



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS, GOVT. OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.

Regional Office: Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No.NHAI/RO-BNG/Road Safety/Tender/2022 /4975

Date: 30.03.2022

To,
All participated Bidders,

Sub: Permanent Rectification of Blackspot KA-(02)-304 by Constructing VOP with Retaining wall Approaches at Chainage Km. 314+092 and Service Road (Chainage Km. 313+650 - Km. 314+720) on NH - 66 at Santhekatte in the State of Karnataka under EPC Mode - Hosting of final outcome of Technical Evaluation of bids & Disposal of objections - Reg.

Ref NIT No.32/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/2021-22 dated 22.09.2021

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 22.10.2021 till 15.00 Hrs. Bids were opened on 25.10.2021 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The replies were sought from the non-responsive bidders by giving 07 days of time period to respond in case of any objection. The objections submitted by the bidders have been examined by the Evaluation Committee and final outcome is as detailed below;

Sr. No.	Name of the bidders	Final Outcome	Remarks
1	M/s. Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure and Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Refer Note 1 beneath this table
2	M/s. Hindustan Construction.	Responsive	-
3	M/s. Iqbal Ahmed Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	-
4	M/s. The Trinity Group.	Responsive	-
5	M/s. B S M Construction Company.	Non-Responsive	Refer Note 2 beneath this table
6	M/s. Concord Construction.	Non-Responsive	Refer Note 4 beneath this table
7	M/s. M.G. Arun Kumar.	Responsive	-
8	M/s. R. S. Nayak & Co.	Non-Responsive	Refer Note 3 beneath this table

10

Note-1: Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd.

All the Projects as claimed by the Bidder in support of its Technical Capacity are not covered any Category as specified in RFP by virtue of Clause 2.2.2.5(iii)(II) of RFP, as a result of which the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP of having Threshold Technical Capacity of Rs. 18.35 Crore and clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP of having one similar works of 20% of EPC i.e. Rs. 4.89 Crore completed in last five financial years.

Bidder has submitted PoA as per RFP which is executed on 15 December 2021 and Bid due date. 25.10.2011. Hence as per RFP Clause 3.1.6.1 (d) bidder is considered to be non responsive.

In the absence of the Certificates in support of value of all existing commitments works for which Appointed Date/ Commencement Date has been declared and on-going works issued by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer, we assessed the value of Bid Capacity as Rs. 22.81 crore (based on full value of obgoing projects for value of B) which is less than required minimum Bid Capacity of Rs. 24.47 crore. Therefore, Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Therefore, the bid is considered as Non-Responsive

Note-2: M/S B. S M CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.

The bidder has not submitted all the required documents for carrying out evaluation. Further, the bidder not satisfy the minimum criteria of Completed Eligible Projects (Rs.4.89) in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii). Kindly see page 24-25 for further details.

Further, the bidder has, instead of indicating the location of Appendix-1B in submitted file, has re-submitted Appendix-1B in its reply to clarification asked with **Financial Quote**. The said Financial Quote required to be submitted **online only**.

In the absence of the Certificates in support of value of A, B and C for calculation of Bid Capacity, we are not able to assess the value of Bid Capacity. Therefore, Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Assessed Available Bid Capacity of Rs. 24.47 crore specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Therefore, the bid is considered as Non-Responsive.

Note-3: M/s RS NAYAK & Co.

The bidder has, instead of indicating the location of Appendix-1B in submitted file, has re-submitted Appendix-1B in its reply to clarification asked with **Financial Quote**. The said Financial Quote required to be submitted **online only**. Therefore, the bid is considered as Non-Responsive.

Note-4: M/s Concord Construction.

Only one project is claimed by the bidder which is not completed as on 31.03.2021. The status of the completion of Project is referred from the reply of the bidder given to the Authority in another project in which the bidder informed that the project is completed for a value of Rs. 84.32cr as on 31.03.2021 out of Total Value of Rs. 98.32 cr. The completion percentage is 85.76% as a result of which the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP of having one similar works of 20% of EPC i.e. Rs. 4.89 Crore completed in last five financial years. Therefore, the bid is considered as Non-Responsive.



2. In view of the above, financial bids of the 04 Nos. of Technically responsive bidders (as mentioned in Table above) will be opened on **31.03.2022** at 16:00 Hrs, if possible.

3. This issues with the approval of CGM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja) 30/3/2022
Manager (Tech)
Regional Office - Bengaluru