



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS, GOVT. OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office: Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gamil.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No.NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/2022/5006

Date: 31.03.2021

To,
All participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of LVUP at Ajjagondanahalli at grade Junction Ch.82+410 (KA-02-466) and, Nelahal at grade Junction Ch.91+000 (KA-02-175) Under road safety for rectification of Block spot in the stretch of Tumkur-Chitradurga section from Km 75+00 to 189+000 of NH-48 (Old NH-4) In the state of Karnataka On EPC Mode- **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids (Stage-1)** - reg.

Ref: NIT No.39/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/2021-22 dated 01.11.2021

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 23.12.2021 till 1100 Hrs. Bids were opened on 24.12.2021 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical / Stage-I evaluation is enclosed as **Annexure-I**.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 03 days of receipt of this letter i.e. on or before 04.04.2022 at 15.00hrs. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time.

3. This issues with the approval of CGM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja)
Manager (Tech)
RO - Bengaluru

Annexure-I

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Score Assessed			Financial Score Assessed		Bid Capacity	Conclusion
		Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)	Clause 2.2.2.3 (i) Net Worth	Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii) Turnover			
		Min. Required Technical Threshold Rs. 29.65 Cr (Rs. Cr.)	One similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 6 meter and cost of such similar project shall be atleast 7.91 Cr. (Rs. Cr.)	Length of Span (meter)	Cost of Structure (Rs. Cr.)	Min. required Net Worth Rs. 1.98 Cr.	Min. Required Average Annual Turnover Rs. 5.93 Cr.	
Bidders qualified for next bidding stage								
1.	RS Development and Constructions India Private Limited.	172.49	9.14	10.45	36.75	175.40	199.48	Qualified for Next Stage
Bidders Not eligible for next bidding stage								
2.	Abdul Kalam Azad	84.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.21	0.00	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-1)
3.	Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd.	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.76	8.02	18.07	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-2)
4.	Ayyamar Infraprojects India Private Limited	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-3)						
5.	B H R Constructions	51.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.77	0.00	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-4)



Annexure-I

6.	KGK Engineers Private Limited	17.32	32.00 meter	13.18	0.00	9.01	88.19	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-14)
7.	Krishi Infratech	56.55	28.61 meter	56.31	38.39	219.98	-259.11	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-5)
8.	M G Arunkumar	52.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	34.18	0.00	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-6)
9.	Paidala Thirupathi Reddy & Bros.	81.48	0.00	0.00	7.44	59.68	41.54	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-7)
10.	PDR Projects Private Limited	248.00	0.00	0.00	13.05	56.36	144.08	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-8)
11.	RPP Infra Projects Limited	326.74	12.70	0.00	261.17	546.94	75.55	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-9)
12.	Sree Bhramara Infrastructures Private Limited	31.33	0.00	0.00	9.38	17.40	79.13	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-10)
13.	S L V Constructions	79.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.45	0.00	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-11)
14.	The Trinity Group	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (refer note-12)						
15.	Vijay Kumar Sahu Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	122.36	16.00 meter	57.15	0.00	60.53	0.00	Not eligible for next stage of bidding (please refer note-13 below)

As per RFP clause 2.2.2.1 (Note no-4). "The Bid capacity status of the bidder to be updated as on the day before opening the financial bids", Accordingly, all the qualified (qualified for next stage) bidders are required to submit updated Bid Capacity the day before opening the financial bids. Hence Authority may note that the same to be asked for the same form all the qualified (qualified for next stage) bidders.



Annexure-I

Note-1 Abdul Kalam Azad:- The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) i.e. having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).
In addition to above, there are certain other reasons of disqualification/observations, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-2 Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd.- The bidder has claimed all Project pertains to maintenance work. However as per RFP clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (II) *Maintenance works are not considered as eligible project for evaluation as per Instruction No.6 to Annex-IV*. Hence bidder is not meeting the minimum technical threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) and 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP. Hence considered as Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-3 Ayyamar Infraprojects India Private Limited:- The bidder has not submitted the reply of clarification asked. Authority may reject/disqualify the bid of the bidder as per RFP clause 3.1.5. Hence considered as Non- Responsive. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-4 B H R Constructions: The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical Capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) i.e. having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-5 Krishi Infratech- The bidder has not provided certificate for each project mentioned in table of value of B. Hence, we have considered full contract value for those project whose certificate for value of work done not provided by bidder. After considering full contract value for those projects, the bidder is not meeting the minimum required bid capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1. Hence Considered as Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

102

Annexure-I

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-6 M G Arunkumar- The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) i.e. having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-7 Paidala Thirupathi Reddy & Bros.- The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) i.e. having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-8 PDR Projects Private Limited:- Bridge span length is not mentioned in the Certificate provided by the bidder. Hence, bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) i.e. having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project. Therefore bidder is considered Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-9 RPP Infra Projects Limited- The Bidder has not provided the cost of Major bridge, however, as per RFP atleast one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Hence the bidder may be considered as Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-10 Sree Bhramara Infrastructures Private Limited - The bidder has submitted the certificate from MPRDC, where the span length of the bridge is not mentioned.. However, the same is required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP. Hence, bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity,

10

Annexure-I

required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) i.e. having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project. Therefore, bidder is considered Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-11 S L V Constructions:- The bidder is not meeting the minimum required Technical capacity, required under clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) i.e. having span equal to or greater than 6 meter (50% of the longest span) of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be atleast 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Therefore, the bidder is considered Technically Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-12 The Trinity Group:- The bidder has declared himself as technically ineligible. Hence considered as Disqualified. (please refer Annexure-I attached with this report for detail).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-13 Vijay Kumar Sahu Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.:- 1. On the basis of available documents, it is not clear whether the audit of Financial Year 2020-21 is not completed as on Bid Due Date. Accordingly, in the absence of Audited Financial Statements of FY 2020-21, the assessment of Net Worth of the Bidder, which is required at the close of preceding financial year i.e. FY 2020-21 as per clause 2.2.2.3(i) is not possible.
Therefore, in view of the above, the Bidder fails to meet the eligibility criteria of having minimum Net Worth (the "Financial Capacity") at the close of the preceding financial year which is required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) of RFP.
2. UDIN is not mentioned on the Statutory auditor certificate certifying the value of 'B' (Annexure-VI).

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

Note-14 KGK Engineers Private Limited:- The certificate w.r.t. Annex-III and Annex-IV have been issued by P. Prabhu & Associates instead of Statutory Auditor of the Bidder, i.e. Iyer & Rao, Chartered Accountants. Hence, the same may not be considered. Therefore, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is declared as Non-responsive as per Clause 3.1.6.1 read along with Clause 2.2.2.9 (ii) of RFP.

In addition to above, there are certain **other reasons of disqualification/observations**, which have not been complied by the Bidder (Refer Annexure-A of our Report).

100

