. The Bidder is required to clarify as to how the same project is being claimed by both the JV members.	-	We have not received any reply regarding the query sought. Therefore, the Bidder does not meet the criteria of Clause 2.2.2.2(i) and Clause 3.1.5 of the RFP.