



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS, GOVT. OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office: Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No.NHAI/RO-BNG/Road Safety/Tender/2022/4606

Date: 02.03.2022

To,
All participated Bidders,

Sub: Permanent Rectification of Blackspot KA-(02)-345 by Constructing Vehicular Overpass (VOP) with Retaining wall at Chainage Km.374+200 and Service Road (Chainage Km.374+000 - Km. 375+600) on NH-66 at KPT junction in the State of Karnataka under EPC mode - **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids (Stage-1) - reg.**

Ref NIT No.31/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/2021-22 dated 22.09.2021

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 22.10.2021 till 1500 Hrs. Bids were opened on 25.10.2021 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical / Stage-I evaluation is enclosed as **Annexure-I**.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 07 days of receipt of this letter. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time.

3. This issues with the approval of CGM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,

V. Padma
(Vutla Padmaja)
Manager (Tech)

Regional Office - Bengaluru

NDF.31

Annexure - 1

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Score Assessed		Financial Score Assessed		Bid Capacity	Remarks
		Clause 2.2.2 (i) Min. Reqt. Rs. 23.17 Cr	Clause 2.2.2 (ii) Single Work Min. Reqt. Rs. 6.18 Cr.	Clause 2.2.2.3 (i) Net Worth Min. Reqt. Rs. 1.54 Cr.	Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii) Turnover Min. Reqt. Rs. 4.63 Cr.	Min Reqt. Rs. 30.89 Cr	
1.	M/s Concord Construction	82.63	0.00	8.02	24.04	35.92	Not responsive. Refer Note-1
2.	M/s Hindustan Construction	Non-Responsive					Not responsive. Refer Note 2
3.	M/s Iqbal Ahmed Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	41.23	51.40	24.07	42.47	157.10	Not responsive. Refer Note 3
4.	M/s Murgrody Construction	107.47	32.28	4.13	89.08	91.42	**Responsive
5.	M/s Pavithra Engineering and Construction	34.65	29.01	9.64	17.37	79.90	Responsive
6.	M/s Rama Kamat and Company	39.81	11.65	12.25	23.07	66.44	Responsive
7.	M/s Trinity Group	26.50	10.08	7.98	31.86	67.02	Responsive

Note: 1: M/s Concord Construction

As per the requirements of Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP the bidder is required to demonstrate one similar Project of atleast 20% of the Estimated project Cost of this Project i.e., Rs. 6.18 crore (Rupees Six Crore and Eighteen Lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 completed over Last 5 Financial Years. For this purpose, **a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed** and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.

Bidder in its submission has claimed only 1 project (Project code-A). In support of this a certificate from Ajith Kumar Associates, Chartered Accountants are also enclosed which certify the receipts and payment for the project. it has also been mentioned that the project is likely to be completed on 31.10.2021. As such the project is not completed as on 31-03-2021.

A clarification has also been sought from the bidder in this regard.

The bidder in its replies has mentioned that project is not complete as on 31.03.2021 and the value of work completed is Rs 84.32 Cr against the cost of the project Rs. 98.32 Cr. Value of work completed comes to 85.76% against the cost of the project.

However, as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP ***"a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost"***.

Thus, the project was on-going as on 31-03-2021 and also does not achieve the required progress of more than 90%. So, this project may not be considered as completed against the requirement of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP.

Therefore, the Bidder fails to qualify the minimum eligibility criteria also for Similar nature work under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP.

In view of the above the Bidder fails to qualify for any further stages of Bidding for this project.

Note-2: M/s Hindustan Construction

As informed by the Authority, the bidder has not submitted the requisite cost of bid document through on-line in designated bank account of Authority. So, as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP, the Technical Bid of the bidder is considered as non-responsive. **Applicant is not recommended for the next stage of bidding.**

Note-3: M/s Iqbal Ahmed Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.

As per the available information from Audited Annual Report for the F.Y 2019-20 V.Raghavendra Chartered Accountant is the Statutory Auditor of the applicant. However, certificates in support of **Technical capacity, Net Worth, Annual Turnover** are certified by CA Raghuram Kamat and Co. A clarification has also been sought in this regard from the bidder.

Bidder in its replies has mentioned that at the time of issuance of Certificates Our Statutory Auditor was out of station. So we issued certificate from CA Raghuram Kamath.

Replies of the bidder is not accepted.

As per RFP, this is one of the requirement to provide certificates from its Statutory Auditor in support of Technical and Financial Capacity. In the absence of certificates from Statutory Auditor, it will tantamount as non- compliance of the provisions of RFP.

So, in view of this Technical bid may be considered as non-responsive. However, Authority may take an appropriate view on the qualification/ eligibility of the Bidder.