



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS, GOVT. OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office: Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No.NHAI/RO-BNG/Road Safety/Tender/2022/4605

Date: 02.03.2022

To,
All participated Bidders,

Sub: Permanent Rectification of Blackspot KA-(02)-304 by Constructing VOP with Retaining wall Approaches at Chainage Km. 314+092 and Service Road (Chainage Km. 313+650 - Km. 314+720) on NH - 66 at Santhekatte in the State of Karnataka under EPC Mode - **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids (Stage-1)- Reg.**

Ref NIT No.32/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/2021-22 dated 22.09.2021

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 22.10.2021 till 1500 Hrs. Bids were opened on 25.10.2021 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical / Stage-I evaluation is enclosed as **Annexure-I**.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 07 days of receipt of this letter. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time.

3. This issues with the approval of CGM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja)
Manager (Tech)
Regional Office - Bengaluru

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Score Assessed		Financial Score Assessed		Bid Capacity	Remarks
		Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Min. Reqt. Rs. 18.35 Cr	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) Single Work Min. Reqt. Rs. 4.89 Cr.	Clause 2.2.2.3 (i) Net Worth Min. Reqt. Rs. 1.22 Cr.	Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii) Turnover Min. Reqt. Rs. 3.65 Cr.	Min Reqt. Rs. 24.47 Cr	
1.	Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd.	20.45	11.54	2.76	10.70	22.81 Not meeting	Not responsive. Refer Note-1
2.	M/s MG ARUN KUMAR	82.10	11.09	16.06	27.54	68.77	Responsive
3.	M/S B. S. M CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	27.57	0.00	3.83	7.17	0.00 Not meeting	Not responsive. Refer Note 2
4.	M/s Hindustan Construction	45.86	19.06	20.32	19.12	75.98	Responsive
5.	Iqbal Ahmad Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	74.30	36.54	24.06	31.93	157.10	Responsive
6.	M/s R.S NAYAK & Co.	Not meeting (17.48)	12.25	16.15	32.24	119.49	Not responsive. Refer Note 3
7.	M/s Concord Construction	92.77	138.19	8.02*	19.31	76.21	Responsive Pending
8.	M/s The Trinity Group	32.14	27.15	1159.58	5071.58	19896.58	Responsive

Note-1: M/s Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers (P) Ltd.

- a) Bidder has not submitted project specific POA along with supporting documents hence clarification has been asked as required Bidder has submitted POA without supporting document having executed on 15 December 2021 and Bid due date. 25.10.2011

Hence as per RFP Clause 3.1.6.1 (d) bidder is seems to be non-responsive.

- b) The Bidder is required to comply clause 2.2.2.1 Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annexure VI of Appendix 1A The Bidder is required to comply clause 2.2.2.1 (Note) which states that "Statement showing the value of all existing commitments for which Appointed Date/Commencement Date has

been declared and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor". The same has not been found in the submitted information of the bidder.

For this clarification bidder has replied that We herewith confirm you that in bid capacity the ongoing works list, calculation of value of "B" is only maintenance works, the clients was not provided for appointment date. We have considered the date of agreement is only for appointment date, this is for your information. The proof of value of work certified copies is herewith submitted along with this letter.

As per the reply of bidder, completed work proof of ongoing works has been submitted, however we have only found the details of experience claimed for meeting Threshold Technical Capacity instead of completed value details of ongoing projects as mentioned in value of B table.

Therefore, Bid Capacity has been calculated as per Full Value of Contracts for the respective ongoing projects. So bidders has fail to meet the minimum requirement of Bid capacity

Note-2: M/S B. S. M CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

The bidder has not submitted all the required documents for carrying out evaluation. Further, the bidder does not satisfy the minimum criteria of Completed Eligible Projects (Rs.4.89) in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii). Kindly see page 24-25 for further details. Hence as per RFP clause 3.1.6.1 (a) bidder has non-responsive.

Note 3: M/s R.S NAYAK & Co.

The bidder has claimed 3 projects to qualify for Threshold Technical capacity of Rs. 18.35 cr. with claimed Threshold Technical capacity of Rs. 19.50 cr. Out of such 3 projects, one project is of PMGSY nature which is considered under category 4 as per clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) (V) instead of claimed Category 3. Therefore, the calculated Threshold Technical capacity of the Bidder is Rs. 17.48 cr. which is less than required i.e 18.35 cr. Therefore, bidder is considered as Non-Eligible as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP.