



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075

G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200

NHAI/OD/DC/Brahmani/2021/efile-106808

Date: 23.03.2022

To,

All Participating Bidders;

Sub: Construction of new 3-Lane Major Bridge over River Brahmani at Km. 401.250 of NH-200 (New NH -53) in Duburi - Chandikhole Section in the State of Odisha on (EPC) Mode.-Result Upload-reg.

Ref: RFP dated 21.10.2021.

Sirs,

Please refer to your bid submission for RFP dated 21.10.2021 as cited above with Bid Due Date 03.01.2022 and Bid opening date as 04.01.2022 for the subject project.

2. In this regards, it is to inform that based on the bids and subsequent clarifications received, the Technical Evaluation has been completed. In compliance to clause 2.19 of RFP, the result is enclosed herewith as **Annexure-I** including reasons for non- eligibility.

3. In view of the above, it is requested to submit representations, if any, within 7 (seven) days i.e. on or before 30.03.2022.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours sincerely,

(P.N. Gawasane)

General Manager (T)-Odisha

Annexure-I

Sub.: Construction of new 3-Lane Major Bridge over River Brahmani at Km. 401.250 of NH-200 (New NH -53) in Duburi - Chandikhole Section in the State of Odisha on (EPC) Mode.-Result Upload-reg.

Summary of Technical Evaluation

Sl. No.	Name of the Bidder	Test of Responsiveness	Technical Threshold Capacity (from Category 1, 2, 3, 4), Rs. Cr.	Stand-alone specialized structure (Length of single span) / Length of Bridge/ Completion Year	Completed Cost of specialized structure, Rs. Cr.	Net Worth, Rs. Cr.	Average Annual Turnover, Rs. Cr.	Bid Capacity, Rs. Cr.	Whether Eligible for next stage of bidding?
Required Threshold			JV-107.54 LM-64.52 OM-21.51	60 Meters	43.00	5.38	16.13	107.54	
1.	MSIL - UC (JV) MS Infra Engineers Private Limited (LM) Unique Construction (OM)	Responsive	228.60 149.03 79.57	Span 150.00 m Length of Bridge - 918 m (2018)	64.90	76.44 25.86 50.58	206.76 71.03 135.73	579.03 530.14 48.88	Yes
2.	Gammon Engineers and Contractor Pvt. Ltd. - AJR Infra and Tolling Ltd. (formerly known as Gammon Infrastructure Projects Limited) Gammon Engineers & Contractors Private Limited (LM) AJR Infra & Tolling Limited (OM)	Non - Responsive	813.48 785.24 28.24	Span 106.00 m Length of Bridge - 8,465 m (2018)	825.12	1,100.93 247.79 853.14	2,538.17 2,457.60 80.87	- -805.87 674.81	No
3.	Royal Infraconstru Limited	Responsive	200.65	Span 62.043 m Length of Bridge - 910 m (2018)	172.58	229.34	440.18	726.97	Yes
4.	SP Singla Constructions Private Limited	Responsive	751.76	Span 170 m Length of Bridge - 1,300 m (2019)	111.88	739.49	1700.25	1,511.13	Yes
5.	TLL - EVRASCON Trans-rail Lighting Limited (LM) OJSC Euro-Asian Construction Corporation (EVRASCON) (OM)		357.42 90.41 267.01	Span 180.00 m Length of Bridge - 662.2 m (2014)	717.21	825.43 508.22 317.21	2908.94 1,644.14 1,264.80	- -363.79 8,178.09	No
6.	BEKEM - VNC (JV)	Responsive	320.68	Span 70.00 m	133.23	387.13	1479.21	7,531.83	Yes

Danabhai
23/03/2022

Sl. No.	Name of the Bidder	Test of Responsiveness	Technical Threshold Capacity (from Category 1, 2, 3, 4), Rs. Cr.	Stand-alone specialized structure (Length of single span) / Length of Bridge/ Completion Year	Completed Cost of specialized structure, Rs. Cr.	Net Worth, Rs. Cr.	Average Annual Turnover, Rs. Cr.	Bid Capacity, Rs. Cr.	Whether Eligible for next stage of bidding?
Required Threshold			JV-107.54 LM-64.52 OM-21.51	60 Meters	43.00	5.38	16.13	107.54	
	Bekem Infra Projects Private Limited (LM)		160.07	Length of Bridge - 1422.16 m (2018)		267.51	605.35	4,766.77	
	Vijay Nirman Company Private Limited (OM)		160.61			119.62	866.61	2,765.06	

