



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS, GOVT. OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office: Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gamil.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No.NHAI/RO-BNG/Road Safety/Tender/2022/4933

Date: 28.03.2022

To,
All participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of 1) Railway Under Bridge (RUB-470), Box Culvert, Service Road at Km 24+670 (Old Chandapura) and 2) Bus Shelter and Bus bay of RHS at Km. 24+830 and 3) Bus Shelter and Bus bay of LHS at Km.24+850 for Remedial Measures to accident prone locations in the stretch of Silk Board Junction to Attibele Km.8+765 to Km 33+130 of NH-44 (Old NH-07) in the State of Karnataka under EPC mode - **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids (Stage-1) - reg.**

Ref: NIT No.41/NHAI/RO-BNG/22018/Tender/2021-22 Dated 11.11.2021

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 27.12.2021 till 1100 Hrs. Bids were opened on 28.12.2021 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical / Stage-I evaluation is enclosed as **Annexure-I**.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 03 days of receipt of this letter. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time.

3. This issues with the approval of CGM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja)
Manager (Tech)

Regional Office - Bengaluru

Annexure - I

Technical Evaluation Result (Stage-I)

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Capacity		Financial Capacity		Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 19.77 Cr.) (Rs. in Cr.)	Remarks
		Experience Score Assessed (Required = Rs. 14.83 Cr) (Rs. in Cr)	Whether Bidder meets Completed Bridge Project for 5.70 m and cost > 3.95 cr.	Net Worth Assessed (Required = Rs. 0.99 Cr.) (Rs. in Cr)	Average Annual Turnover (Required Rs. 2.97 Cr.) (Rs. in Cr.)		
1	M/s Dalip Singh Adhikari	43.41	No Such Project	23.27	79.55	24.35	Non Responsive & Ineligible for opening of Financial Bid (For details, refer Note-1 beneath this table)
2	M/s G Koteswara Rao	42.65	Yes	8.98	31.57	84.52	Non Responsive & Ineligible for opening of Financial Bid (For details, refer Note-2 beneath this table)
3	M/s Ghai Construction Pvt Ltd	54.80	Yes	31.54	75.90	86.92	Qualified for opening of Financial Bid
4	M/s KJK Infratech Pvt. Ltd	31.43	Yes	2.37	11.55	27.63	Qualified for opening of Financial Bid
5	M/s N Ranganatha	Non Responsive & Ineligible for opening of Financial Bid (For details, refer Note-3 beneath this table)					
6	M/s PJB Engineers Pvt Ltd	85.58	Yes	88.11	195.80	66.15	Qualified for opening of Financial Bid
7	M/s Vidya Infrabuilt Private Limited	105.95	Yes	10.42	40.64	141.57	Qualified for opening of Financial Bid

Note-1: Dalip Singh Adhikari

Bidder is not qualifying the criteria pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP, which is reproduced here, "The sole Bidder shall have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/RUB project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 5.70 meter and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost." (from 01.04.2011 to 31.03.2021).



Project claimed by the bidder is Construction of Foot Swing Bridge ("Pedal Jhulapul" as stated by client certificate submitted) under SPAR of 70m. Cost of the project is Rs. 3.47 cr.

The above said work does not covered under similar bridge work, therefore, the said project is not considered for evaluation. Further, there is no other similar completed bridge project (as on 31.03.2021) in the submitted bid.

Based on above facts, Bidder is not qualifying the criteria pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP, therefore bidder is considered as non responsive and ineligible for next stage on this ground.

Further it is to bring to your kind notice that Bidder was required to provide the UDIN details for certificates issued by its statutory auditor [specifically w.r.t. Details of value of B (ongoing commitments details for calculation of bid capacity) and w.r.t. Local Content Certificate]. Clarifications were sought from the bidder for the same. Bidder in its reply has asked for extension of time for providing the requisite details.

Note - 2: G Koteshwara Rao

Bidder is considered as Non- Responsive due to following reasons:

1. Details of Value of B (ongoing commitments details for calculation of bid capacity) submitted is not as per the required format of RFP. Further the details of Value of A & Value of B (Information for calculation of Bid Capacity) are required to be certified by the statutory auditor of the Bidder or in jurisdictions that do not have statutory auditors, the firm of auditors which audits the annual accounts of the Applicant which in present case is M/s RM Shetty & Co., therefore Bidder was required to provide the certificate from M/s Rai & Shetty. However, Bidder has provided the same from M/s RM Shetty & Co. which is not acceptable as per RFP.
2. As per RFP, Bidder is required to submit complete Audited Annual Reports for last five financial years. Annual statements submitted by the bidder (at the end of Balance Sheet & P/L Account) auditor has mentioned, "As per our attached report of even date". However, Bidder has not submitted any such report or Form 3 CB/ CA etc for last five years which is required to be incorporated in the Annual statements as per Section 44AB of Income Tax Act 1961.
3. Appendix IX (GFR related certificate), submitted by the bidder is not as per the required format of RFP (read along with corrigendum). Following line is missing - **as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no.6/18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020.**

Also, it is to bring to your kind notice that, Self-Certification of the Bidder regarding Percentage of Local Content upon Construction is not found in the submitted bid nor with the replies to clarification sought from bidder. Further, a certificate submitted by the bidder in the bid which is signed by R. M. Shetty & Company which states that '*bidder is not purchasing/ has no receipts outside of India. The total purchases and receipts from local content is 100%.*'



However, there is no undertaking submitted regarding giving the percentage of Local Content upon Construction of the subject Project and specifying the class of Local Supplier of the Bidder along with the details of location(s) at which the local value addition is made. Based on above facts, Bidder will be treated as Non Local Supplier as per the provisions of the RFP.

Note - 3: N Ranganatha

Bid submitted by the bidder, following documents are required to be submitted in the bid as per RFP and are not found in the submitted bid: *Appendix IA, IB, III, IX, Annex I, V, VIII, Integrity Pact, MOA, Annual Reports, Undertaking to abide by Bid Documents, etc.*

Clarifications were sought from the bidder. Replies submitted by the Bidder were not satisfactory. Also, bidder has not submitted the required documents as per RFP in the bid, therefore, Bidder is considered as Non- Responsive and ineligible for next stage.