A. M/s Gammon Engineers and Contractor Pvt. Ltd. - AJR Infra and Tolling Ltd. (formerly known as Gammon Infrastructure Projects Limited)

a) As per the issued Termination Notice issued by MPRDC in August 2020 to one of the JV partners of the bidder, the project was terminated on account of multiple reasons, few of which are listed below:

- i) Non - Completion of construction of the project highway as per Article 12 of the Concession Agreement.
- ii) Non - maintenance of project highway as per under Article 12.2 of the Concession Agreement.
- iii) Suspension of rights of Concession Agreement by Authority on request from lender representative etc.

b) As per Clause 2.1.14 of the RFP, following is specified:

"The Bidder, including an individual or any of its Joint Venture member, should not be a non-performing party on the bid submission date. The Bidder, including any Joint Venture Member, shall be deemed to be a non-performing party if it attracts any or more of the following conditions in any of the ongoing or completed project:

- (i)
- (ii)....

..
(viii) Fails to fulfil its obligations to maintain a highway in a satisfactory condition in spite of two rectification notices issued in this regard;....."

c) Therefore, as per Clause 2.1.14 of the RFP, Bidder falls under the category of non-performing entity and is not eligible for next stage of bidding i.e. opening of financial of Bids.

d) As regards Annexure-VI Bid Capacity of Lead Member, Bidder in its reply has furnished work executed certificate for 13 ongoing projects out of 35 ongoing claimed project mentioned in the submitted bid. Based on the submission made by Bidder, Bid Capacity of the Bidder works out to be less than the requisite criteria for lead member of Bidder. Accordingly, Bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria as per Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP. Hence, Bidder is not eligible for next stage of Bidding.

e) As regards Annexure-VI Bid Capacity of Other Member, reply furnished by Bidder is not in the context of the clarification sought from the Bidder which is not acceptable. Hence, bidder has been considered as Non-responsive and is not eligible for next stage of bidding i.e. opening of financial of Bids.

f) Bidder was asked to provide certificate duly providing details with respect to delayed projects in project code "3" supported with valid certificate issued from Independent Engineer / Authority's Engineer/ Supervision Consultant / Engineer - in - charge/concerned Government Department in - accordance with Clause 2.11.1 (k) and 2.1.8 of the RFP. Bidder has not furnished any reply to the clarification. Accordingly, Bidder has been considered as non - responsive.

g) Lead member of the Bidder was asked to furnish revised Appendix IX in accordance with corrigendum 3 dated 24.12.2021. Bidder has not furnished any reply to the clarification. Accordingly, Bidder has been considered as non - responsive.

h) Lead Member of the bidder M/s Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt. Ltd. has been availing the deferment of recovery of Mobilization Advance and vide letter dated 03.01.2022 has submitted/ committed that they are not planning to bid new projects in NHAI till the Banking Resolution is in place and will first streamline the existing projects in NHAI.

B. M/s Transrail Lighting Limited (TLL) - OJSC Euro-Asian Construction Corporation (EVRASCON)

a) Lead Member of the Bidder (i.e. Transrail Lighting Limited) was asked to furnish revised certificate from its Statutory Auditor Certificate providing details of ongoing project and value of work completed as on Bid Due Date as per the prescribed format provided in RFP. Bidder in its reply has furnished revised list of ongoing project as on Bid Due Date. However, Bidder has not furnished revised undertaking from its Statutory Auditor along with the revised list of projects as on Bid Due Date. Accordingly, Bidder has been considered as non - responsive.

b) Lead member of Bidder (i.e. Transrail Lighting Limited) to furnish supporting documents issued by client duly signed by Executive Engineer or equivalent in order to substantiate the total amount of work executed for claimed ongoing projects as on Bid Due Date in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP. Bidder in its reply has claimed more than 70 ongoing project sites in India and Abroad. Further, Bidder has claimed that the Bid Capacity of Bidder is more than required Bid Capacity even if project are considered on LOA. Accordingly, Bidder has furnished LOA of its ongoing project and has not furnished work executed supporting documents issued by client /duly signed by Executive Engineer or equivalent for claimed ongoing projects. However, Bid Capacity of lead member of Bidder works out to be less than requisite criteria, when ongoing work of lead member is considered on LOA value. Accordingly, Bidder is not meeting the Bid Capacity eligibility criteria as per Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP. Hence, Bidder is not eligible for next stage of Bidding.


23/03/2022